



Programme approval report

Section one

Programme provider name:	Canterbury Christ Church University	
In partnership with: (Associated practice learning partners and/or employer partners involved in the delivery of the programme)	Dartford and Gravesham NHS Trust East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust Kent Community Health NHS Foundation Trust	
	Kent and Medway NHS and Social Care Partnership Trust	
	Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust	
	Medway NHS Foundation Trust	
	Medway Community Healthcare Community Interest Company	
	NHS Kent and Medway Clinical Commissioning Group	
	North East London NHS Foundation Trust	
	Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust	
	Private, voluntary and independent health care providers	
	Education and social care providers	
Programme reviewed:	Return to practice:	
	Nursing: Adult Mental health	





	Children's		
	Midwifery		
	Nursing Associate		
	SCPHN		
	Nursing/SCPHN		
	Midwifery/SCPHN		
Title of programme:	Return to Practice (Nursing)		
Academic levels:			
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland ⊠ Level 6 ⊠ Level 7		
RtP Nursing Adult	SCQF Level 9 Level 10 Level 11		
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland ⊠ Level 6 ⊠ Level 7		
RtP Nursing Mental Health	SCQF Level 9 Level 10 Level 11		
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland ⊠ Level 6 ⊠ Level 7		
RtP Nursing Children's	SCQF Level 9 Level 10 Level 11		





	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 6 Level 7	
RtP Nursing Learning Disabilities	SCQF Level 9 Level 10 Level 11	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 6 Level 7	
RtP Midwifery	SCQF Level 9 Level 10 Level 11	
RtP Nursing Associate	England only Level 5 Level 6 Level 7	
RtP SCPHN	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 6 Level 7	
Tur our riv	SCQF Level 9 Level 10 Level 11	
DID N (OODLIN)	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 6 Level 7	
RtP Nursing/SCPHN	SCQF Level 9 Level 10 Level 11	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 6 Level 7	
RtP Midwifery/SCPHN	SCQF Level 9 Level 10 Level 11	
Date of approval visit: 18 January 2021		





Programme start date: Return to practice:	
Nursing: Adult Mental health Children's Learning disabilities	14 September 2021 14 September 2021 14 September 2021
Midwifery	
Nursing Associate	14 Sontombor 2021
SCPHN	14 September 2021
Nursing/SCPHN	
Midwifery/SCPHN	
QA visitor(s):	Registrant Visitor: Dr Michelle Laing





Section two

Summary of review and findings

Canterbury Christ Church University (CCCU), faculty of medicine, health and wellbeing, in the school of nursing, midwifery and social work present for approval a return to practice (RtP) programme with the following routes; nursing in the fields of adult, child, and mental health nursing, and specialist community public health nursing (SCPHN). Programme documentation and the approval process confirms evidence of partnership working between CCCU and practice learning partners (PLPs).

The RtP programme is a 20-credit programme at academic levels six and seven. It enables returners to access a field of nursing from adult, child or mental health, and a SCPHN route is available for returners with a lapsed SCPHN registration. Programme documentation identifies that successful completion of an RtP nursing programme provides the opportunity for nurses to undertake the SCPHN route. The SCPHN route enables a bespoke programme to update SCPHN knowledge and skills for nurses with a current registration on the NMC register who have a lapsed registration from part three of the register. RtP SCPHN students must have a live Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) registration before undertaking the SCPHN route.

The proposed programme will be delivered twice a year from September 2021, with a planned cohort size of 30 students. PLPs and the programme team confirm that there's been considerable stakeholder engagement in the development of the programme. It's designed to align with the Standards for RtP programmes (SRtPP) (NMC, 2019), the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (SPRN) (NMC, 2018) and the Standards of proficiency for SCPHN (SPSCPHN) (NMC, 2004).

Programme documentation confirms that there are effective working relationships between the CCCU and PLPs, service user and carers (SUCs) and students. The RtP partnership group is supported by PLPs, with practice experience being underpinned by the current pre-registration networks of practice assessors, practice supervisors, academic assessors and practice liaison lecturers.

A key feature of the programme development includes CCCU's contribution to the development of the all England RtP group (RtPG). Practice is assessed using the England RtP nursing practice assessment document (PAD). Students undertaking the SCPHN route have bespoke sessions within the programme to meet their





needs, and practice experience in the SCPHN field. They have a specific SCPHN PAD.

The programme is delivered on a part time basis comprising six taught days, six directed-study days and independent study equating to 200 theory hours. Students complete a minimum of 150 practice hours under the supervision of practice supervisors and are assessed by practice assessors in a practice learning environment educationally audited by CCCU in partnership with PLPs. Programme documentation confirms SCPHN returners will undertake a minimum of 200 practice learning hours. The programme is normally delivered over 15 weeks; if at interview it's determined that a student requires additional practice learning experience the programme can be extended up to 30 weeks.

The visit is undertaken remotely during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Arrangements at programme level don't meet the Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018). Arrangements at programme level meet the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018).

The programme is recommended to the NMC for approval subject to three joint NMC and university conditions and one university condition. One joint NMC and university recommendation is made.

Updated 1 March 2021:

CCCU have provided evidence to meet the three joint NMC and university conditions. CCU confirm that the university condition is met.

The conditions are met.

The programme is recommended to the NMC for approval. Recommended outcome of the approval panel Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met Recommended to refuse approval of the programme



Conditions:

Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.



Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources:

Condition one: Provide an implementation plan for how feedback from students and SUCs will inform the ongoing and future developments of the programme. (SFNME R1.12, R4.9) (NMC and university condition)

Selection, admission and progression:

Condition two: Provide the individual learning plan that's developed at admission to support the bespoke experiences students require to meet the programme proficiencies in their relevant field/professional practice context. (SFNME R3.1; SRtPP R1.6) (NMC and university condition)

Condition three: Provide programme documentation that evidences field specific content and learning outcomes; this must include a mapping tool to ensure students evidence how they meet programme proficiencies. (SFNME R5.1; SRtPP R2.7, R2.8)

(NMC and university condition)

Practice learning:

None identified.

Assessment, fitness for practice and award:

None identified.

Education governance: management and quality assurance:

assaranoc.

Condition four: Conduct a thorough review of programme documentation in light of written advice provided by the panel. (University condition)

7





Date condition(s) to be met:	1 March 2021
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	Recommendation one: Consider how students are further supported throughout the programme to develop technology enhanced skills. (SFNME R3.4; SRtPP R1.7) (NMC and university recommendation)
Focused areas for future monitoring:	None identified.

Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met

Commentary post review of evidence against conditions:

Additional and revised copies of the programme documentation provide evidence the conditions are met.

An implementation plan details how feedback from students and SUCs will inform future and ongoing programme development. Condition one is met.

An individualised learning plan details how the support and bespoke experiences students require to meet the programme proficiencies in their relevant field/professional practice context. Condition two is met.

Revised module specifications and a mapping tool document evidence field specific content and learning outcomes that demonstrate how students evidence they meet programme proficiencies. Condition three is met.

CCCU confirm the university condition is met.

AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institution YES NO		
Summary of observations made, if applicable	An amendment is made on page 24 to clarify the timetable structure.		
Final recommendation made to NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval		
made to NWC.	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme		





Date condition(s) met:	1 March 2021
------------------------	--------------

Section three

NMC Programme standards

Please refer to NMC standards reference points

Standards for return to practice programmes (NMC, 2019)

Return to practice standards (NMC, 2019)

Future nurse: Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018)

Standards for competence for registered midwives (NMC, 2009)

The Future midwife: Standards of proficiency for registered midwives (NMC, 2019)

Standards of proficiency for nursing associates (NMC, 2018)

Standards of proficiency for specialist community public health nurses (NMC, 2004)

Standards for specialist education and practice (NMC, 2001)

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates (NMC, 2015 updated 2018)

Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education (NMC, 2020)

QA Handbook (NMC, 2020)

Partnerships

The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders.

Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: The learning culture:

R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and coproduced with service users and other stakeholders

R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:





R2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, service users, students and all other stakeholders

R2.4 comply with NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment

R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes

R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation

R2.7 ensure that service users and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

Standard 3: Student empowerment:

R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs

R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills

R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment

R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment

R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes

R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme

R5.14 a range of people including service users contribute to student assessment





Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:

R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments

R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning

R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including service users, registered and nonregistered individuals, and other students as appropriate

Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Findings against the standard and requirements





Provide an evaluative summary about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, service users, students and any other stakeholders.

Programme documentation confirms there's partnership working between CCCU and key stakeholders at strategic and operational levels. There's documentary evidence of strategic workforce meetings through an education partnership forum which includes representation from Health Education England, PLPs, local authorities and SUCs. The forum has oversight of co-production of curriculum, building capacity to support practice learning and the management of quality assurance of the educational audit of practice learning environments. There's documentary evidence of minutes of programme development meetings involving PLPs who confirm their contribution to the development and co-production of the programme.

PLPs confirm they have processes in place to manage educational audits and capacity across practice learning environments. PLPs tell us about the effectiveness of collaborative working to identify and prepare practice assessors and practice supervisors. PLPs tell us they're actively involved in the interviewing process; students confirm this.

Programme documentation confirms that there's student liaison and engagement through programme management groups. Students tell us that they engage in module evaluations and evaluation of practice. Students confirm that they're confident that concerns they raise are addressed. They tell us that they are clear about the process for raising concerns. This is clearly detailed in the programme handbook and on the CCCU practice learning website.

PLPs tell us that they meet and communicate regularly with the programme team to discuss student development and support. These partnership meetings include discussions around simulation opportunities for students to access within practice learning environments and expert support for teaching and learning within the programme. CCCU, in partnership with PLPs, have taken a lead in adopting the England RtP nursing PAD in support of adult, child and mental health routes. There's a specific SCPHN PAD. There's a clear partnership approach to the implementation of the SSSA including the preparation of practice assessors, practice supervisors and academic assessors.

CCCU, in partnership with PLPs, confirm that any issues identified through student feedback, evaluations or Care Quality Commission quality reviews that impact on practice learning environments are managed through systematic partnership processes.





The CCCU SUC strategy document demonstrates a commitment to the involvement of SUCs in curriculum development, recruitment and delivery of programmes. Programme documentation reports that consultation with SUCs and students has informed the programme development. Students and SUC representatives who attended the approval visit weren't able to confirm that they'd been involved in the development the programme. The SUC representative tells us they've had no direct involvement in the delivery of the programme. Students tell us that while they work with SUCs under the supervision of their practice assessor or practice supervisor in the practice learning environment, they're not aware of any direct SUC involvement in the delivery of the programme. (Condition one)

any direct SUC involvement in the delivery of the programme. (Condition one)
Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education MET NOT MET
Students and SUCs weren't able to confirm any involvement in the development of the programme. SUCs tell us they've not been involved in the delivery of the programme. Students couldn't confirm how SUCs directly contribute to the delivery of the programme.
Condition one: Provide an implementation plan for how feedback from students and SUCs will inform the ongoing and future developments of the programme. (SFNME R1.12, R4.9) (NMC and university condition)
Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment
MET $oxed{oxed}$ NOT MET $oxed{oxed}$

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

Condition one: An implementation plan details how feedback from students and SUCs will inform future and ongoing programme development. Students will, for example, provide feedback at the mid-point and end of the programme. Students will be represented at student council meetings and feedback will inform programme developments. SUC feedback will continue to be required in the PAD and there will be a designated SUC review of this feedback. SUCs' involvement in programme planning meetings will inform ongoing programme development. An evaluation of feedback from students and SUCs will be considered as part of a





Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET	
Date condition(s) met: 1 March 2021	
SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, programme specification, undated	
Evidence:	
Condition one is met.	
programme continuous improvement plan and presented for consideration at the CCCU board of study.	

Student journey through the programme

Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R1.1 confirm on entry to the programme that students are, or were, registered with the NMC
- R1.2 confirm on entry to the programme that students:
- R1.2.1 demonstrate values in accordance with *the Code*
- R1.2.2 have capability to behave in accordance with the Code
- R1.2.3 have capability to update numeracy skills required to meet programme outcomes
- R1.2.4 can demonstrate they meet NMC English language requirements
- R1.2.5 have capability in literacy to meet programme outcomes
- R1.2.6 have capability for digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes
- R1.3 ensure students' health and character is sufficient to enable safe and effective practice on entering the programme, throughout the programme and when submitting the supporting declaration of health and character in line with the NMC's health and character guidance. This includes facilitating satisfactory and timely occupational health assessment and criminal record checks.
- R1.4 ensure students are fully informed of the requirement to declare immediately any police charges, cautions, convictions or conditional discharges or determinations that their fitness to practise is impaired made by other regulators,





professional bodies and educational establishments, and that any declarations are dealt with promptly, fairly and lawfully

- R1.5 ensure the person responsible for directing the educational programme or their designated substitute is able to provide supporting declarations of health and character for students who have completed a return to practice programme
- R1.6 consider students' prior learning and experience in relation to the standards of proficiency, programme outcomes, and the students intended scope of practice upon readmission, and
- R1.7 support students throughout the programme in updating their abilities in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes.

Note: Education institutions and their practice learning partners may propose to transfer current students onto the new programme to meet the *Standards for* return to practice programmes. If so, evidence must be provided to support this proposed transfer as part of the education institution's mapping process at Gateway 3.

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the Standards for return to practice programmes (NMC, 2019).

Proposed transfer of current students to the **Standards for student supervision** and assessment (NMC, 2018).

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the **Standards for** student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018).

Findings against the standard and requirements Evidence provides assurance that the following requirements are met: R1.1 confirm on entry to the programme that students are, or were, registered with the NMC YES 🖂 NO R1.2 confirm on entry to the programme that students: R1.2.1 demonstrate values in accordance with *the Code* YES 🖂 NO





YES NO
R1.5 ensure the person responsible for directing the educational programme or their designated substitute is able to provide supporting declarations of health and character for students who have completed a return to practice programme
R1.4 ensure students are fully informed of the requirement to declare immediately any police charges, cautions, convictions or conditional discharges or determinations that their fitness to practise is impaired made by other regulators, professional bodies and educational establishments, and that any declarations are dealt with promptly, fairly and lawfully YES NO
R1.3 ensure students' health and character is sufficient to enable safe and effective practice on entering the programme, throughout the programme and when submitting the supporting declaration of health and character in line with the NMC's health and character guidance . This includes facilitating satisfactory and timely occupational health assessment and criminal record checks. YES NO
R1.2.6 have capability for digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes YES NO
R1.2.5 have capability in literacy to meet programme outcomes YES NO
R1.2.4 can demonstrate they meet NMC <u>English language requirements</u> YES NO
R1.2.3 have capability to update numeracy skills required to meet programme outcomes YES NO
R1.2.2 have capability to behave in accordance with the Code YES NO





upon readmission, and	dents intended	scope of practice
aportrodamission, and	MET 🗌	NOT MET \boxtimes
R1.6 is not met. The programme team and students experience and practice hours are determined at the the development of an individual learning plan for eaplan considers prior learning, practice hours and the they will require for completion of the programme. The process for using the individual plan in the programme two)	e point of appli ach route. The type of bespo here's no evide	cation through y tell us that the oke experiences ence of the
R1.7 support students throughout the programme in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy outcomes.	cy to meet pro	
R1.7 is met. Documentary evidence demonstrates the and technological literacy is sufficient to meet the proprogramme documentation, the programme team an numeracy skills are assessed on entry to the program students to develop numeracy and literacy skills that assessment providing feedback to students on their numeracy resources including medicines calculation to students and support digital learning. PLPs confirm developed structures enabling students to be oriental digital platforms used in their fields of practice.	ogramme outond students comme. CCCU of include a forracedemic write assessments methods.	comes. onfirm that offers support to mative ting skills. Online are accessible re well-
The learning and teaching strategy promotes inclusive experiences for students through the use of blended delivered through a combination of lectures, seminar	learning. Tea	ching is

R1.6 consider students' prior learning and experience in relation to the standards

using virtual and face-to-face teaching. Students tell us that they access learning and support through the virtual learning environment (VLE) Blackboard. Students are complimentary about the learning resources available to support calculations

and simulation. Some students tell us that they've found using the digital

technology challenging and would appreciate further support. The programme team should consider how students can be supported to further develop and enhance their information technology (IT) skills. (Recommendation one)





CCCU work with PLPs to ensure any required reasonable adjustments are in place. Learning and teaching approaches support students to meet the programme requirements for their intended area and field of practice. Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review From your documentary analysis and your meeting with students, provide an evaluative summary to confirm how the Standards for return to practice programmes will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme. The programme team confirm there are no students undertaking the current programme who will require transfer to the new programme. Current students will complete before the proposed programme commences. Proposed transfer of current students to the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018). From your documentary analysis and your meetings at the approval visit confirm if students will be transferring to the SSSA, and if so that they have informed choice and are fully prepared for supervision and assessment. All current students are supported in line with the SSSA and will have completed their programme by the commencement of the proposed programme. Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to selection, admission and progression are met YES There's no evidence of the individual plan used at admission to support student access to be poke field/professional practice learning opportunities. (Condition two) **Outcome** Is the standard met? NOT MET MET There's no evidence of the individual plan used at admission to support student access to be spoke field/professional practice learning opportunities. Condition two: Provide the individual learning plan that's developed at admission

to support the bespoke experiences students require to meet the programme





MET ⊠ NOT MET □

proficiencies in their relevant field/professional practice context. (SFNME R3.1; SRtPP R1.6)
Date: 18 January 2021
Post event review
Identify how the condition(s) is met
Condition two: An individualised learning plan is undertaken at admission to the programme. The plan identifies how students are supported to ensure that they have access to the bespoke learning opportunities required to meet the programme proficiencies in their relevant field/professional practice context. The plan includes a review at mid-point in the programme undertaken by personal academic tutors (PATs) and students.
Condition two is met.
Evidence: Individual learning plan, undated Revised, programme specification, undated
Date condition(s) met: 1 March 2021

Standard 2: Curriculum

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

Approved educations institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R2.1 ensure programmes comply with the NMC <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u>
- R2.2 comply with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment
- R2.3 ensure that programme learning outcomes reflect relevant standards of proficiency
- R2.4 design and deliver a programme that supports students to return to their intended area of practice
- R2.5 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with legislation which supports use of the Welsh language
- R2.6 state routes within the return to practice programme that allows:





- R2.6.1 nurses to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register in one or more of the specific fields of nursing practice: adult, children, learning disabilities and mental health nursing
- R2.6.2 midwives to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register as midwives
- R2.6.3 specialist community and public health nurses (SCPHNs) to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register as specialist community and public health nurses
- R2.6.4 nursing associates to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register as nursing associates
- set out the content necessary to meet the programme outcomes for each field of nursing practice: adult, children, learning disabilities and mental health nursing
- R2.8 set out the general and professional content necessary to confirm the relevant standards of proficiency and programme outcomes for each part of the register
- ensure that specific content such as: safeguarding, consent, pharmacology and medicines administration and optimisation is included for the student to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register
- R2.10 ensure the curriculum uses a range of learning and teaching strategies which may include flexible or distance learning, which must be used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment, and
- R2.11 ensure that all return to practice programmes are an appropriate length to support programme outcomes.

Findings against the standard and requirements						
Evidence provides assurance that the following requirements are met:						
R2.1 ensure programmes comply with the NMC <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> Yes No						
R2.2 comply with the NMC <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> Yes No						
R2.3 ensure that programme learning outcomes reflect relevant standards of proficiency						
Nursing/ fields of nursing practice: adult, children, learning disabilities and mental health nursing ${\bf Yes} \; {\bf No} \; {\bf \Box} \; \; {\bf N/A} \; {\bf \Box}$						





Midwives to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register as midwives Yes No	o 🗌 N	/A			
A midwifery route is not presented for approval.					
Specialist community and public health nurses (SCPHNs) Yes No	o 🗌 N	I/A 🗌			
Nursing associates Yes No	o 🗌 N	I/A 🖂			
A nursing associate route is not presented for approval.					
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the requirement below is met or not met.					
R2.4 design and deliver a programme that supports students to retuintended area of practice MET					
R2.4 is met. Documentary evidence and the approval visit confirms the programme design and delivery supports students to effectively return intended area of practice. Programme documents are mapped to the the SPSCPHN. Programme documentation, the programme plan, the team and students confirm that the programme combines theory with programme is delivered by the programme team via face-to-face class learning combined with simulation and self-directed learning which to hours to over a 15-week period, with the potential to extend. The programd PLPs tell us that students can take up to 30 weeks to complete the programme depending on individual need. Placement allocations are between PLPs and the programme team to ensure students can achine required practice proficiencies for their intended route. The England PAD and the SCPHN PAD provide students with opportunities to devant demonstrate achievement of the practice proficiencies. The programd PLPs tell us that the programme enables both students and PLPs invest in student continued professional development and progression ensuring they meet the NMC proficiencies for all fields at the required level. Evidence provides assurance that the following requirements are	rn to their SPRN are programme tals 200 gramme the element the programme training to further the tale acader	and mme e. The team ated sing apture team her also			





R2.5 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with legislation which supports use of the Welsh language				
supports use of the Weish language	YE	S	NO 🗌	N/A \boxtimes
The programme is delivered in England.				
R2.6 state routes within the return to practi	ce programme	e that all	ows:	
R2.6.1 nurses to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register in one or more specific fields of nursing practice: adult, children, learning disabilities				
mental health nursing	Y	′es ⊠	No 🗌	N/A 🗌
R2.6.2 midwives to be readmitted to, or remains	ain on, the reg	jister as	midwives	3
	Y	′es 🗌	No 🗌	N/A 🖂
A midwifery route is not presented for appro-	val.			
R2.6.3 specialist community and public health nurses (SCPHNs) to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register as specialist community and public health				
nurses	Y	′es ⊠	No 🗌	N/A
R2.6.4 nursing associates to be readmitted	to, or remain o	on, the re	egister as	3
nursing associates	Y	′es 🗌	No 🗌	N/A 🖂
A nursing associate route is not presented for	or approval.			
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the requirement below is met or not met.				
R2.7 set out the content necessary to meer field of nursing practice: adult, children, le nursing				
Hursing	MET 🗌	NOT N		N/A
R2.7 is not met. Documentary evidence and the approval visit confirm the programme is designed to support the development of the general content necessary for nurses to return to, or remain on, the register. Programme				





documents don't for example detail specific reading lists for each field of practice and public health areas. Indicative simulation opportunities and online resources supporting students from field/professional areas aren't clearly detailed in the programme documentation. (Condition three) R2.8 set out the general and professional content necessary to confirm the relevant standards of proficiency and programme outcomes for each part of the register Nursing/ fields of nursing practice: adult, children, learning disabilities and mental health nursing NOT MET \bowtie N/A \square MET R2.8 is not met (nursing). Documentary evidence and the approval visit confirms that the programme is designed to support the general content necessary for nurses to return to, or remain on, the register. Students are allocated to academic assessors and PATs with due regard to their field of practice. Students confirm that they have relevant practice experiences for their field of practice. The programme team tell us that students can achieve some of their practice hours visiting other areas to support their learning or through simulation opportunities. This includes opportunities for students to learn the skills and proficiencies in annexe A and annexe B of the SPRN. Students are given online learning opportunities through the VLE. This includes numeracy, anatomy and physiology, literacy and access to clinicalskills.net to further support the development of clinical skills. The programme has six core inter-disciplinary days, with extra days for simulation-based learning for nursing in addition to field relevant PLP induction. Students are supported to learn numeracy, literacy (including IT skills), clinical proficiencies, legal and ethical issues including safeguarding, risk management and leadership. The programme team confirm interprofessional learning opportunities are achieved in the classroom through problem-based learning activities in addition to learning in the practice learning environment. Programme documents don't detail for example specific reading lists for each field of practice and public health areas. Field specific content, including indicative simulation opportunities and online resources, and how they're mapped to evidence how nursing students meet the programme proficiencies isn't clear in the programme documentation. (Condition three) Midwives to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register as midwives MET NOT MET \square N/A \bowtie





A midwifery route is not presented for approval.				
Specialist community and public health nurses (SCPHNs) MET NOT MET N/A				
R2.8 is not met (SCPHN). Documentary evidence and the approval visit confirms that the programme is designed to support the general content necessary for nurses to return to, or remain on, the register and enable reactivation of a specialist public health registration. Students are supported by academic assessors and a PAT with SCPHN due regard. Students confirm they undertake relevant SCPHN practice experience. The programme team tell us that students can achieve some of their practice hours visiting other areas to support their learning and through simulation opportunities. Students demonstrate progression towards and achievement of the SPSCPHN in the SCPHN PAD.				
Students tell us they have online learning opportunities through the VLE. This includes numeracy, anatomy and physiology, literacy and clinicalskills.net. The programme has six core inter-disciplinary days, with extra days for simulation-based learning and relevant SCPHN PLP induction. SCPHN students are supported to learn medicine management, infection control, accountability, health inequalities, social determinants of health, searching and appraising evidence, leading person-centred care and team working, practice supervision, quality and risk. The programme team confirm that interprofessional learning opportunities are achieved in the classroom through problem-based learning activities in addition to learning in the practice learning environment. SCPHN specific content, including indicative simulation opportunities and online resources, and how they're mapped to evidence how SCPHN students meet the programme proficiencies isn't clear in the programme documentation. (Condition three)				
Nursing associates MET NOT MET N/A				
A nursing associate route is not presented for approval.				
R2.9 ensure that specific content such as: safeguarding, consent, pharmacology and medicines administration and optimisation is included for the student to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register				
MET ⊠ NOT MET □				
R2.9 is met. Documentary evidence and the approval visit demonstrate how mental capacity, consent, safeguarding, IT skills, inter-professional learning and				





medicines optimisation are included in the programme. Students, the programme team and PLPs tell us that module content and practice learning environments provide students with the opportunity to develop the knowledge and skills required to be readmitted to, or to remain on, the register. Students tell us that that these aspects are also supported through classroom-based PowerPoint presentations and online learning materials and reinforced in practice by practice assessors and practice supervisors.

Students tell us that the module guide identifies learning resources that support, develop and consolidate their learning. The England RtP nursing PAD and SCPHN PAD ensure that students have the opportunity to demonstrate proficiencies relevant to their field of nursing and specialist public health area. Programme documentation shows specific taught theory content to update students on mental capacity, consent, safeguarding, IT skills, inter-professional learning and medicines optimisation. Students describe a positive approach to access and support from the programme team and PLPs who prepare them effectively within their field specific area of practice.

R2.10 ensure the curriculum uses a range of learning and teaching strategies which may include flexible or distance learning, which must be used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment, and

$MET oxed{oxed}$	NOT MET	
------------------	---------	--

R2.10 is met. Learning and teaching strategies are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment. Documentary evidence and the approval visit indicate that a variety of learning strategies are used within the programme including clinical skills simulation, online learning activity through the VLE as well as classroom and practice learning activities. The programme is designed around a delivery model that prepares students for practice learning placements and supports ongoing engagement, learning and transfer of knowledge when practice placement learning is underway. Students confirm that distance learning resources are accessible remotely. The timetable shows that students have six taught and six directed study days over a 12-week period, plus a local PLP induction. Practice learning placements are undertaken alongside the taught element of the programme.

Students learn through online activities, lectures, problem-based learning and group work. Students tell us that the programme team are accessible and supportive. They confirm that the programme content prepares them effectively to return to practice. The programme documentation and programme team confirm that there's effective and proportionate learning and assessment in the programme





with formative assessment elements in both theory and practice. Practice assessment is recorded in the England RtP nursing PAD and the SCPHN PAD.					
R2.11 ensure that all return to practice programmes are an appropriate length to support programme outcomes.					
MET ⊠ NOT MET □					
R2.11 is met. The programme structure demonstrates that it's an appropriate length to support programme outcomes. The programme length of 15 weeks can be extended to 30 weeks for those students who require more time to meet the proficiencies in practice. Students undertake a minimum of 150 hours supernumerary practice placement in order to achieve the practice-based requirements for the programme. Individual required practice hours are determined at the start of the programme by the programme team and can be extended up to 300 hours. The programme length is designed to allow all programme outcomes and proficiencies to be achieved.					
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and</u>					
midwifery education relevant to curricula and assessment are met YES NO					
E. 11 . W					
Field specific content and how it's mapped to evidence how nursing and SCPHN students meet the programme proficiencies isn't clearly detailed in the programme documentation. (Condition three)					
students meet the programme proficiencies isn't clearly detailed in the programme documentation. (Condition three) Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and</u>					
students meet the programme proficiencies isn't clearly detailed in the programme documentation. (Condition three) Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> relevant to assessment are met YES NO					
students meet the programme proficiencies isn't clearly detailed in the programme documentation. (Condition three) Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> relevant to assessment are met					
students meet the programme proficiencies isn't clearly detailed in the programme documentation. (Condition three) Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> relevant to assessment are met YES NO					
students meet the programme proficiencies isn't clearly detailed in the programme documentation. (Condition three) Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> relevant to assessment are met YES NO Outcome					





Date: 18 January 2021
Post event review
Identify how the condition(s) is met:
dentity now the condition(s) is thet.
Condition three: Revised module descriptors detail field specific content, reading lists and learning outcomes. The modules are mapped to the programme proficiencies.
Condition three is met.
Evidence:
Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated
Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated
Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated
Revised, mapping document, updated
Data condition(s): 1 March 2021
Date condition(s): 1 March 2021
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET

Standard 3: Practice learning

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R3.1 provide practice learning opportunities that confirm students can deliver safe and effective care in their intended area of practice to a diverse range of people R3.2 provide practice learning opportunities that confirm students meet the communication and relationship management skills and procedures in their intended area of practice, as set out in the relevant standards of proficiency R3.3 ensure that students experience a range of settings for their intended area of practice, demonstrating an ability to meet the holistic needs of people R3.4 ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment R3.5 take account of students' individual needs, personal circumstances and intended area of practice when allocating their practice learning including making reasonable adjustments for students with disabilities, and R3.6 ensure that students are supernumerary.





Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the requirement below is met or not met.

R3.1 provide practice learning opportunities that confirm students can deliver safe and effective care in their intended area of practice to a diverse range of people

MET
NOT MET

R3.1 is met. Programme documentary evidence and discussion at the approval visit with students and PLPs confirm that practice learning opportunities are provided which enable students to the deliver safe and effective care for them to return to the register in their intended area of practice. PLPs and the programme team confirm that they work in partnership to provide learning opportunities that are sufficient to deliver safe and effective care. PLPs tell us that the programme meets their workforce development requirements. There are processes in place to educationally audit practice learning environments with joint responsibility for approving and suspending practice learning areas if required. This can be for reasons of capacity, public safety and protection. Students confirm that they complete practice evaluations.

There's evidence of appropriate shared governance and monitoring of the programme. There's a robust approach to information sharing with PLPs in terms of safeguarding and fitness to practise for students. Student and practice assessor and practice supervisor facing documents detail the processes for fitness to practise and for escalating concerns. PLPs confirm a commitment to implementing the SSSA to deliver safe and effective care, including preparing practice assessors and practice supervisors to support learning and assessment in practice.

Students tell us they know how to escalate any concerns about care and where to get support to do this. They can report any issues or concerns to the academic team or PATs who will liaise with PLPs on a one-to-one basis to provide support to students, practice assessors and practice supervisors as required. If needed students can be removed from a practice learning environment and the programme director and relevant practice placement facilitators (PPFs) will assist in finding alternative placements.





R3.2 provide practice learning opportunities that confirm students meet the
communication and relationship management skills and procedures in their
intended area of practice, as set out in the relevant standards of proficiency
MET $oxed{oxed}$ NOT MET $oxed{oxed}$
R3.2 is met. Programme documentation provides evidence of how practice
learning opportunities support students to develop and meet communication and
relationship management skills and procedures within the context of their field of
practice. These skills are mapped and recorded in the England RtP nursing PAD
and the SCPHN PAD. Students tell us that they're assigned to a practice assessor
and practice supervisor who support and assess them in their allocated practice
placement area. They confirm that they work with SUCs to implement care under
supervision and develop the appropriate communication and relationship
management skills for their intended area of practice. Students confirm that this
includes developing leadership, delegation, co-ordinating and managing care skills
for a group of SUCs. They tell us that this is recorded in the respective PADs.
Students tell us that they feel they develop confidence as they progress through
the programme.
R3.3 ensure that students experience a range of settings for their intended area of
practice, demonstrating an ability to meet the holistic needs of people
MET NOT MET

R3.3 is met. Programme documentation and discussion at the approval visit provides evidence of how practice learning opportunities support students to develop the skills and knowledge to meet the holistic needs of people within their intended area of practice. Documentary evidence demonstrates that practice assessors, practice supervisors and academic assessors work with students to plan how their practice learning experience will meet proficiencies. Students confirm that there are sufficient practice learning environments for each programme route who provide a range of settings that enable them to meet the holistic needs of people. Practice placements are allocated collaboratively between the CCCU placement team and PLPs. The programme team and PLPs tell us that practice placements are selected to meet students' needs. The CCCU placement team track student placements and capacity. PLPs maintain a record of current practice assessors and practice supervisors. Practice assessors are allocated to students by the programme team in consultation with PLPs. Students record practice experiences and learning including feedback from SUCs and practice staff, including for example allied health professionals, in their respective PADs.





R3.4 ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment MET NOT MET
R3.4 is met. Programme documentation and discussion at the approval visit confirm that technology enhanced, and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment. Simulated learning opportunities are identified in the programme documentation. Students tell us that they also undertake simulated learning in the practice placement as part of practice induction. Students develop their technology skills by using technology enhanced equipment including, recording patient observations, medicine management and communication systems for patient records. Students can access individual organisational policies and procedures electronically.
R3.5 take account of students' individual needs, personal circumstances and intended area of practice when allocating their practice learning including making reasonable adjustments for students with disabilities, and MET MOT MET
R3.5 is met. There's documentary evidence that CCCU and PLPs have policies and processes in place to take account of individual student need, personal circumstances and reasonable adjustments. Students tell us that there's flexibility when allocating practice learning areas. Student-facing documentation signposts students to policies and resources for reasonable adjustments. Students tell us that they're supported by CCCU and practice placement teams for a wide range of needs.
Evidence provides assurance that the following requirements are met: R3.6 ensure that students are supernumerary. Yes No
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> relevant to practice learning are met YES NO
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> relevant to practice learning are met YES NO
Outcome
Is the standard met? Date: 18 January 2021 MET NOT MET NOT MET





Post event review	
Identify how the condition(s) is met:	
N/A	
Date condition(s):	
N/A	
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET NOT MET
N/A	

Standard 4: Supervision and assessment

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*
- R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u>
- R4.3 ensure they inform the NMC of the name of the person responsible for directing the education programme
- R4.4 provide students with feedback throughout the programme to support their development
- R4.5 ensure throughout the programme that students meet the required standard of proficiency and programme outcomes to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register
- R4.6 ensure that students meet communication and relationship management skills and procedures
- R4.7 assess students to confirm proficiency in preparation for being readmitted to, or remaining on, the register
- R4.8 ensure that relevant proficiencies are recorded in a record of achievement which confirms these proficiencies and skills have been met, and
- R4.9 confirm students' safe and effective practice and suitability to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register.

Findings against the standards and requirements





Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the requirement below is met or not met

R4.1 ensure t	hat support,	supervision,	learning	and	assessment pr	ovided	complies
with the NMC	Standards t	framework for	nursing	and	midwifery educ	ation	
			_		MET 🖂	NO	Г МЕТ 🗀

R4.1 is met. Documentation and the approval visit demonstrate that support, supervision and assessment comply with the SFNME. There are agreed policies and processes co-designed to ensure there's sufficient support, supervision, learning and assessment to enable students to meet required learning outcomes and proficiencies. Students and PLPs tell us that support and supervision is provided at all times within the practice learning environment. Students tell us that they're supported and supervised in their learning environments. Students understand the scope of their practice, adhere to the Code (NMC, 2018) and understand they must obtain consent from SUCs to be able to care for them. The programme documentation confirms that an external examiner is appointed for the programme.

R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u>

MET ⋈ NOT MET □	
-----------------	--

R4.2 is met. Documentation and the approval visit provide assurance that support, supervision, learning and assessment for RtP students complies with the SSSA.

The England RtP nursing PAD and SCPHN PAD contain information about the roles of practice assessors and practice supervisors. There's agreed preparation for practice learning staff for their roles as practice supervisors and registered nurses as practice assessors. Preparation includes specific reference to RtP students and their learning needs. Support for practice assessors and practice supervisors is provided by PPFs, the programme director and the SCPHN programme lead. PLPs maintain a database as a live record of practice assessors and practice supervisors. CCCU and PLPs provide a programme of update sessions for registered nurses to be practice assessors and practice supervisors. Academic assessors confirm that this role is considered in their workload and documentation supports this. The England RtP nursing PAD and SCPHN PAD provide a structure of the process and frequency of meetings between students, practice assessors and academic assessors in confirming progression with summative assessment taking place at a final tripartite meeting.





Evidence provides assurance that the following requirement is met:					
R4.3 ensure they inform the NMC of the name of the person re directing the education programme	-				
	YE	s 🖂	NO 🗌		
	Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the requirement below is met or not met				
R4.4 provide students with feedback throughout the programm	e to su	ipport t	heir		
development MET	Γ⊠	NOT	МЕТ		
R4.4 is met. Documentation and the approval visit confirm that provided with feedback in a timely manner throughout the progrand summative theory-based activities and assessments. Stud specific PAT and an academic assessor for the duration of the programme structure acknowledges that RtP students can hav needs from pre-registration nursing students. This is reflected is students are offered and receive through flexible, online and di approaches. There's a formative profession specific academic written reflective account) and formative practice point where se feedback on their progress, communication skills as well as ac Practice assessment is structured around tripartite initial, mid-printerviews with feedback provided by practice assessors and peractice supervisors contribute to student feedback and do this England RtP nursing PAD and the SCPHN PAD.	grammedents he programede difference stance assess student and coint and coi	e on for lave a famme. The learning sment of attair and finale super	rmative Field The arning t ng (a ve nment.		
R4.5 ensure throughout the programme that students meet the of proficiency and programme outcomes to be readmitted to, or register					
	T 🖂	NOT	МЕТ 🗌		
R4.5 is met. The England RtP nursing PAD and the SCPHN Parachievement of the required proficiencies. All programme, modelearning outcomes and proficiencies must be successfully achievement of the programme. This is confirmed by the program and PLPs at the approval visit. Programme outcomes are asseformative and summative assessments. PLPs and students tell programme prepares them for readmission to, or remaining on student handbook makes clear the arrangements for non-achievements.	dule and ieved be mme to essed to the the essed to the es	nd practory stude eam, standard the ead of t	tice ents for udents		





reassessment and retrieval of learning. Programme documentation and the programme team confirm that all assessments are managed through the external examiner and university assessment board processes to confirm outcomes. The PADs incorporate SUC feedback processes and include self-assessment opportunities for students. R4.6 ensure that students meet communication and relationship management skills and procedures MET |NOT MET R4.6 is met. CCCU, in partnership with PLPs, have a robust process to ensure students develop and meet the communication and relationship skills and procedures required for effective practice. Students tell us about the communication and relationship management skills that they're required they demonstrate in their field specific practice setting. The programme team and PLPs tell us about the importance of supporting students to develop and demonstrate effective communication and relationship management skills for practice. The programme team and PLPs confirm there's sufficient capacity in practice placements, and that there's practice learning staff with experience of supporting RtP students to ensure they meet communication and relationship management skills and procedures. R4.7 assess students to confirm proficiency in preparation for being readmitted to, or remaining on, the register MET 🖂 NOT MET R4.7 is met. CCCU, in partnership with PLPs, have robust assessment processes in place to assess student proficiencies in preparation for being readmitted to, or to remain on, the NMC register. Programme documentation confirms appropriate assessment methods and CCCU regulations assure the validity of assessment methods used in both theory and practice settings. There are processes in place to help practice learning staff support students in practice. Academic assessors meet with students and practice assessors towards the end of the programme to confirm achievement of proficiencies in practice. All students tell us that the programme prepares them for readmission to, or remaining on, the register. **Evidence provides assurance that the following requirement is met:** R4.8 ensure that relevant proficiencies are recorded in a record of achievement which confirms these proficiencies and skills have been met, and \boxtimes YES NO [





evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the requirement below is met or not met				
R4.9 confirm students' safe and effective practice and suitability to be or remain on, the register.				
MET 🖂	NOT MET			
R4.9 is met. Documentary evidence and discussion at the approval verthat CCCU work in partnership with PLPs to ensure students develop the skills and knowledge for safe and effective practice. Students tell practice-based learning opportunities enable them to deliver safe and care. Documentary evidence and discussion at the approval visit programment that students are prepared to deliver safe and effective prasuitable to be readmitted to, or remain on, the register. Practice learn suitable experiences for students to learn and practice skills and programmet the SPRN, the SPSCPHN and the SRtPP. These are assessed in the England RtP nursing PAD and the SCPHN PAD. PLPs tell us to confident that students completing the RtP programme are suitably preadmitted to, or to remain on, the register. PLPs are confident the programs students to be safe and effective practitioners.	and deliver us that d effective vides actice and are ling provides cedures to I and recorded that they're arepared to be			
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nurs</u> <u>midwifery education</u> relevant to supervision and assessment are met				
YES	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ NO $oxed{\Box}$			
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment are met YES				
Outcome				
Is the standard met? MET MET	NOT MET			
Date: 18 January 2021				
Post event review				
Identify how the condition(s) is met:				
N/A				
Date condition(s) met:				





N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET	☐ NOT I	MET 🗌
N/A		
Standard 5: Qualification or credits to be awarded and information		
Approved education institutions, together with practice learn must:	ning partne	ers,
R5.1 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to praction nurses and midwives is at bachelor's degree level R5.2 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to praction nursing associates is at foundation degree level, and		
R5.3 notify students during and before completion of the program apply to re-join the NMC register.	nme how th	iey
Findings against the standards and requireme	inte	
i indings against the standards and requireme	1113	
Evidence provides assurance that the following requirement		
Evidence provides assurance that the following requirement R5.1 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to practic	ts are met:	
Evidence provides assurance that the following requirement	ts are met:	me for
Evidence provides assurance that the following requirement R5.1 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to practic nurses and midwives is at bachelor's degree level R5.2 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to practic	ts are met: ce program YES 🖂	me for
Evidence provides assurance that the following requirement R5.1 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to praction nurses and midwives is at bachelor's degree level	ts are met: ce program YES 🖂	me for
Evidence provides assurance that the following requirement R5.1 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to practic nurses and midwives is at bachelor's degree level R5.2 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to practic nursing associates is at foundation degree level, and R5.3 notify students during and before completion of the program	ts are met: ce program YES ce program YES	me for NO me for
Evidence provides assurance that the following requirement R5.1 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to practic nurses and midwives is at bachelor's degree level R5.2 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to practic nursing associates is at foundation degree level, and	ts are met: ce program YES ce program YES	me for NO me for
Evidence provides assurance that the following requirement R5.1 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to practic nurses and midwives is at bachelor's degree level R5.2 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to practic nursing associates is at foundation degree level, and R5.3 notify students during and before completion of the program they apply to re-join the NMC register. Assurance is provided that the Standards framework for nursing	ts are met: ce program YES ce program YES mme how YES	me for NO me for NO NO NO
Evidence provides assurance that the following requirement R5.1 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to practic nurses and midwives is at bachelor's degree level R5.2 ensure that the minimum credits/award for a return to practic nursing associates is at foundation degree level, and R5.3 notify students during and before completion of the program they apply to re-join the NMC register.	ts are met: ce program YES ce program YES nme how YES and midwif	me for NO me for NO NO NO





Is the standard met?	MET ⊠ NOT MET □
Date: 18 January 2021	
Post event review	
Identify how the condition(s) is met:	
N/A	
Date condition(s) met:	
N/A	
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET NOT MET
N/A	





Section four

Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and consultation		
Programme specification(s)	\boxtimes	
Module descriptors Nursing / field of nursing – adult, mental health, children's	\boxtimes	
Midwifery		
SCPHN		
Nursing associate		
Student facing documentation including programme handbook		
Nursing / field of nursing – adult, mental health, children's		
Midwifery		\boxtimes
SCPHN		
Nursing associate		
Practice assessment documentation (PAD) Please indicate which Standards of proficiency/competencies the PAD relates to:		
Nursing / field of nursing – adult, mental health, children's		
Midwifery		\boxtimes
SCPHN		
Nursing associate		





Practice placement handbook: Nursing / field of nursing – adult, mental health, children's		
Midwifery		
SCPHN		
Nursing associate		
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped against <u>Standards for return to practice programmes</u> (NMC, 2019) for each route:		
Nursing / field of nursing – adult, mental health, children's	\boxtimes	
Midwifery		\boxtimes
SCPHN	\boxtimes	
Nursing associate		\boxtimes
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education institution has met the <i>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</i> (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 1)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018) apply to the programme(s) (Gateway 2)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education institution has met the Standards for return to practice programmes (NMC, 2019) (Gateway 3)		
Curricula vitae (CV) for relevant staff		
Programme lead: Nursing		
Midwifery		\boxtimes
SCPHN		
Nursing associate		





institution and associated practice learning partners to support the programme intentions. If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: RtP midwifery and RtP nursing associate are not presented for approval. List additional documentation: Post visit documentation: Approval panel report, undated Programme outcomes, confirming university conditions met, undated Individual learning plan, undated Revised, programme specification, undated SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments: None identified	Written placement agreements between the education		
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: RtP midwifery and RtP nursing associate are not presented for approval. List additional documentation: Post visit documentation: Approval panel report, undated Programme outcomes, confirming university conditions met, undated Individual learning plan, undated Revised, programme specification, undated SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	institution and associated practice learning partners to		
RtP midwifery and RtP nursing associate are not presented for approval. List additional documentation: Post visit documentation: Approval panel report, undated Programme outcomes, confirming university conditions met, undated Individual learning plan, undated Revised, programme specification, undated SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	support the programme intentions.		
List additional documentation: Post visit documentation: Approval panel report, undated Programme outcomes, confirming university conditions met, undated Individual learning plan, undated Revised, programme specification, undated SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitig	ation:	
Post visit documentation: Approval panel report, undated Programme outcomes, confirming university conditions met, undated Individual learning plan, undated Revised, programme specification, undated SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	RtP midwifery and RtP nursing associate are not presented	for approva	ıl.
Post visit documentation: Approval panel report, undated Programme outcomes, confirming university conditions met, undated Individual learning plan, undated Revised, programme specification, undated SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:			
Approval panel report, undated Programme outcomes, confirming university conditions met, undated Individual learning plan, undated Revised, programme specification, undated SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	List additional documentation:		
Programme outcomes, confirming university conditions met, undated Individual learning plan, undated Revised, programme specification, undated SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	Post visit documentation:		
Individual learning plan, undated Revised, programme specification, undated SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	Approval panel report, undated		
Revised, programme specification, undated SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t, undated	
SUC and student engagement implementation plan, undated Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	l		
Revised, module descriptor, SCPHN, undated Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	,		
Revised, module descriptor, mental health nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed	
Revised, module descriptor, child nursing, undated Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Revised, module descriptor, adult nursing, undated Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	·	d	
Revised, mapping document, updated Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Revised, practice learning handbook, undated IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
IT development and support plan, undated Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:			
Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated Additional comments:	IT development and support plan, undated		
Additional comments:	Revised, programme handbook, level seven, undated		
	Revised, programme handbook, level six, undated		
None identified	Additional comments:		
None achanea.	None identified.		

During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups:

	YES	NO
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with		
responsibility for resources for the programme		
Senior managers from associated practice learning		
partners with responsibility for resources for the		
programme		
Programme team/academic assessors		
Practice leads/practice supervisors/ practice assessors		
Students		
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study:		
Adult student, 2020/2021 x one		
Child student, 2020/2021 x one		
Mental Health, 2020/2021 x one		
SCPHN student, completed 2020 x one		



Service users and carers

Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.



 \boxtimes

If you stated no above	ve, please provide the	reason and mitigatio	n:	
in you dialou no abovo, picado provido uno roadon ana maigation.				
Additional comments	3:			
None identified.				
The visitor(s) viewe	d the following areas	s/facilities during the	e event:	
		Y	ES NO	0
Specialist teaching a skills/simulation suite	accommodation (e.g. (es)	clinical		
Library facilities	,]
Technology enhance	ed learning			
Virtual learning envir	ronment			
Educational audit to	ols/documentation]
Practice learning en]
If yes, state where v	isited/findings:			
If you stated no above	ve, please provide the	reason and mitigatio	n:	
	d AEI visits aren't req			
Additional comments	S:			
None identified.				
Matt MacDanald Co	reup Diceleimer			
Mott MacDonald G	roup Discialmer			
This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific				
purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon				
by any other party or used for any other purpose.				
We accept no respo	nsibility for the conse	guences of this docur	nent heina reli	ad
We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any				
error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by				
other parties.				,
Issue record				
Final Report				
Author(s):	Michelle Laing	Date:	28 January 2	021
Checked by:	Bernadette Martin	Date:	5 February 2	





Submitted by:	Amy Young	Date:	18 March 2021
Approved by:	Leeann Greer	Date:	19 March 2021