



Programme Major Modification report

Section one

Programme provider name:	University of Bradford
Programmes reviewed: <i>This is the NMC</i> <i>programme title(s)</i>	Pre-registration nurse qualification leading to Registered Nurse – Adult Image: Comparison of the second
	NDA Addit
	Dual award - pre-registration nursing Dual award - adult/mental health Dual award - adult/children's Dual award - adult/children's Dual award - adult/learning disabilities Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities Dual award - mental health/children's Dual award - mental health/children's Dual award - learning disabilities/children's
AEI programme title(s):	
Current AEI programme title(s): Please include all currently approved programme titles	BSc (Hons) Nursing (Adult) BSc (Hons) Nursing (Children's) BSc (Hons) Nursing (Mental Health) MNurs Nursing (Adult and Mental Health Dual Award) MNurs Nursing (Mental Health and Children's Dual Award) BSc (Hons) Nursing (Adult) [fall back award] BSc (Hons) Nursing (Children's) [fall back award] BSc (Hons) Nursing (Mental Health) [fall back award]
Modified AEI programme title(s) if applicable: Please include new title(s) if current AEI programme title(s) are being	N/A





modified as a result of this modification or add N/A	
Additional AEI programme title(s) if applicable: Please include any additional AEI programme title(s) for approval as a result of this modification (for example new routes being added that have a different title to those already approved) or add N/A	N/A
Academic levels of curren	t programme:
Registered Nurse – Adult	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7 SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11 N/A
Registered Nurse – Children's	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7 SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11 N/A
Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7 SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11 N/A
Registered Nurse - Mental Health	England, Wales, Northern Ireland





	SCQF
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
NDA Adult	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
NDA Children's	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
NDA Learning Disabilities	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
NDA Mental Health	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Dual award - adult/mental health	SCQF
	□ N/A





	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Dual award - adult/children's	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Dual award - adult/learning disabilities	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Dual award - mental health/children's	SCQF
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Dual award - learning disabilities/children's	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
Academic levels of modified	ed/additional programme(s)/route(s):
Registered Nurse – Adult	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
	SCQF





	Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Registered Nurse – Children's	SCQF
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities	SCQF
	N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Registered Nurse - Mental Health	SCQF
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
NDA Adult	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
NDA Children's	SCQF
	N/A





	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
NDA Learning Disabilities	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
NDA Mental Health	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Dual award - adult/mental health	SCQF
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Dual award - adult/children's	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Dual award - adult/learning disabilities	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
Dual award - mental	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
health/learning disabilities	SCQF





	N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Dual award - mental health/children's	SCQF
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
Dual award - learning disabilities/children's	SCQF
	⊠ N/A
Programme approval dates	S:
Date of NMC approval of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.	4 September 2020
Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:	
Programme start dates:	
Current modification programme start date:	
RN – Adult	16 September 2024
RN – Children's	16 September 2024
RN - Learning Disabilities	
RN - Mental Health	16 September 2024
NDA Adult	'
NDA Children's	
NDA Learning Disabilities	
NDA Mental Health	
Dual award - Adult/Mental Health	16 September 2024





Dual award - Adult/Children's	
Dual award - Adult/Learning Disabilities	
Dual award – Mental Health/Learning Disabilities	
Dual award – Mental Health/Children's	16 September 2024
Dual award – Learning Disabilities/Children's	
Date of modification:	26 June 2024
Type of modification:	Desktop
QA visitor(s):	Registrant Visitor: David Clarke





Section two

Summary of review and findings

The University of Bradford (UoB) is an approved education institution (AEI). The UoB school of nursing and healthcare leadership (the school) is part of the faculty of health studies and is seeking approval of a modification to the existing pre-registration nursing programme.

The UoB offer a three-year Bachelor of science (BSc) with honours (Hons) direct entry routes in adult, mental health and children's nursing and a direct entry Master of nursing (MNurs) dual award with routes in adult and mental health nursing and mental health and children's nursing. The BSc (Hons) and MNurs routes were approved by the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) on the 4 September 2020. The programme is delivered at Bradford and at Dewsbury and District Hospital for adult nursing only.

The modification is to approve changes to the BSc (Hons) and MNurs routes across all fields. The modification includes moving to a single, 60 credit practice module that runs throughout each academic year, revising and moving numeracy assessments to year two of the programme to enhance student experience and removing clinical skills from theory modules so that they're aligned with simulated practice learning (SPL). The school also seek approval to incorporate up to 600 hours of SPL and present an implementation plan for an initial 320 hours of SPL for the programme. The proposed modification is designed to meet the Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018), the Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (SPNP) (NMC 2018, updated 2023) and the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018, updated 2023).

The UoB have been delivering 300 hours of SPL across the programme under the historic NMC emergency and recovery standards. The UoB have a variety of simulated clinical resources to support SPL, including simulated ward areas, several multi-function rooms, an older person's house, primary care rooms and an immersive simulation room. The UoB utilise an indirect practice supervision and assessment model for SPL. They prepare academic staff to act as practice supervisors and practice assessors and recruit a team of indirect practice supervisors and practice assessors. All are prepared using the same materials as colleagues based in clinical practice. There's documentary evidence that UoB practice supervisors don't simultaneously act as an academic assessor.

There's evidence that the UoB continues to maintain effective partnership working with students, practice learning partners (PLPs) and people who use services and carers (PUSCs). This includes evidence of engagement with these stakeholder





groups relating to the programme modifications. For example, via several curriculum workshops.

Documentary evidence and the desktop review confirm that systems and processes remain in place to manage and mitigate any risks to practice learning. For example, any issues arising from Care Quality Commission (CQC) quality reviews or inspections which impact on the practice learning environment are managed through a systematic partnership process with PLPs.

Documentary evidence confirms that the UoB have systems in place to monitor equality, diversity and inclusion (EDI) and how issues impact on their students. Documentary evidence details attainment gaps, actions and monitoring for each route, including data on ethnicity, age, disability and gender. The AEI has an EDI policy and action plan in place.

Arrangements at programme level don't meet the SFNME as conditions apply.

Arrangements at programme level meet the SSSA.

The programme modification is recommended for approval subject to three NMC conditions.

Updated 30 July 2024:

Evidence is provided to confirm that conditions one, two and three are met.

The modified programme is recommended to the NMC for approval.

Recommended outcome of the approval panel	
Recommended outcome to the NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval
	Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met
	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme
Conditions:	Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources:
	None identified.





	Selection, admission and progression:
	None identified.
	Practice learning:
	Condition two: The UoB must provide documentary evidence that demonstrates the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to ensure it remains appropriate, safe and effective. (SFNME R2.14; SPNP R2.10, R4.1)
	Assessment, fitness for practice and award:
	None identified.
	Education governance: management and quality assurance:
	Condition one: The UoB must provide documentary evidence that demonstrates clear mapping of the modified programme to support students transferring from the existing curriculum and ensure that programme learning outcomes continue to be met. (SFNME R2.1)
	Condition three: The UoB must provide evidence of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing each field of practice in the programme. (SFNME 2.20; SPNP 4.3)
Date condition(s) to be met:	24 July 2024
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	None identified.
Focused areas for future monitoring:	None identified.

Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met

Commentary post review of evidence against conditions:





The UoB provide documentary evidence that demonstrates clear mapping of the modified programme to support students transferring from the existing curriculum and ensure that programme learning outcomes are met. Condition one is met.

The UoB provide documentary evidence that demonstrates the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to ensure it remains appropriate, safe and effective. Condition two is met.

The UoB provide evidence of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing each field of practice in the programme. Condition three is met.

AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institutionYES INO I
Summary of observations made, if applicable	
Final recommendation made to NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval
	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme
Date condition(s) met:	24 July 2024

Section three

NMC Programme standards
Please refer to NMC standards reference points:
Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (NMC 2018, updated 2023)
Future nurse: Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018)
Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC 2018, updated
2023)
Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC 2018, updated 2023)
The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives
and nursing associates (NMC, 2015 updated 2018)
Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate
education (NMC, 2020)
<u>QA Handbook</u> (NMC, 2022)

Partnerships





The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders.

Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:

<u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> (NMC 2018, updated 2023)

Standard 1: The learning culture:

- R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and coproduced with people who use services and other stakeholders
- R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:

- S2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders
- R2.4 comply with NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment
- R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes
- R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation
- R2.7 ensure that people who use services and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

Standard 3: Student empowerment:

- R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs
- R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills
- R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning
- R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

Standard 4: Educators and assessors:





- R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment
- R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment
- R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

- R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes
- R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme
- R5.14 a range of people including people who use services contribute to student assessment

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC 2018, updated 2023)

Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:

- R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments
- R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning
- R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including users of services, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression





Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, people who use services, students and any other stakeholders.

Programme documentation and the desktop review provide evidence of shared responsibility with PLPs for theory and practice-based learning, supervision and assessment. Partnership working is robust and effective at strategic and operational levels for the delivery of the nursing programme. Lines of communication and accountability for the quality assurance, development, delivery and evaluation of the nursing programme are established. Partnership meetings occur regularly to review and provide feedback on students' practice learning experiences and include scheduled meetings and working groups. For example, the practice education group meets bi-annually and has placement provider, academic, student and PUSC representation. The practice education support team meets monthly with PLPs to identify any issues and manage them accordingly.

The programme team confirm there's partnership working with experienced PLPs, students and PUSCs, ensuring the programme and SPL experiences reflect the needs of contemporary nursing practice. The UoB engage students, PUSCs and PLPs in the programme modification process through a series of curriculum workshops. PUSCs are involved in curriculum delivery and student assessment. They take part in SPL and face-to-face teaching with students. SPL scenarios utilise a variety of resources to provide a real-world experience for students, including PUSCs, standardised patients, actors and student role play. PUSC involvement in the recruitment and selection of students is well established.

Documentary evidence confirms there are processes in place for students to provide feedback about their programme. Students evaluate practice learning experiences using the practice placement quality monitoring tool. This is part of the electronic practice assessment record and evaluation platform. Systems are in place for students to evaluate theory modules. There are formalised school processes to review student experiences and evaluations and for students to receive feedback on this. There's documentary evidence that students are involved in and are listened to regarding the development of SPL.

Interprofessional learning (IPL) is a thread throughout the range of practice learning experiences within the programme. In real world placements, students are





enabled to spend time in a range of different health and social care services, providing them with an opportunity to observe different multidisciplinary teams involved in providing care. IPL is utilised during SPL to enhance student learning and facilitated through the involvement of expert professionals, practice staff and visiting lecturers drawn from a range of professional areas. The UoB run several allied health professional programmes and have a range of academic staff with diverse professional backgrounds.
Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning
partners, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders as
identified in Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u>
MET 🖂 NOT MET 🗌
Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> MET NOT MET
MET 🛛 NOT MET 🗌
Post Event Review
Identify how the condition is met:
N/A
Date condition(s) met:
N/A
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET
N/A

Student journey through the programme
Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression
Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners,
must:
R1.1 Confirm on entry to the programme that students:
R1.1.1 meet the entry criteria for the programme as set out by the AEI and are
suitable for their intended field of nursing practice: adult, mental health,
learning disabilities and children's nursing
R1.1.2 demonstrate values in accordance with the Code
R1.1.3 have capability to learn behaviours in accordance with the Code
R1.1.4 have capability to develop numeracy skills required to meet programme
outcomes





- R1.1.5 can demonstrate proficiency in English language
- R1.1.6 have capability in literacy to meet programme outcomes
- R1.1.7 have capability for digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes.
- R1.2 ensure students' health and character are sufficient to enable safe and effective practice on entering the programme, throughout the programme and when submitting the supporting declaration of health and character in line with the NMC's health and character decision-making guidance. This includes satisfactory occupational health assessment and criminal record checks
- R1.3 ensure students are fully informed of the requirement to declare immediately any police charges, cautions, convictions or conditional discharges, or determinations that their fitness to practise is impaired made by other regulators, professional bodies and educational establishments, and ensure that any declarations are dealt with promptly, fairly and lawfully
- R1.4 ensure the registered nurse responsible for directing the educational programme or their designated registered nurse substitute are able to provide supporting declarations of health and character for students who have completed a pre-registration nursing programme
- R1.5 permit recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes, up to a maximum of 50 percent of the programme
- R1.6 for NMC registered nurses permit recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes that may be more than 50 percent of the programme
- R1.7 support students throughout the programme in continuously developing their abilities in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes, and

<u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> specifically R2.6, R2.7, R2.8, R2.9, R2.11

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the <u>Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (NMC 2018, updated 2023)</u>.

Proposed transfer of current students to the <u>Standards for student</u> <u>supervision and assessment</u> (NMC 2018, updated 2023). Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the <u>Standards for</u> <u>student supervision and assessment</u> (NMC 2018, updated 2023).

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

Safe, kind, effective care through quality Nursina & assurance of nursing, midwifery and Midwiferv MOTT nursing associate education. Council MACDONALD Evidence that selection processes ensure entrants onto the programme are suitable for the intended field of nursing practice and demonstrate values and have capability to learn behaviours in accordance with the Code. Evidence of people who use services and practitioners involvement in selection processes. (R1.1.1, R1.1.2, R1.1.3) YES 🖂 Evidence of selection processes, including statements on digital literacy, literacy, numeracy, values-based selection criteria, educational entry standard required, and progression and assessment strategy, English language proficiency criteria specified in recruitment processes (R1.1.4 -R1.1.7). YES 🖂 Ensure students' health and character are sufficient to enable safe and effective practice on entering the programme, throughout the programme and when submitting the supporting declaration of health and character in line with the NMC's health and character decision-making guidance. This includes satisfactory occupational health assessment and criminal record checks. Fitness for practice processes evidenced and information given to applicants and students are detailed (R1.2) YES 🖂 Ensure students are fully informed of the requirement to declare immediately any police charges, cautions, convictions or conditional discharges, or determinations that their fitness to practise is impaired made by other regulators, professional bodies and educational establishments, and ensure that any declarations are dealt with promptly, fairly and lawfully. (R1.3) YES 🖂 NO Processes are in place for providing supporting declarations by a registered nurse responsible for directing the educational programme (R1.4) YES 🖂 NO 🗌 Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met Evidence of recognition of prior learning processes, mapped against programme outcomes at all levels and against academic levels of the programme up to a maximum of 50 percent of the programme (R1.5) NOT MET R1.5 is met. Unchanged through this modification.





 Evidence that for NMC registered nurses recognition of prior learning is capable of being mapped to the <i>Standards of proficiency for registered nurses</i> and programme outcomes (R1.6) MET NOT MET NOT MET 	
R1.6 is met. Unchanged through this modification.	
 Numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy mapped against proficiency standards and programme outcomes. Provide evidence that the programme meets NMC requirements, mapping how the indicative content meets the proficiencies and programme outcomes. 	
Ongoing achievement record (OAR) and practice assessment document (PAD) are linked to competence outcomes in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes. Detail support strategies for students throughout the programme in continuously developing their abilities in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes (R1.7)	
R1.7 is met. Unchanged through this modification.	
Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review	
From your documentary analysis and your meeting with students, provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> to confirm how the <i>Standards for pre-registration</i> <i>nursing programmes</i> and <i>Standards of proficiency for registered nurses</i> will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme. The UoB confirm that current students progressing to continuing studies in the 2024/2025 academic year will transfer to the modified programme. However, there's no mapping evidence that demonstrates how students are supported to transfer to the modified programme and continue to meet programme learning outcomes. (Condition one)	
an <u>evaluative summary</u> to confirm how the Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes and Standards of proficiency for registered nurses will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme. The UoB confirm that current students progressing to continuing studies in the 2024/2025 academic year will transfer to the modified programme. However, there's no mapping evidence that demonstrates how students are supported to transfer to the modified programme and continue to meet programme learning	
an <u>evaluative summary</u> to confirm how the Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes and Standards of proficiency for registered nurses will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme. The UoB confirm that current students progressing to continuing studies in the 2024/2025 academic year will transfer to the modified programme. However, there's no mapping evidence that demonstrates how students are supported to transfer to the modified programme and continue to meet programme learning outcomes. (Condition one) Proposed transfer of current students to the <u>Standards for student</u>	





٦

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards fram</u> <u>midwifery education</u> relevant to selection, admission a		sion are met
There's no mapping presented to ensure students who programme continue to meet programme outcomes. (C		
Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🗌	NOT MET 🖂
The evidence presented doesn't demonstrate how tran mapped to the modified programme to ensure they cor learning outcomes.		
Condition one: The UoB must provide documentary ev clear mapping of the modified programme to support s existing curriculum and ensure that programme learnin met. (SFNME R2.1)	tudents trar	nsferring from the
Date: 26 June 2024		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
Condition one: The UoB provide documentary evidenc mapping of the modified programme to support studen existing curriculum and ensure that programme learnin met.	ts transferri	ng from the
Condition one is met.		
Evidence: Conditions response document, undated Module descriptor for year three NMC health numeracy (transition cohort only), undated Transition plan for skills and procedures - for cohorts of July 2024 Transition plan for repeat year students, undated Transition plan for students in suspended studies in ac July 2024 Suspension of studies form flow chart, undated	urrently on	programme, 24
Date condition(s) met: 24 July 2024		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🔀	





Standard 2: Curriculum

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R2.1 ensure that programmes comply with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education
- R2.2 comply with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment
- R2.3 ensure that programme learning outcomes reflect the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and each of the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R2.4 design and deliver a programme that supports students and provides exposure across all four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R2.5 state routes within their pre-registration nursing programme that allows students to enter the register in one or more of the specific fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities or children's nursing
- R2.6 set out the general and professional content necessary to meet the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses and programme outcomes
- R2.7 set out the content necessary to meet the programme outcomes for each field of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R2.8 ensure that field specific content in relation to the law, safeguarding, consent, pharmacology and medicines administration and optimisation is included for entry to the register in one or more fields of nursing practice
- R2.9 ensure the curriculum provides an equal balance of 50 percent theory and 50 percent practice learning using a range of learning and teaching strategies
- R 2.10 ensure technology and simulation opportunities are used effectively and proportionately across the curriculum to support supervision, learning and assessment
- R2.11 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with legislation which supports use of the Welsh language
- R2.12 ensure that all pre-registration nursing programmes meet the equivalent of minimum length of three (academic) years for full time programmes, which consist of a minimum of 4,600 hours
- R2.13 ensure programmes leading to registration in two fields of nursing practice are of suitable length to ensure proficiency in both fields of nursing, and
- R2.14 ensure programmes leading to nursing registration and registration in another profession, are of suitable length and nursing proficiencies and outcomes are achieved in a nursing context.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R1.9, R1.13; R2.2, R2.15, R2.17, R2.19, R2.20, R3.1, R3.2, R3.4, R3.9, R3.10, R3.15, R3.16; R5.1 - R5.16.

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R1.2, R1.3, R1.7, R1.10, R1.11





-

Findings against the standard and requirements
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:
• There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (R2.1)
$YES \square NO \square$
 There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment (R2.2)
 Mapping to show how the programme learning outcomes reflect the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses and each of the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R2.3)
 Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met. There is evidence to show how the design and delivery of the programme will support students in both theory and practice to experience across all four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R2.4) MET X NOT MET X
R2.4 is met. Unchanged through this modification.
 Evidence that programme structure/design/delivery will illustrate specific fields of practice that allows students to enter the register in one or more specific fields of nursing practice. Evidence of field specific learning outcomes and content in the module descriptors (R2.5) MET X NOT MET X
R2.5 is met. Unchanged through this modification.
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:
• There is evidence that mapping has been undertaken to show how the general and professional content meets NMC requirements of the <i>Standards of proficiency for registered nurses</i> and programme outcomes (R2.6)





	YES [NO 🗌
There is evidence that mapping has been undertake necessary to meet the programme outcomes for ea practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities a (R2.7)	ch field o	f nursing
	YES 🖂	
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documenta evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demo provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or	onstrate	if assurance is
 There is evidence that mapping has been undertake specific content in relation to the law, safeguarding, and medicines administration and optimisation is in register in one or more fields of nursing practice (R2 ME) 	consent, cluded fo	pharmacology
R2.8 is met. Unchanged through this modification.		
 The programme structure demonstrates an equal b theory and 50 percent practice learning. This is deta hours in the module descriptors and practice learnin of learning and teaching strategies are detailed in th specification, programme handbook and module de practice balance detailed at each part of the progra There are appropriate module aims, descriptors and There is a practice allocation model for the delivery clearly demonstrates the achievement of designate programme detailed. (R2.9) 	ailed in th ng allocat ne progra scriptors mme and d outcome of the pre	e designated ions. A range mme with theory / at end point. es specified. ogramme that
MET		
R2.9 is met. Documentary evidence and the desktop review resource to deliver up to 320 hours of SPL in the BSc (Hou of SPL in the MNurs routes. A range of teaching and learn otherwise unchanged through this modification. The desig comprise of 50 percent theory and 50 percent supernument learning and this is evidenced for all routes. Programme mout comes are unchanged through this modification in all rout for the delivery of the programme is unchanged through the routes.	ns) routes ing strate nated pro rary pract nodule an putes. Pra	s and 360 hours egies are ogramme hours ice-based d learning actice allocation
 There is evidence to ensure technology and simula used effectively and proportionately across the curr supervision, learning and assessment (R2.10) 	iculum to	





R2.10 is not met. Programme documentation demonstrates that technology and simulation opportunities are used to support achievement of the programme outcomes.
The UoB's virtual learning environment (VLE) platform supports the delivery of all online sessions. Students are prepared for the use of technology starting with the programme induction. Coursework is submitted electronically via the VLE. SafeMedicate is used for numeracy preparation and assessment.
SPL is delivered across all nursing routes in line with the SSSA and is evaluated positively by students who also contribute to its further development. However, there's no evidence that the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to provide assurance that it remains appropriate, safe and effective. (Condition two)
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:
 Evidence to ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with any legislation which supports the use of the Welsh language (R2.11) YES NO N/A >
The programme isn't delivered in Wales.
 Evidence to ensure that all pre-registration nursing programmes meet the equivalent of minimum length of three (academic) years for full time programmes, which consist of a minimum of 4,600 hours (R2.12) YES X
 Evidence that programmes leading to registration in two fields of nursing practice are of suitable length to ensure proficiency in both fields of nursing (B2.12)
(R2.13) YES 🛛 NO 🗌 N/A 🗌
 Evidence to ensure that programmes leading to nursing registration and registration in another profession, will be of suitable length and nursing proficiencies and outcomes will be achieved in a nursing context (R2.14)
$YES \square NO \square N/A \boxtimes$
The programme doesn't lead to nursing registration and registration in another profession.
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and</u>
<i>midwifery education</i> relevant to curricula are met YES NO





There's no evidence presented that the SPL environm provide assurance that it remains appropriate, safe an			
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for</u>		ervisior	<u>n and</u>
assessment relevant to curricula and assessment are	YES	\boxtimes	ΝΟ
Outcome			
Is the standard met?	MET 🗌	NOT	MET 🖂
The desktop review doesn't provide evidence that the regularly reviewed to provide assurance that the environate and effective.			
Condition two: The UoB must provide documentary events the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to ensure it and effective. (SFNME R2.14; SPNP R2.10, R4.1)			
Date: 26 June 2024			
Post event review			
Identify how the condition(s) is met:			
Condition two: The UoB provide documentary evidence environment is regularly reviewed to ensure it remains effective.			
Condition two is met.			
Evidence: Conditions response document, undated SPL audit, undated			
Date condition(s) met: 24 July 2024			
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🔀	NOT	MET 🗌

Standard 3: Practice learning

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R3.1 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to develop and meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* to deliver safe and effective care to a diverse range of people, across the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R3.2 ensure that students experience the variety of practice expected of registered nurses to meet the holistic needs of people of all ages





- R3.3 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to meet the communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures, as set out in *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses*, within their selected fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R3.4 provide no less than 2300 practice learning hours, of which a maximum of 600 hours can be in simulated practice learning
- R3.5 take account of students' individual needs and personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning including making reasonable adjustments for students with disabilities
- R3.6 ensure students experience the range of hours expected of registered nurses, and
- R3.7 ensure that students are supernumerary.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R1.1, R1.3, R1.5; R2.10, R2.15; R3.3, R3.5, R 3.7, R3.16; R5.1, R5.7, R5.10, R5.12

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R1.1 - R1.11

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.

• Evidence that the practice learning opportunities allow students to develop and meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* to deliver safe and effective care, to a diverse range of people, across the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R3.1)

MET 🛛 NOT MET 🗌

R3.1 is met. There's documentary evidence, including detailed SPL lesson plans, that there are opportunities for students to deliver safe and effective care to a range of people across the four fields of nursing practice within practice-based learning delivered by simulated means. The plans for delivery of SPL hours include both cross field and single field of nursing opportunities for students to develop their practice. For example, SPL includes a range of procedures that are contextualised within scenarios that depict the needs of PUSCs across all fields of practice as they experience healthcare across a range of settings.

• There is evidence of how the programme will ensure students experience the variety of practice learning experiences to meet the holistic needs of people in all ages. There are appropriate processes for assessing, monitoring and evaluating these practice experiences (R3.2)





MET 🛛 NOT MET 🗌

R3.2 is met. There's documentary evidence, including detailed lessons plans, that the proposed implementation of increased SPL hours are designed to meet the holistic needs of people across the life span and that simulation facilities have appropriate environments and resources to support this. The SPL experience contributes to the student's overall development during the programme and supports achievement of the Future Nurse: Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (FN:SPRN) (NMC, 2018). A member of the indirect supervision team or other suitably qualified UoB staff, act as practice supervisor or practice assessor and provide relevant detail and record of student's performance in their electronic practice assessment document (PAD).

• Evidence that the practice learning opportunities allow students to meet the communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures, as set out in the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses*, within their selected fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R3.3)

R3.3 is met. There's documentary evidence that the continuation of SPL hours contributes to students achieving the communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures within their field of nursing.

• Evidence to ensure the programme is no less than 2300 practice learning hours, of which a maximum of 600 hours can be in simulated practice learning (R3.4)

MET 🛛 NOT MET 🗌

NOT MET

R3.4 is met. The UoB request approval to use up to 600 hours of SPL with an initial delivery plan for up to 320 hours in the BSc (Hons) routes and 360 hours in the MNurs routes. Documentary evidence and the desktop review confirm capacity and resource to deliver the proposed, initial SPL hours. The UoB confirm that additional resources are needed to support an increase in SPL up to 600 hours and that a university business case will be required to support the required increase of physical and human resources. The UoB confirm that they'll undertake a robust consultation with PLPs if an increase in SPL is required.

SPL activity remains aligned to the SSSA. The UoB has a process in place for the preparation of academic staff to act as practice supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors. A team of indirect practice supervisors and practice assessors are prepared by the practice education team using the same process as academic staff. This process reinforces the key principles agreed locally in line with the requirements of the SSSA. The roles and responsibilities of practice supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors are clearly detailed in programme documentation including student, practice supervisor and practice





assessor facing documents. When students are under practice supervisors and practice assessors are acade different team of indirect practice supervisors and prac- recruited specifically for the role and have undertaken training. Student progress and achievement of the FN PAD. Practice supervisors don't act as the academic a same time. A spreadsheet is maintained by the SPL te	emic members ctice assessor suitable prep SPRN is reco ssessor for s	s of staff or a rs who've been aration and orded in the tudents at the
 There are processes in place to take account of and personal circumstances when allocating the including making reasonable adjustments for di 	eir practice le	arning
R3.5 is met. Unchanged through this modification.		
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA	A approval c	riteria are met:
 Evidence of how programme is planned to allow the range of hours expected of registered nurse days, night shifts planned examples) (R3.6) 		
days, hight shints planned examples) (Ro.0)	YES 🛛	NO 🗌
 Processes are in place to ensure that students 	are supernum YES	
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards fram</u> midwifery education relevant to practice learning are n		rsing and
<u>Indwifery education</u> relevant to practice learning are n	YES	
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for s	student super	vision and
assessment relevant to practice learning are met	YES 🖂	NO
Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	
Date: 26 June 2024		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met: N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		





Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET

N/A

Standard 4: Supervision and assessment

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*
- R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment
- R4.3 ensure they inform the NMC of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing the education programme
- R4.4 provide students with constructive feedback throughout the programme to support their development
- R4.5 ensure throughout the programme that students meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes for their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R4.6 ensure that all programmes include a health numeracy assessment related to nursing proficiencies and calculation of medicines which must be passed with a score of 100%
- R4.7 ensure that students meet all communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures within their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R4.8 assess students to confirm proficiency in preparation for professional practice as a registered nurse
- R4.9 ensure that there is equal weighting in the assessment of theory and practice
- R4.10 ensure that all proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which must demonstrate the achievement of proficiencies and skills set out in *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses.*

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically: R2.12; R3.5, R3.6, R 3.8, R3.11, R3.13, R3.14, R3.17; R4.2, R4.3, R4.4, R4.5, R4.6, R4.8, R4.11; R5.9

Standards for student supervision and assessment

Findings against the standards and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met





There is evidence of how the programme will ensure how support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education. (R4.1) NOT MET \bowtie MET 🗌 R4.1 is not met. Documentary evidence and the desktop review confirm that support, supervision, learning and assessment within the programme continues to meet the SFNME. Lines of communication with PLPs and conjoint accountability for the quality assurance, development, delivery and evaluation of the nursing programme are established. However, there's no evidence that the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to provide assurance that it remains appropriate. safe and effective. (Condition two) There is evidence of how the Standards for student supervision and assessment are applied to the programme. There are processes in place to identify the supervisors and assessor along with how they will be prepared for their roles. (R4.2) NOT MET R4.2 is met. The UoB has a process in place for the preparation of academic staff to act as practice supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors. The UoB have a team of indirect practice supervisors and practice assessors who are prepared by the practice education team using the same process as academic staff. This process reinforces the key principles agreed locally in line with the requirements of the SSSA. The roles and responsibilities of practice supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors are clearly detailed in programme documentation including student, practice supervisor and practice assessor facing documents. When students are undertaking SPL, the indirect practice supervisors and practice assessors are academic members of staff or the team of indirect practice supervisors and practice assessors who've undertaken suitable preparation and training. This is monitored as part of staff appraisal processes. Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met: There are processes in place to ensure the NMC is informed of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing the education programme (R4.3) YES 🗌 R4.3 is not met. There's limited evidence presented that confirms the NMC is informed of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing the programme. The UoB have a lead nurse directing each field of practice, rather than a single person directing the programme overall, however this needs to be made clear in programme documentation. (Condition three)





evide	de an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and nce AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is ded that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met
•	There are processes in place to provide students with constructive feedback throughout the programme to support their development. Formative and summative assessment strategy is detailed (R4.4)
R4.4 i	s met. Unchanged through this modification.
•	There is appropriate mapping of the curriculum and practice learning placements to ensure throughout the programme that students meet the <i>Standards of proficiency for registered nurses</i> and programme outcomes for their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R4.5)
R4.5 i	s met. Unchanged through this modification.
Evide	nce provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:
•	There is evidence that all programmes include a health numeracy assessment related to nursing proficiencies and calculation of medicines which must be passed with a score of 100 percent (R4.6) YES X NO
•	Processes are in place to ensure that students meet all communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures within their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R4.7)
	$YES \boxtimes NO \square$
•	Evidence of processes to assess students to confirm proficiency in preparation for professional practice as a registered nurse (R4.8) YES X NO
•	There is an assessment strategy with details and weighting expressed for all credit bearing assessments. Theory and practice weighting is calculated and detailed in award criteria and programme handbooks (R4.9) YES X NO
•	There is evidence that all proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which must demonstrate the achievement of proficiencies and skills as set out in the <i>Standards of proficiency for registered nurses</i> (R4.10) YES \boxtimes NO \square





Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and</u> <u>midwifery education</u> relevant to supervision and assessment are met YES NO X There's no evidence presented that the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to
<i>midwifery education</i> relevant to supervision and assessment are met YES NO X There's no evidence presented that the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to
There's no evidence presented that the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to
There's no evidence presented that the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to
provide assurance that the environment is appropriate, safe and effective. (Condition two)
There's limited evidence presented that confirms the NMC is informed of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing the programme. The UoB have a lead nurse directing each field of practice, rather than a single person directing the programme overall, however this needs to be made clear in programme documentation. (Condition three)
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and</u>
assessment are met YES 🛛 NO 🗌
Outcome
Is the standard met? MET NOT MET
The desktop review doesn't provide evidence that the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to provide assurance that the environment remains appropriate, safe and effective.
Condition two: The UoB must provide documentary evidence that demonstrates the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to ensure it remains appropriate, safe and effective. (SFNME R2.14; SPNP R2.10, R4.1)
There's limited evidence presented that confirms the NMC is informed of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing the programme. The UoB have a lead nurse directing each field of practice, rather than a single person directing the programme overall, however this needs to be made clear in programme
documentation.
documentation. Condition three: The UoB must provide evidence of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing each field of practice in the programme. (SFNME
documentation. Condition three: The UoB must provide evidence of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing each field of practice in the programme. (SFNME 2.20; SPNP 4.3)





Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET
Date condition(s) met: 24 July 2024
Evidence: Conditions response document, undated Academic staff curriculum vitae, undated
Condition three is met.
Condition three: The UoB provide evidence of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing each field of practice in the programme.
Evidence: Conditions response document, undated SPL audit, undated
Condition two is met.
Condition two: The UoB provide documentary evidence that demonstrates the SPL environment is regularly reviewed to ensure it remains appropriate, safe and effective.

Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R5.1 ensure that the minimum award for a pre-registration nursing programme is a bachelor's degree, and
- R5.2 notify students during and before completion of the programme that they have five years to register their award with the NMC. In the event of a student failing to register their qualification within five years they will have to undertake additional education and training or gain such experience as specified in our standards.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R2.12, R2.21

Findings against the standards and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

• The pre-registration nursing programme award to be approved is clearly identified in all programme documentation and is a minimum of a bachelor's degree (R5.1)





 Notify students during and before complet have five years to register their award with student failing to register their qualification undertake additional education and trainin 	the NMC. In the within five yea	ne event o irs they wi	f a Il have to
specified in our standards. (R5.2)	YE	S	NO 🗌
Fall Back Award If there is a fall back exit award with registration a proficiencies are met within the award			
	YES 🖂		N/A 🗌
Unchanged through this modification.			
Assurance is provided that the Standards framew		and midv	vifer <u>y</u>
education relevant to the qualification to be award		S 🖂	NO
O			
Outcome			
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT	MET
Is the standard met? Date: 26 June 2024	MET 🔀	NOT	
Is the standard met? Date: 26 June 2024 Post event review	MET 🔀	NOT	
Is the standard met? Date: 26 June 2024	MET 🔀	NOT	
Is the standard met? Date: 26 June 2024 Post event review	MET 🔀	NOT	MET
Is the standard met? Date: 26 June 2024 Post event review Identify how the condition(s) is met:	MET 🔀	NOT	MET
Is the standard met? Date: 26 June 2024 Post event review Identify how the condition(s) is met: N/A	MET 🔀	NOT	MET
Is the standard met? Date: 26 June 2024 Post event review Identify how the condition(s) is met: N/A Date condition(s) met:	MET 🔄	NOT	





Section four

Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and consultation	\square	
Programme specification(s) include fields of nursing	\square	
practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and		
children's nursing		
Module descriptors	\square	
Student facing documentation including: programme		\square
handbook		
Student university handbook	\square	
Practice assessment documentation	\square	
Ongoing record of achievement (ORA)	\square	
Practice learning environment handbook	\square	
Practice learning handbook for practice supervisors and	\square	
assessors specific to the programme		
Academic assessor focused information specific to the	\square	
programme		
Placement allocation / structure of programme		
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped	\square	
against Standards of proficiency for registered nurses		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the		
education institution has met the Standards framework for		
nursing and midwifery education (NMC 2018, updated		
2023) (Gateway 1)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the		
Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC		
2018, updated 2023) apply to the programme(s)		
(Gateway 2)	<u> </u>	
Mapping document providing evidence of how the		
education institution has met the Standards for pre-		
registration nursing programmes (NMC 2018, updated		
2023) (Gateway 3)		
Curricula vitae (CV) for relevant staff		
CV of the registered nurse responsible for directing the		\bowtie
education programme		
Registrant academic staff details checked on NMC website		
External examiner appointments and arrangements	\square	





Written placement agreement(s) between the education institution and associated practice learning partners to support the programme intentions.		
Written agreement(s) to support the programme intentions between the education institution and employer partners for apprenticeship routes (if applicable).		\square
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: The programme handbook is only available in the UoB's VLE. A video of the programme handbook is provided for the desktop review. The UoB have a registered nurse responsible for directing each field and CVs aren't provided. (Condition three) The UoB doesn't provide apprenticeship routes within the programme.		
List additional documentation: Post modification evidence to meet conditions: Conditions response document, undated Module descriptor for year three NMC health numeracy ass (transition cohort only), undated Transition plan for skills and procedures - for cohorts curren July 2024 Transition plan for repeat year students, undated Transition plan for students in suspended studies in acader July 2024 Suspension of studies form flow chart, undated SPL audit, undated Academic staff curriculum vitae, undated	ntly on progr	amme, 24
Additional comments: None identified.		

During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups:

	YES	NO
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with responsibility for resources for the programme		\boxtimes
Senior managers from associated practice learning partners with responsibility for resources for the programme		
Senior managers from associated employer partners with responsibility for resources for the programme (applicable for apprenticeship routes)		
Programme team/academic assessors	\boxtimes	
Practice leads/practice supervisors/practice assessors		\boxtimes
Students		\square
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study:		





People who use services and carers		\boxtimes
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mit	igation:	
The modification is conducted as a desktop review.	-	
Additional comments:		
None identified.		

The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities during the event:

	YES	NO	
Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical		\boxtimes	
skills/simulation suites)			
Library facilities		\square	
Technology enhanced learning/virtual learning		\square	
environment			
Educational audit tools/documentation			
Practice learning environments			
If practice learning environments are visited, state where v	visited/findin	gs:	
System regulator reports reviewed for practice learning			
partners			
If yes, system regulator reports list:			
Czajka Properties Limited, Beanlands Nursing Home, CQC inspection report, 7			
September 2022			
Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bradford Royal Infirmary,			
CQC inspection report, 26 May 2023			
Mid Yorkshire Teaching NHS Trust, Dewsbury and District Hospital, CQC			
inspection report, 8 March 2024			
Mid Yorkshire Teaching NHS Trust, CQC inspection report ,16 November 2022			
Regency Healthcare Limited, Acorn Nursing Home, CQC inspection report, 1			
August 2023			
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation:			
The UoB is an established AEI and therefore visits to practice learning			
environments and inspection of resources isn't required.			
Additional comments:			
None identified.			

Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.





Issue record			
Final Report			
Author(s):	David Clarke	Date:	3 July 2024
Checked by:	Sarah Snow	Date:	10 July 2024
Submitted by:	Mubaraq Sanusi	Date:	20 August 2024
Approved by:	Natasha Thompson	Date:	2 September 2024