



# Programme approval report

# Section one

Programme provider name:	Glasgow Caledonian University
Programmes reviewed:	Specialist community public health nursing:
	Health visiting ⊠
	Health visiting with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)
	School nurse
	School nurse with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)
	Occupational health nurse
	Occupational health nurse with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)
	Public health nurse
	Public health nurse with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)
	Specialist community public health nursing (NMC 2022) apprenticeship:
	Health visiting apprenticeship
	Health visiting with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) apprenticeship
	School nurse apprenticeship





	School nurse with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) apprenticeship   Occupational health nurse apprenticeship   Occupational health nurse with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) apprenticeship   Public health nurse apprenticeship   Public health nurse with integrated independent and supplementary
	prescribing (V300) apprenticeship
Title of programme(s):	PgD Specialist Community Public Health Nursing (Health Visiting)
Academic levels:	
SCPHN health visiting	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7  SCQF  Level 11
SCPHN health visiting with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7  SCQF  Level 11
SCPHN school nurse	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7  SCQF  Level 11
SCPHN school nurse with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7  SCQF  Level 11
SCPHN occupational health nurse	England, Wales, Northern Ireland





	Level 7
	SCQF Level 11
SCPHN occupational health nurse with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7
	SCQF  Level 11
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7
SCPHN public health nurse	SCQF Level 11
SCPHN public health nurse with	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7
integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	SCQF  Level 11
SCPHN health visiting apprenticeship	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7
	SCQF  Level 11
SCPHN health visiting with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) apprenticeship	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7
presenting (vece) apprendedship	SCQF  Level 11
SCPHN school nurse apprenticeship	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7
	SCQF  Level 11
SCPHN school nurse with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) apprenticeship	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7
1	SCQF Level 11





SCPHN occupational health nurse apprenticeship	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7
	SCQF  Level 11
SCPHN occupational health nurse with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7
apprenticeship	SCQF Level 11
SCPHN public health nurse apprenticeship	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7
	SCQF  Level 11
SCPHN public health nurse with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 7
apprenticeship	SCQF  Level 11
Date of approval visit:	7 March 2024
Programme start date:	
SCPHN health visiting	22 September 2024
SCPHN health visiting with integrated	
independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	
SCPHN school nurse	
SCPHN school nurse with integrated	
independent and supplementary	
prescribing (V300)	
SCPHN occupational health nurse	
SCPHN occupational health nurse with	
integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
SCPHN public health nurse	
SCPHN public health nurse with	
integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
SCPHN health visiting apprenticeship	





SCPHN health visiting with integrated	
independent and supplementary	
prescribing (V300) apprenticeship	
SCPHN school nurse apprenticeship	
SCPHN school nurse with integrated	
independent and supplementary	
prescribing (V300) apprenticeship	
SCPHN occupational health nurse	
apprenticeship	
SCPHN occupational health nurse with	
integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
apprenticeship	
SCPHN public health nurse	
apprenticeship	
SCPHN public health nurse with	
integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
apprenticeship	
QA visitor(s):	Registrant Visitor: Elaine Maria Tabony
	Lay Visitor: Kuldeep Singh





#### **Section two**

## **Summary of review and findings**

Glasgow Caledonian University (GCU) is a Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) approved education institution (AEI). The school of health and social sciences (the school) is seeking approval for a specialist community public health nursing (SCPHN) programme in the field of health visiting (HV). The proposed programme is a 120-credit postgraduate diploma (PgD) at level 11 and is offered in both full-time and part-time modes. The theory part of the programme is delivered on the main GCU campus. All 120-credits must be passed. No fall-back exit award leading to NMC registration as a SCPHN is proposed.

The GCU programme doesn't include an integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) qualification.

The programme is mapped to the Standards for post-registration programmes (SPRP) (NMC 2022, updated 2023) and the Standards of proficiency for SCPHNs (SPSCPHN) (NMC, 2022).

The programme comprises 45 weeks of theoretical and practice learning scheduled within a 52-week period, with the part-time route being delivered over two years. Each trimester is 15 weeks long with the final trimester providing consolidation of learning and a graded placement.

The programme is developed in partnership with practice learning partners (PLPs), students and people who use services and carers (PUSCs). The programme is delivered using a blended approach with a mixture of teaching and learning strategies including simulation. Simulated case studies are used to enable students to rehearse aspects of practice. Simulated learning is supported by practice supervisors and practice assessors. Student examinations include an objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) which the student must pass.

There's an opportunity for consolidated HV practice learning at the end of the programme which students must pass to be eligible to apply for NMC registration as a SCPHN. All core and HV field specific SPSCPHN are present in the practice assessment document (PAD) which are assessed by the practice assessor at the end of the programme. The academic assessor is required to confirm the award in the PAD. GCU adopt the pan-London SCPHN PAD which has previously been approved. GCU demonstrate how the core PAD is implemented in the SCPHN programme.

The programme leader and deputy programme leader are current SCPHN HV registrants, supported by an experienced academic team. The programme leader and deputy programme lead are deemed highly effective by all PLPs and each





group attending the visit confirm the leads are always available to support PLPs, practice assessors, practice supervisors and students.

Governance of equality, diversity and inclusion (EDI) is overseen at GCU by the EDI standing committee, with respect to performance indicators that include, care leaver, Scottish index of multiple deprivation, gender, ethnicity, age and disability. This committee collates and reviews student attainment data. There's underrepresentation of applicants to the SCPHN programme resulting in a student cohort that's not representative of the regional community profile. GCU are aware of this and are working with NHS education for Scotland (NES), NHS boards and AEIs joint meetings to address this.

GCU and PLPs confirm they work closely together as recorded in the programme development stakeholder engagement document. GCU and PLPs confirm monitoring system regulator reports to maintain safe learning environments for students. GCU and PLPs confirm that where appropriate, action plans are developed and implemented. There's additional confirmation of exceptional reporting processes to the NMC where there are risks to the safety and quality of student learning, including relevant outcomes from external regulator reports.

The Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018) isn't met at programme level as conditions apply.

The Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC 2018, updated 2023) are met at programme level.

The programme is recommended for approval subject to four NMC conditions and four university conditions. The university make four recommendations.

Update 8 April 2024:

GCU provide evidence to demonstrate the NMC conditions are met. GCU confirm that the university conditions are met.

The programme is recommended to the NMC for approval.

Recommended outcome of the approval panel	
Recommended outcome to the NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval
	Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met





	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme
	Effective partnership working collaboration, culture, communication and resources:
	Condition one: Provide a strategy and implementation plan to ensure PUSCs are involved in all aspects of the student journey, including EDI training and wider preparation for involvement in student selection. (SFNME R1.12, R2.7, R5.14)
	Selection, admission and progression:
	Condition four: The programme team must amend all relevant documentation to remove reference to an NMC approved Master of Science (MSc) route. (SFNME R2.1; SPRP R2.1.1)
	Practice learning:
Conditions:	Condition two: Ensure all programme expectations are clear and unambiguous for PLPs, to ensure consistency of student, practice supervisor and practice assessor understanding of protected learning time. (SPRP R1.5)
	Condition three: Provide an implementation plan that details how practice supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors are supported to understand their role and responsibilities in the context of the GCU SCPHN HV programme. (SFNME R2.4; SPRP R4.2)
	Assessment, fitness for practice and award:
	None Identified.
	Education governance: management and quality assurance:
	Condition five: The programme team must meet the conditions of the NMC to gain their approval of the SCPHN programme. (University condition)





	Condition six: The programme team are required to review all programme review submission documentation and annexes for post visit edits including errors of terminology and typographic nature and currency. (University condition)  Condition seven: The programme team are required to explicitly outline the student journey in terms of what they'll study and what qualification they'll gain at the end of their studies. There should be clarity in how the recognition of prior learning (RPL) policy will work and guidelines surrounding period of registration within the university, to be kept in line with current GCU policies. (University condition)  Condition eight: The programme team are required to outline, in detail, how the blended delivery work on
Date condition(s) to be	this programme. (University condition)  5 April 2024
met:	3 7 (pm 202 )
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	Recommendation one: It's recommended that in embedding EDI in the programme, a focus on empathy would be advantageous to the students. (University recommendation)
	Recommendation two: Consideration should remain ongoing in developing modules with content around infectious disease management, vaccinations and so on. (University recommendation)
	Recommendation three: It's recommended that the team review the learning outcomes and how they map to the dissertation module. (University recommendation)
	Recommendation four: It's recommended the programme team review current mechanisms of obtaining student feedback to achieve better engagement. (University recommendation)
Focused areas for future monitoring:	Involvement of PUSCs in the SCPHN programme for HV including further representation from diverse groups.





# Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met

### Commentary post review of evidence against conditions:

GCU provide additional evidence to ensure PUSCs are involved in all aspects of the student journey. This includes an opportunity for PUSCs to contribute to the student PAD and confirmation that the 'voice of the child' has been captured in programme development. Submitted documentation demonstrates awareness of EDI requirements and continued involvement of a breadth of PUSC representation. GCU confirm PUSC participation in EDI training and wider preparation for involvement in student selection. Condition one is met.

GCU provide additional evidence to confirm all programme expectations are clear and unambiguous for PLPs, to ensure consistency of student, practice supervisor and practice assessor understanding of protected learning time. Condition two is met.

GCU confirm there are PLP processes in place to verify a programme of support to complete the required preparation to act as practice assessor and/or practice supervisor for SCPHN students. GCU provide an implementation plan that details how practice supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors are supported to understand their role and responsibilities in the context of the GCU SCPHN HV programme. Condition three is met.

The programme team have amended all relevant documentation to remove reference to an NMC approved MSc route. Condition four is met.

GCU confirm that the university conditions are met.

AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institution YES NO
Summary of observations made, if applicable	
Final recommendation made to NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval
	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme
Date condition(s) met:	5 April 2024

# Section three





# **NMC Programme standards**

Please refer to NMC standards reference points:

Standards for post-registration education programmes (NMC, 2022)

<u>Standards of proficiency for specialist community public health nurses</u> (NMC, 2022)

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates (NMC, 2015 updated 2018)

Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education (NMC, 2019)

QA Handbook (NMC, 2022)

## **Partnerships**

The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders.

#### Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)

#### **Standard 1: The learning culture:**

- R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and coproduced with service users and other stakeholders
- R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

#### Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:

- R2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, service users, students and all other stakeholders
- R2.4 comply with NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment
- R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes
- R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation
- R2.7 ensure that service users and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection





# **Standard 3: Student empowerment:**

- R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs
- R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills
- R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning
- R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

#### Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

- R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment
- R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment
- R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

#### Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

- R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes
- R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme
- R5.14 a range of people including service users contribute to student assessment

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

#### Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:

- R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments
- R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning
- R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including service users, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

#### Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning





## Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

# Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

#### Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

#### Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

#### Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, service users, students and any other stakeholders.

Documentary evidence and the approval visit demonstrate evidence of partnership working between GCU and key stakeholders. There's established GCU processes providing channels of communication with PLPs at strategic and operational levels to ensure effective partnership working and co-production of the programme.

There's documentary evidence that stakeholders including PLPs, PUSCs and students have been involved in the co-production, design and development of the programme. We've seen consultation invites, early insights from different surveys and minutes from stakeholder engagement meetings. Discussion at the visit confirms co-production, with a clear attempt to engage PUSCs, but most curriculum development is supported by professionals working in the SCPHN field.

GCU and PLPs confirm that they meet regularly to evaluate and review the ongoing governance of the programme. At the visit PLPs and the programme team give us examples of programme improvements made in co-production. These included streamlining of practice learning documentation, improved communication between practice assessors, practice supervisors and the programme team and more learning related to fundamental aspects of SCPHN practice, assessment and caseload management. Senior PLP leaders tell us they work in partnership with





GCU and confirm their confidence in the programme to develop students who are prepared to deliver HV services that meet the needs of the local population.

PLPs tell us about the shared commitment to recruitment and selection processes that aim to achieve results in ensuring the inclusivity of applicants from a range of diverse backgrounds. It's noted that all current and previous students are white British and attempts to broaden the demographics of the student cohort to meet the diverse needs of the community are being discussed at all levels within GCU and across PLPs.

The programme team and PLPs confirm they're proactive if student concerns or practice learning issues are identified and that they work in partnership to resolve issues in a timely manner. Student, practice supervisor and practice assessor facing documents detail the process for identifying and raising concerns. Practice assessors and practice supervisors tell us there's robust support and regular training updates from the programme team, including via an online communications hub and that they know how to raise and escalate concerns using the documented process.

Documentary evidence, students and new SCPHN graduates at the approval visit confirm that they've been able to contribute their views to the development of the proposed programme. Current and former students give us examples of how their feedback is informing aspects of the programme. GCU teaches research across disciplines which the students enjoy. They suggest the new programme should include teaching the 'advanced research methods' module first as this would help with doing research and academic writing. This research module appears in the first trimester. Students also suggest having joint lessons with students from other disciplines such as social work, which would enhance learning around child protection, neurodiversity and interacting with other agencies. GCU are considering the feasibility of this as it involves other programmes. Students also suggest making practice learning documentation simpler by inclusion of reflection pieces throughout the PAD. An opportunity to add reflections is included in the PAD.

Opportunities to explore multi-disciplinary working are provided within the module content including through a simulated learning activity. There's time allocated in practice learning to explore inter-professional working with alternative public health services. Students confirm they're provided with opportunities to explore working with other professionals and agencies in the wider context of public health. Students confirm that GCU is responsive to their feedback, and they feel listened to. They confirm they know the process of raising and escalating concerns if needed. They give an example of when communication between the health board and GCU was less than optimal. Many students raised and escalated their concerns regarding this and GCU promptly followed these up and addressed them. Students are also able to provide feedback to the GCU school council through an identified student representative. Students tell us they're supported by practice assessors and practice supervisors and the online communication hub





set-up between GCU and PLPs helps resolve issues quicker. There's documentary evidence that students' evaluations are raised and discussed with practice assessors and practice supervisors.

The programme team and senior GCU leaders tell us that they're committed to increasing involvement of PUSCs in the programme. They tell us about an overarching GCU strategy for an involvement group and their intention to establish a HV programme parent/carer consultation group, social media community sites and further develop relationships with diverse third sector organisations such as 'people first', 'dad's group' and 'happy valley'. PUSCs at the visit tell us they've been invited to consultation meetings and to share their experiences of HV with students and look forward to further involvement in the programme.

PUSCs highlight gaps in the current arrangements for involvement with the SCPHN programme, such as inclusion of diverse groups from the community, involvement in inclusive recruitment and selection of students and lack of support structures and training for PUSCs. PUSCs and the programme team confirm there's a gap in EDI training. The programme team tell us it's their intention to involve PUSCs across all aspects of programme delivery and that they're planning to develop their PUSC strategy. Documentary evidence of their implementation plan for PUSC involvement in the proposed programme is needed. This will assure us that PUSC involvement in recruitment, delivery, assessment, management and evaluation of the proposed programme relevant to HV is sustainable, equitable and achievable. (Condition one)

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning
partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in
Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u>
MET ☐ NOT MET ⊠
Documentary evidence and meetings at the visit provide assurance that PUSCs are involved in the co-production of aspects of the programme and in some programme delivery. At the visit, GCU tell us it's their intention to involve PUSCs in all aspects of the student journey and programme delivery. The programme team need to document their plans for PUSC involvement to assure us this is sustainably achievable in all aspects of the SCPHN programme. (Condition one)
Condition one: Provide a strategy and implementation plan to ensure PUSCs are involved in all aspects of the student journey, including EDI training and wider preparation for involvement in student selection. (SFNME R1.12, R2.7, R5.14)
Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, PUSC, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment
MET NOT MET





Post Event Review
Identify how the condition is met:
Condition one: GCU provide an equality outcomes policy, dignity at work policy and a strategy and implementation plan to ensure PUSCs are involved in all aspects of the HV student journey, including EDI training and wider preparation for involvement in student selection.
Condition one is met.
Evidence: GCU PgD SCPHN (HV) programme team response to approval panel, undated GCU PgD SCPHN (HV) strategy and implementation plan for PUSC involvement, March 2024
Date condition(s) met: 5 April 2024
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET
Independent/Supplementary Prescriber (V300)
Please indicate whether the V300 is a compulsory or optional element of the Specialist community public health nursing programme.  Optional  Compulsory  N/A
The V300 qualification isn't included in the SCPHN programme.
Please indicate whether the V300 is to be approved at this event against the <u>Standards for prescribing programmes</u> and <u>Standards of proficiency for nurse</u> <u>and midwife prescriber</u> (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society competency framework for all prescribers) (NMC, 2021)  YES  NO
OR

# Student journey through the programme

Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression





# Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R1.1 ensure that the applicant is an:
- R1.1.1 NMC registered nurse (level 1) or NMC registered midwife capable of safe and effective practice at the level of proficiency appropriate to the NMC approved Specialist Community Public Health Nurse (SCPHN) programme before being considered as eligible to apply for entry
- R1.1.2 NMC registered nurse (level 1) with relevant professional registration, capable of safe and effective practice at the level of proficiency appropriate to the NMC approved Community Nursing Specialist Practice Qualification (SPQ) programme before being considered as eligible to apply for entry
- R1.2 confirm on entry that each applicant selected to undertake a SCPHN or community nursing SPQ programme has the academic capability to study at the level required for that programme
- R1.3 provide opportunities that enable eligible NMC registered nurses and/or NMC registered midwives, including NHS, non-NHS, self-employed or self-funded applicants to apply for entry onto an NMC approved SCPHN programme
- R1.4 provide opportunities that enable eligible NMC registered nurses, including NHS, non-NHS, self-employed or self-funded applicants to apply for entry onto an NMC approved community nursing SPQ programme
- R1.5 confirm that the necessary arrangements and governance structures are in place to support practice learning, including employer support and protected learning time, to enable students to undertake and be appropriately supported throughout the programme
- R1.6 consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the:
- R1.6.1 programme learning outcomes and standards of proficiency for the applicant's intended field of SCPHN practice or SCPHN public health nurse practice
- R1.6.2 programme learning outcomes and standards of proficiency for the applicant's intended field of community nursing SPQ practice or in other specified field(s) for the community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice
- R1.7 where programmes intend to offer SCPHN and/or SPQ students admission to an NMC approved independent/supplementary (V300) prescribing programme consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS) Competency Framework for all Prescribers for applicants*, and
- R1.8 where programmes intend to offer admission to an NMC approved independent/supplementary (V300) prescribing qualification to SCPHN and/or SPQ students, ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1) and/or a registered midwife before being considered as eligible to apply for entry.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R2.6, R2.7, R2.8, R2.10

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review





Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the Standards for post-registration education programmes (NMC, 2022).

Proposed transfer of current students to the **Standards for student** supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018).

# E

	enstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the <u>Standards for</u> ent supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018).
	Findings against the standard and requirements
Evide	nce provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met
•	Evidence of processes to ensure that the applicant is a NMC registered nurse (level 1) or NMC registered midwife capable of safe and effective practice at the level of proficiency appropriate to the NMC approved Specialist Community Public Health Nurse (SCPHN) programme before being considered as eligible to apply for entry (R1.1.1)  YES NO N/A
•	Evidence of processes to ensure that the applicant is a NMC registered nurse (level 1) with relevant professional registration, capable of safe and effective practice at the level of proficiency appropriate to the NMC approved Community Nursing Specialist Practice Qualification (SPQ) programme before being considered as eligible to apply for entry (R1.1.2)
An SF	PQ programme isn't proposed.
•	Processes are in place to confirm on entry that each applicant selected to undertake a SCPHN or community nursing SPQ programme has the academic capability to study at the level required for that programme (R1.2)  YES  NO
•	Evidence of selection process that demonstrates opportunities that enable eligible NMC registered nurses and/or NMC registered midwives, including NHS, non-NHS, self-employed or self-funded applicants to apply for entry onto an NMC approved SCPHN programme (R1.3)  YES  NO N/A
•	Evidence of selection process that demonstrates opportunities that enable eligible NMC registered nurses, including NHS, non-NHS, self-employed or self-funded applicants to apply for entry onto an NMC approved community nursing SPQ programme (R1.4)





N/A ⊠
An SPQ programme isn't proposed.
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met
<ul> <li>Evidence that the necessary arrangements and governance structures are in place to support practice learning, including employer support and protected learning time, to enable students to undertake and be appropriately supported throughout the programme (R1.5)</li> <li>MET  NOT MET </li> </ul>
R1.5 is not met. Documentary evidence demonstrates that GCU practice learning environment audits are in place to ensure placement quality and safety for students. Quality assurance for practice environments is confirmed through the NES quality standards for practice learning audit process and via the student feedback mechanisms offered via the quality management of practice learning experiences tool. The audits ensure the SSSA are in place and that practice supervisors and practice assessors are prepared to support SCPHN (HV) students.
At the visit, GCU confirm there are strategic and operational committees, as well as organisational leads with responsibility for the quality of practice learning. There are processes to manage risks to the safety and quality of SCPHN education including policies for escalation of concern. There's school level practice learning guidance provided to all healthcare students which includes professional responsibilities, raising concerns and complaints. Students, practice assessors and practice supervisors confirm that these processes are documented and clear. The governance process between PLPs and GCU enables opportunities for eligible self-employed or self-funded applicants to undertake safe and effective SCPHN HV practice learning experiences with the PLPs.
Documentary evidence and meetings at the visit confirm a commitment from PLPs to ensure protected learning time for students. The programme team, students and PLP managers inform us that protected learning time arrangements are locally agreed between the practice supervisor, practice assessor and student. The feedback from PLPs and students at the visit demonstrates wide variation in application of these arrangements in different practice areas. An agreed number of hours and/or days per week for all students will ensure equity, with a specific amount of added time for those with additional learning needs, identified in a university learning support profile. (Condition two)

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:





<ul> <li>Processes are in place to consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to programme learning outcomes and standards of proficiency for the applicant's intended field of SCPHN practice or</li> </ul>
SCPHN public health nurse practice (R1.6.1)  YES NO NA
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to programme learning outcomes and standards of proficiency for the applicant's intended field of community nursing SPQ practice or in other specified field(s) for the community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice (R1.6.2)</li> </ul>
N/A ⊠
An SPQ programme isn't proposed.
<ul> <li>Where programmes intend to offer SCPHN and/or SPQ students' admission to an NMC approved independent/supplementary (V300) prescribing programme processes are in place to consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS) Competency Framework for all Prescribers for applicants (R1.7)</li> <li>YES □ NO □ N/A ⋈</li> </ul>
The V300 qualification isn't included in the proposed programme.
<ul> <li>Where programmes intend to offer admission to an NMC approved independent/supplementary (V300) prescribing qualification to SCPHN and/or SPQ students, there is evidence of processes to ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1) and/or a registered midwife before being considered as eligible to apply for entry (R1.8)</li> <li>YES  NO  N/A </li> </ul>
The V300 qualification isn't included in the proposed programme.
Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review
From your documentary analysis and your meeting with students, provide an evaluative summary to confirm how the Standards for post-registration education programmes and Standards of proficiency for specialist community public health nurses (NMC, 2022) will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme.  There's no planned transfer of existing SCPHN students proposed. All students will complete their current programme.
Proposed transfer of current students to the <u>Standards for student</u> supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018).





NOT MET  $\boxtimes$ 

From your documentary analysis and your meetings at the approval visit confirm if students will be transferring to the SSSA, and if so that they have informed choice and are fully prepared for supervision and assessment.

There's no transfer of existing SCPHN students proposed. Students on the current programme are supported in line with the SSSA.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> relevant to selection, admission and progression are met YES NO

#### **Outcome**

#### Is the standard met?

Practice supervisors, practice assessors and students state that there's wide variation in the application of protected learning time. The arrangements for protected learning time being agreed at a local level, leads to the risk that some students may be unable to undertake and be appropriately supported throughout

MET

Condition two: Ensure all programme expectations are clear and unambiguous for PLPs, to ensure consistency of student, practice supervisor and practice assessor understanding of protected learning time. (SPRP R1.5)

the programme, if there's confusion over programme requirements.

Date: 7 March 2024
Post event review

#### Identify how the condition(s) is met:

Condition two: GCU provide additional evidence to confirm all programme expectations are clear and unambiguous for PLPs, to ensure consistency of student, practice supervisor and practice assessor understanding of protected learning time. This includes documentation stipulating the requirement to ensure an equitable minimum protected learning time for students. For students with a learning support profile, additional protected learning time may be agreed between GCU and PLPs.

Condition two is met.

#### Evidence:

GCU PgD SCPHN (HV) programme team response to approval panel, undated GCU PgD SCPHN (HV) practice supervisor, practice assessor and academic assessor implementation plan for preparation and support, March 2024

Date condition(s) met: 5 April 2024





Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🖂	NOT MET

### **Standard 2: Curriculum**

# Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R2.1 confirm programmes comply with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education* including the confirmation of appropriately qualified and experienced people for programme delivery for:
- R2.1.1 all selected fields of SCPHN practice and/or SCPHN PHN practice and/or for
- R2.1.2 all selected fields of community nursing SPQ practice and/or in other specified fields of community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice
- R2.2 confirm SCPHN and/or SPQ programmes comply with the NMC *Standards* for student supervision and assessment
- R2.3 confirm SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ programmes that include admission to NMC approved prescribing qualification comply with the NMC *Standards for prescribing programmes*
- R2.4 state routes within the programme for:
- R2.4.1 students to enter the SCPHN register in a specific field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse, occupational health nurse
- R2.4.2 students to enter the SCPHN register for the public health nurse qualification
- R2.4.3 students to annotate their registration in a specific field of community nursing SPQ practice: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing
- R2.4.4 students to annotate their registration in community nursing SPQ practice in health and social care
- R2.5 ensure programme learning outcomes reflect the:
- R2.5.1 core and field specific standards of proficiency for SCPHN and for the intended field(s) of SCPHN practice: health visiting, school nursing, occupational health nursing
- R2.5.2 core standards of proficiency for SCPHN that are tailored to public health nursing
- R2.5.3 standards of proficiency for community nursing SPQ that are tailored to the intended field and related context of community nursing practice. These may be within community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing or in specified field(s) for community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice
- R2.6 set out the general and professional content necessary to meet the:
- R2.6.1 core and field specific standards of proficiency for each intended field of SCPHN practice: health visiting, school nursing, occupational health nursing,
- R2.6.2 core standards of proficiency for SCPHN public health nurse qualification





- R2.6.3 standards of proficiency for the community nursing SPQ that is tailored to the intended field of community nursing practice. These may be within community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing, or in other specified field(s) of community nursing in health and social care
- R2.7 set out the content necessary to meet the programme outcomes for each intended field of:
- R2.7.1 SCPHN practice: health visiting, school nursing, occupational health nursing and/or SCPHN public health nursing,
- R2.7.2 community nursing SPQ practice: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing and/or in other specified field(s) of community nursing in health and social care
- R2.8 ensure technology-enhanced and simulated learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately across the curriculum to support learning and assessment
- R2.9 ensure that the curriculum provides a balance of theory and practice learning opportunities, using a range of learning and teaching strategies
- R2.10 ensure programmes delivered in Wales comply with legislation which supports use of the Welsh language, and
- R2.11 ensure programmes are:
- R2.11.1 of suitable length to support student achievement of all proficiencies and programme outcomes for their intended SCPHN or community nursing SPQ award
- R2.11.2 no less than 45 programmed weeks of theory and practice learning for full-time programmes/pro rata for part time programmes.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R1.9, R1.13; R2.2, R2.14, R2.15, R2.18, R2.19; R3.1, R3.2, R3.4, R3.9, R3.10, R3.15, R3.16: R5.1 - R5.16.

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R1.2, R1.3, R1.7, R1.10, R1.11

#### Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are m	et:
---	-----

- R2.1 There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC *Standards* framework for nursing and midwifery education including the confirmation of appropriately qualified and experienced people for programme delivery for:
  - all selected fields of SCPHN practice and/or SCPHN PHN practice (R2.1.1)
     YES \( \subseteq \text{ NO } \subseteq \text{ N/A } \( \subseteq \)





progra	I is not met. Programme documentation currently refers to a MSc route. The amme team confirm eligibility to register is conferred at PgD. Reference to a coute must be removed from the programme documentation.	
•	all selected fields of community nursing SPQ practice and/or in other specified fields of community nursing SPQ in health and social care practic (R2.1.2)	се
	N/A [	
An SF	Q programme isn't proposed.	
•	There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment (R2.2)  YES  NO	
•	Where programmes intend to offer admission to an NMC approved independent/supplementary prescribing qualification to SCPHN and/or SP students, there is evidence that the prescribing qualification complies with the NMC <i>Standards for prescribing programmes</i> (R2.3)  YES  NO N/A	
The V	300 qualification isn't included in the proposed programme.	
R2.4	There is evidence that routes are stated within the programme for:	
•	students to enter the SCPHN register in a specific field of SCPHN practice health visitor, school nurse, occupational health nurse (R2.4.1)  YES  NO  N/A	
•	students to enter the SCPHN register for the public health nurse qualification (R2.4.2)	
	YES NO N/A	
A pub	lic health nurse qualification isn't included in the proposed programme.	
•	students to annotate their registration in a specific field of community nursing SPQ practice: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing (R2.4.3)	
	N/A 🗵	
An SF	Q programme isn't proposed.	
•	students to annotate their registration in community nursing SPQ practice health and social care (R2.4.4)	in
	N/A	1





An SPQ	programme isn't proposed.				
R2.5 Th	ere is evidence to ensure programme learning or	utcomes r	eflect the	e:	
in	core and field specific standards of proficiency for SCPHN and for the intended field(s) of SCPHN practice: health visiting, school nursing,				
O	ccupational health nursing (R2.5.1)	YES 🖂	NO 🗌	N/A	
	core standards of proficiency for SCPHN that are nursing (R2.5.2)	tailored to	public h	ealth	1
111		YES 🗌	NO 🗌	N/A	
A public	health nurse qualification isn't included in the pro-	oposed p	rogramm	e.	
in m n n	tandards of proficiency for community nursing SF stended field and related context of community no nay be within community children's nursing, community mental health nursing, district sursing or in specified field(s) for community nursity ocial care practice (R2.5.3)	ursing pra munity lea nursing,	ictice. Th irning dis general p n health	ese abilit oracti	ies ce
An SPQ	programme isn't proposed.				
evidenc	an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your document e AND discussion at the approval visit to der d that the QA approval criteria below is met c	nonstrate	if assu	rance	e is
	ere is evidence that sets out the general and processary to meet the:	fessional	content		
S	ore and field specific standards of proficiency for CPHN practice: health visiting, school nursing, or R2.6.1)				sing
,	, MET ⊠	NOT ME	T 🗌 N/	Ά [	]
	s met. Documentary evidence and discussion at HN are met through the modules. The SPSCPHN				е
The GCU programme has three 15-week trimesters. All modules must be passed at level 11. The first trimester includes the 'advanced research' module and in trimester two students complete the 'advanced leadership for health and social care practitioners' module. The general content for these modules includes a focus					





on population health and improvement and developing students to explore and evaluate evidence for research and practice. The 'advanced leadership for health and social care practitioners' module and the 'art and science of HV practice' module, studied across trimesters one and two, critically explore social issues, health inequalities and considers how HVs can participate in co-production and optimising health and wellbeing outcomes for children and families, communities and populations at local, national and global levels. A final 15 credit 'consolidated practice' module in the third trimester is a graded placement. Only students who complete 120 credits at Level 11 are eligible to enter the SCPHN register.

All modules are clearly mapped against the core and HV specific SPSCPHN in terms of teaching and assessment.

The 'common good curriculum' at GCU is identified in the student handbook to support students to achieve core values in, integrity, creativity, responsibility and confidence. Examples are recognising and addressing global social challenges

partic oppor	ipating in the community at local, nation tunities for change, creating ethical solusionalism.	nal and glob	al level, identi	fying
•	core standards of proficiency for SCPI	HN public h	ealth nurse qu	alification
	(R2.6.2)	MET 🗌	NOT MET	] <b>N/A</b> 🖂
A pub	olic health nurse qualification isn't includ	led in the p	roposed progra	amme.
•	standards of proficiency for the comme the intended field of community nursin community children's nursing, commu- community mental health nursing, dist or in other specified field(s) of commun (R2.6.3)	ng practice. nity learning rict nursing	These may be g disabilities no , general pract	within ursing, tice nursing,
An SF	PQ programme isn't proposed.			
	There is evidence that sets out the cont programme outcomes for each intended		ary to meet the	Э
•	SCPHN practice: health visiting, school and/or SCPHN public health nursing (		-	ealth nursing
	1 is met. Module specifications identify sarry to meet the learning outcomes for			





is mapped to demonstrate the achievement of overall SCPHN programme outcomes.
• community nursing SPQ practice: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing and/or in other specified field(s) of community nursing in health and social care (R2.7.2)  N/A   ■
An SPQ programme isn't proposed.
<ul> <li>Evidence to ensure technology-enhanced and simulated learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately across the curriculum to support learning and assessment (R2.8)</li> <li>MET</li></ul>
R2.8 is met. Documentary evidence and discussions at the visit confirm GCU's commitment to the use of technology and simulated learning in the programme. There's evidence of an appropriate infrastructure which supports SCPHN students in the use of effective and proportionate technology and simulation within the programme.
GCU resources include the inter-professional simulation centre equipped with state-of-the-art high and low fidelity simulators and camera recording equipment. As part of this centre students have access to a home environment which provides a unique, adaptable learning space for community nursing and HV scenarios. There's use of virtual reality, simulation manikins that reflect a diverse population and the use of video recording and feedback to support student development and reflection. The programme team tell us that access to these GCU shared facilities are pre-planned and built into the module virtual learning schedules. The GCU team provide assurance that practice supervisors, practice assessors and PUSCs are involved in the role plays, acting as co-facilitators within the 'art and science of HV' module and working with academic staff to develop case studies and reusable learning objects. Students value the opportunity for simulated learning which can be recorded in the PAD.
The programme team are involved in ongoing discussions with NES about the future development of an electronic PAD. The programme team adopts the pan-London PAD for SCPHN, which has been added to with pertinent information related to HV practice in Scotland.
<ul> <li>Evidence to ensure that the curriculum provides a balance of theory and practice learning opportunities, using a range of learning and teaching strategies (R2.9)</li> </ul>
MET ⊠ NOT MET □





R2.9 is met. Documentary evidence and subsequent discussion at the visit gives assurance that the programme provides a balance of theory and practice learning opportunities. It's clear there's a breadth of learning and teaching strategies across the curriculum. GCU have resources to support technology enhanced and simulation opportunities, with predominately on campus teaching in the theoretical part of the programme. Students are satisfied by the teaching and the support they're given to achieve.

Simulation is used proportionately to provide students with opportunities to practice difficult to access scenarios and to rehearse some SPSCPHN. Examples of simulated learning include assessing risks in the home environment. The United Nations international children's emergency fund baby-friendly training is mandatory and recorded in the PAD. Links are made with the local community and a local father's group is invited to talk to the students. This is confirmed by the PUSCs attending the visit.

father's group is invited to talk to the students. This is confirmate attending the visit.	ed by the PL	JSCs
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA appro	val criteria	are met:
<ul> <li>Evidence to ensure that programmes delivered in Wale legislation which supports the use of the Welsh language</li> <li>YES </li> </ul>	ge (R2. <u>10</u> )	th any
The programme isn't delivered in Wales.		
<ul> <li>Evidence to ensure programmes are of suitable length achievement of all proficiencies and programme outcor SCPHN or community nursing SPQ award (R2.11.1)</li> </ul>		
	YES 🖂	NO $\square$
<ul> <li>Evidence to ensure programmes are no less than 45 pt theory and practice learning for full-time programmes/p programmes (R2.11.2)</li> </ul>	•	
	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework midwifery education relevant to curricula are met	for nursing a	and
relevant to curredia are met	YES	NO $\boxtimes$
Programme documentation currently refers to MSc route that register with the NMC as a SCPHN. GCU confirm that eligibilis SCPHN is achieved at PgD. Therefore, documentation needs all regulatory requirements are met. (Condition four).	ty to register	as a
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student	supervision	<u>and</u>
assessment relevant to curricula are met	YES 🖂	NO 🗆





Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🗌	NOT MET $oxed{oxtime}$
Programme documentation refers to a MSc route. Ellon successful completion of the PgD and reference to from the documentation.		
Condition four: The programme team must amend al to remove reference to an NMC approved MSc route R2.1.1)		
Date: 7 March 2024		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
Condition four: The programme team have amended to remove reference to an NMC approved MSc route		documentation
Condition four is met.		
Evidence: GCU PgD SCPHN (HV) programme team response to PgD SCPHN (HV) programme approval document, Normal PgD SCPHN (HV) programme handbook, 2024-2025 PgD SCPHN (HV) PAD, undated PgD SCPHN (HV) practice learning handbook, 2024-2025 PgD SCPHN (HV) module descriptors, undated PgD SCPHN (HV) curriculum vitae booklet, January PgD SCPHN (HV) stakeholder evidence document, In PgD SCPHN (HV) curriculum map, undated	March 2024 5, undated -2025, undate 2024	
Date condition(s) met: 5 April 2024		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET oxtimes	NOT MET
Standard 3: Practice learning		

# **Approved education institutions must:**

R3.1 ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and governance for practice learning are in place for all students, including arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are self-employed and/or self-funded

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:





- R3.2 ensure that students work in partnership with the education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment*
- R3.3 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to develop, progress and meet all the standards of proficiency for their:
- R3.3.1 intended field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse and occupational health nurse or,
- R3.3.2 SCPHN public health nurse
- R3.3.3 intended community nursing SPQ: these may be within the fields of community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing or,
- R3.3.4 in other specified field(s) of community nursing in health and social care nursing
- R3.4 ensure that practice learning complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment
- R3.5 take account of students' individual learning needs and personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning, including making reasonable adjustments for students with disabilities, and
- R3.6 ensure learning experiences are tailored to the student's stage of learning, proficiencies and programme outcomes culminating in a period of practice learning. This is dependent on the individual learning needs of the student to demonstrate overall proficiency and achieve the programme learning outcomes for their:
- R3.6.1 intended field of SCPHN practice, SCPHN PHN practice or
- R3.6.2 their intended field of community nursing SPQ practice or community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R1.1, R1.3, R1.5; R2.9, R2.11; R3.3, R3.5, R 3.7, R3.16; R5.1, R5.7, R5.10, R5.12

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R1.1 – R1.11

# Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.

•	Evidence to ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and
	governance for practice learning are in place for all students, including
	arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are self-
	employed and/or self-funded (R3.1)





R3.1 is met. Documentary evidence and visit discussions confirm effective strategic and operational arrangements for practice learning for students undertaking the programme. There are robust processes that ensure practice learning governance and compliance with the SSSA.

There's documentary evidence that the programme team and PLPs manage the recruitment and selection process effectively. Selection and recruitment is currently overseen by PLPs within their recruitment process. Each NHS health board has EDI principles embedded within their recruitment policies. GCU's programme team currently participate in the final stage of interviewing for candidates in partnership with PLPs. The programme team tell us that discussions are ongoing with PLPs to increase involvement of academic staff in earlier stages of the selection and recruitment process.

At the visit, the programme team confirm their commitment to supporting self-employed or self-funded students. They tell us that GCU's admission policy and dignity in work and study policy underpins the selection and recruitment approach. The programme team work in partnership with PLPs who've agreed to provide placements for self-employed and self-funded students should the occasion arise, providing the applicant is successful at interview. GCU provide documentation demonstrating applicants, including those who are self-employed or self-funding, are interviewed prior to registration on the programme. A practice supervisor and a practice assessor are identified once the placement is agreed.

Applicants and prospective students' individual requirements and characteristics are supported and protected from a practice and educational perspective by the respective EDI policies. This support and protection apply to both the selection and recruitment process and for the duration of their participation in the programme. Oversight and monitoring of this are assured through scheduled face to face contact between the student and their academic advisor and scheduled contacts between the practice assessor and academic assessor including practice visits.

Processes are in place to ensure that students work in partnership with the
education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange
supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC Standards for
student supervision and assessment (R3.2)

MET ⋈ NOT MET ☐

R3.2 is met. Documentary evidence and the meeting with students confirm that they're informed about practice learning requirements and expectations for the SCPHN programme. The governance framework ensures that a partnership approach is taken to arranging practice learning including practice supervision and practice assessment. This also applies to placements for self-funded and self-employed students.

SCPHN HV students have an allocated placement area with an identified practice assessor and practice supervisor agreed in partnership with the supporting PLP.





Students are provided with guidance through the programme handbook, practice placement guidelines, student practice guidance and their HV PAD. Student documentation confirms the responsibilities of students for their own learning and

for the development of self-assessment and reflective practice as well as their responsibilities in the escalation of any concerns or issues. Students tell us that they're clear about their responsibilities within the programme. They confirm that they follow student documentation including published processes for raising concerns. R3.3 There is evidence that practice learning opportunities are provided that allow students to develop, progress and meet all the standards of proficiency for their: intended field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse and occupational health nurse or (R3.3.1)  $MET \times$ NOT MET N/A R3.3.1 is met. Documentation and discussion at the visit confirm that students are allocated practice learning opportunities that enable them to develop and meet the SPSCPHN relevant to HV practice. In addition to practice opportunities, simulated practice is available to students. This is where practice supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors observe students' practise and analyse

situations that students could come across in the home situation. There's evidence in the PAD, which is confirmed at the visit, that students are enabled to use alternative learning experiences to enhance their learning journey. Students confirm they're encouraged to spend time in alternative practice locations to expand their learning opportunities to meet the SPSCPHN.

SCPHN public health nurse (R3.3.2)	МЕТ 🗌	NOT MET	N/A ⊠
A public health nurse qualification isn't inclu	uded in the p	roposed programn	ne.

intended community nursing SPQ: these may be within the fields of community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing or (R3.3.3)

 $N/A \times$ 

An SPQ programme isn't proposed.

in other specified field(s) of community nursing in health and social care nursing (R3.3.4)

N/A 🖂





An SPQ programme isn't proposed. Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met: There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment (R3.4) YES 🖂 NO 🗌 Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met. There is evidence to demonstrate that the programme team takes account of students' individual learning needs and personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning, including making reasonable adjustments for students with disabilities, and (R3.5) MET 🖂 NOT MET R3.5 is met. Documentary evidence and students at the approval visit confirm that students' individual learning needs and personal circumstances are considered when practice learning is allocated. The PADs provide an opportunity for students to identify their personal learning needs in each term, so that learning opportunities can be tailored to meet their needs. There's a regular scheduled review of student progress including action planning to identify students who require additional support. Students are encouraged to approach the programme team to disclose any additional support needs (not previously disclosed via the occupational health screening process) and are directed to engage with GCU's disability service for the generation of a reasonable adjustment plan. A tripartite placement planning meeting is also offered between the student, their practice assessor, practice supervisor and academic assessor. R3.6 There is evidence to ensure learning experiences are tailored to the student's stage of learning, proficiencies and programme outcomes culminating in a period of practice learning. This is dependent on the individual learning needs of the student to demonstrate overall proficiency and achieve the programme learning outcomes for their: intended field of SCPHN practice, SCPHN PHN practice or (R3.6.1)  $MET \boxtimes$ NOT MET N/A R3.6.1 is met. The documentary evidence provided and confirmation from the students at the visit, confirms students' individual learning needs are met through a learning support profile and required reasonable adjustments are made. The programme schedule and student handbook provide students with a clear overview of theoretical and practice learning tailored to each trimester in the programme. This information includes the module delivery periods and the dates





for submission of both theoretical and practice assessment and demonstrates a period of practice learning. There's a tutorial system which support students' individual development with assessed theoretical work including opportunities for formative feedback. The programme concludes with a 15-week practice module which is graded and must be passed.

which is graded and must be passed.
Practice learning is structured by students' self-assessment and discussion of learning needs with practice supervisors and practice assessors with opportunities for formative feedback and development.
<ul> <li>their intended field of community nursing SPQ practice or community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice (R3.6.2)</li> <li>N/A </li> </ul>
An SPQ programme isn't proposed.
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and
midwifery education relevant to practice learning are met  YES ☑ NO □
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and</u> <u>assessment</u> relevant to practice learning are met
YES ⊠ NO □
Outcome YES NO
Outcome
Outcome  Is the standard met?  Date: 7 March 2024  Post event review
Outcome  Is the standard met?  MET NOT MET  Date: 7 March 2024
Outcome  Is the standard met?  Date: 7 March 2024  Post event review
Outcome  Is the standard met?  Date: 7 March 2024  Post event review Identify how the condition(s) is met:
Outcome  Is the standard met?  Date: 7 March 2024  Post event review Identify how the condition(s) is met:  N/A
Outcome  Is the standard met?  Date: 7 March 2024  Post event review Identify how the condition(s) is met:  N/A  Date condition(s) met:

### Standard 4: Supervision and assessment

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:





- R4.1 ensure student support, supervision, learning and assessment complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*
- R4.2 liaise, collaborate and agree the necessary approach to the preparation, education, training, ongoing learning, support and expertise necessary for practice supervisors, practice and academic assessors that support SCPHN and community nursing SPQ student learning and assessment
- R4.3 ensure practice supervision, the assessment of practice and academic assessment complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment*
- R4.4 ensure practice supervisors:
- R4.4.1 have undertaken a period of preceptorship in line with the NMC principles for preceptorship as SCPHN or community nursing SPQ qualified professionals or
- R4.4.2 can evidence prior learning and relevant practice supervisor experience that enables them to facilitate effective evidence-based learning opportunities for post-registration SCPHN or community nursing SPQ students
- R4.5 ensure practice and academic assessors:
- R4.5.1 have undertaken a period of preceptorship in line with the NMC principles for preceptorship as SCPHNs or community nurses with a SPQ or
- R4.5.2 can evidence prior learning and relevant practice assessor experience that enables them to engage in fair, reliable and valid assessment processes in the context of SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ
- R4.6 ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is an experienced registered SCPHN or community SPQ nurse for the programme the student is undertaking
- R4.6.1 in exceptional circumstances, the same person may fulfil the role of practice supervisor and practice assessor for a part of the programme where the SCPHN/community nursing SPQ student is undergoing education and training in a practice learning setting. In such instances, the student, practice supervisor/assessor and the AEI will need to evidence why it was necessary for the practice supervisor and practice assessor roles to be carried out by the same person
- R4.7 provide constructive feedback to students throughout the programme to support their learning and development for meeting the standards of proficiency and programme learning outcomes for:
- R4.7.1 their intended field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse, occupational health nurse,
- R4.7.2 SCPHN public health nurse,
- R4.7.3 their intended community nursing SPQ in the field of: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing, or
- R4.7.4 other specified field(s) of community nursing SPQ in health and social care R4.8 ensure all SCPHN proficiencies and/or community nursing SPQ proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which confirms SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ proficiencies have been met





- R4.9 assess the student's suitability for award and confirm overall proficiency based on the successful completion of all practice learning relevant to:
- R4.9.1 their intended field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse, occupational health nurse,
- R4.9.2 SCPHN public health nurse practice,
- R4.9.3 their intended SPQ in the field of: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing, and/or
- R4.9.4 other specified field(s) of community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically: R2.11; R3.5, R3.6, R 3.8, R3.11, R3.13, R3.14, R3.17; R4.1, R4.2, R4.3, R4.4, R4.5, R4.6, R4.8, R4.11; R5.9

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R4.1 – R4.11

# Findings against the standards and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

• There is evidence that ensures student support, supervision, learning and assessment complies with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (R4.1)

MET  $\boxtimes$  NOT MET  $\square$ 

R4.1 is met. Documentary evidence and the visit confirms that governance processes are in place to oversee the effectiveness of student support, supervision, learning and assessment on the SCPHN programme and to ensure that the SFNME is monitored and met. The overall quality of the student experience on the SCPHN programme is monitored through several processes. There's a process for appointment and oversight of external examiners who comment on the quality and effectiveness of student learning and assessment in both theory and practice. There's evidence of an established system of student evaluation, including placement evaluations and feedback to PLPs. Students tell us that the programme team are responsive to their evaluations and provide examples of changes that are made in the proposed programme from their feedback.

Students and PLPs confirm that GCU processes help to ensure that students are supported in their theoretical and practice learning. They confirm that there's early identification of any learning needs or difficulties and tell us of the effective support provided by the programme team.





Students confirm they're supported in accordance with the SSSA. They're allocated a SCPHN HV registered practice supervisor, practice assessor and academic assessor. There are processes to support individual learning needs in theory and practice. Students confirm the effectiveness of support provided by the programme team, practice assessors and practice supervisors and the availability of GCU resources to support their health and wellbeing. There are processes to enable formative and summative feedback throughout the proposed programme in theory and practice.

Practice assessors and practice supervisors confirm they receive appropriate preceptorship, induction and time to support student learning and assessment.

Documentary evidence and visit discussions confirms that GCU have processes to ensure students and PLPs are involved in ongoing programme improvement and feedback. There's evidence of co-production including mechanisms to provide feedback to practice assessors and practice supervisors. There are processes for escalating concerns for students and PLPs.

 There is evidence to confirm that the education institution and their practice learning partners liaise, collaborate and agree the necessary approach to the preparation, education, training, ongoing learning, support and expertise necessary for practice supervisors, practice and academic assessors that support SCPHN and community nursing SPQ student learning and assessment (R4.2)

MET [	<b>∃</b> NOT	MET [	_ ×

R4.2 is not met. There's evidence of partnership working with PLPs to ensure that the SSSA is embedded in the programme. At the visit, PLPs confirm training is provided for practice supervisors and practice assessors and this is seen in the documentation. GCU meet with practice supervisors and practice assessors to provide opportunities for discussion and learning and plan to continue practice supervisor and practice assessor updates.

At the approval visit, practice assessors and practice supervisors all agree they feel involved and engaged in the new programme and always feel listened to by GCU with regard to any practice issues.

Students, practice supervisors and practice assessors report they'd benefit from a greater understanding of practice supervisor, practice assessor and academic assessor roles and how they apply to the new programme. This includes using the new PAD. Students and former students at the visit tell us about their experience of varying levels of understanding about roles and responsibilities from practice supervisors and practice assessors.

The programme team needs to provide an implementation plan that details how practice supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors are supported to





understand their role and responsibilities in the context of the GCU SCPHN HV programme. (Condition three) There is evidence that ensures practice supervision, the assessment of practice and academic assessment complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment (R4.3) MET 🖂 NOT MET | R4.3 is met. Documentation and discussion at the approval visit with PLPs, students, practice supervisors and practice assessors assure us that an appropriate governance structure is in place to ensure compliance with the SSSA. The PAD is a record of communication between the student, practice supervisor. practice assessor and academic assessor. Additionally, PADs provide opportunities for regular liaison between practice supervisors and practice assessors. The overall confirmation of proficiency is agreed between academic assessors and practice assessors as part of the practice assessment process. Achievement of all theoretical and practice learning is confirmed in an ongoing achievement record. Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met: Processes are in place to ensure practice supervisors have undertaken a period of preceptorship in line with the NMC principles for preceptorship as SCPHN or community nursing SPQ qualified professionals (R4.4.1) or YES  $\bowtie$ NO Processes are in place to ensure practice supervisors can evidence prior learning and relevant practice supervisor experience that enables them to facilitate effective evidence-based learning opportunities for postregistration SCPHN or community nursing SPQ students (R4.4.2) YES 🔀 NO 🗌 N/A Processes are in place to ensure practice and academic assessors have undertaken a period of preceptorship in line with the NMC principles for preceptorship as SCPHNs or community nurses with a SPQ (R4.5.1) or YES  $\bowtie$ NO | N/A | |

Processes are in place to ensure practice and academic assessors can evidence prior learning and relevant practice assessor experience that enables them to engage in fair, reliable and valid assessment processes in

YES 🖂

NO

N/A

the context of SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ (R4.5.2)



Nursing &
Midwifery
Council

Safe, kind, effective care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.



Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is

provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met			
Processes are in place to ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is an experienced registered SCPHN or community SPQ nurse for the programme the student is undertaking (R4.6)			
MET ☑ NOT MET ☐			
R4.6 is met. Documentation indicates that students are assigned an appropriately trained practice assessor that's aligned to the field of SCPHN practice the student is undertaking. This is confirmed at the visit by students and practice assessors. PLPs provide training for practice assessors. GCU plans to continue meetings with practice supervisors and assessors once or twice per term to provide ongoing support for their role.			
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to ensure that in exceptional circumstances only, the same person may fulfil the role of practice supervisor and practice assessor for a part of the programme where the SCPHN/community nursing SPQ student is undergoing education and training in a practice learning setting. In such instances, the student, practice supervisor/assessor and the AEI will need to evidence why it was necessary for the practice supervisor and practice assessor roles to be carried out by the same person (R4.6.1)</li> <li>MET  NOT MET □</li> </ul>			
R4.6.1 is met. Documentary evidence and the visit confirm processes in place to ensure that the same person fulfils the role of practice supervisor and practice assessor in exceptional circumstances only. Once a student is allocated to a placement, practice learning advisors link with placement settings. Where students or PLPs identify there are exceptional circumstances occurring this is escalated to the programme leader. An agreed, time limited action plan is initiated with the PLP and the student learning experience is monitored. A new practice assessor or practice supervisor is identified if circumstances are unlikely to be resolved in the short term. An example of exceptional circumstances includes the sudden illness or absence of a practice supervisor or practice assessor.			
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:			
R4.7 Processes are in place to provide constructive feedback to students throughout the programme to support their learning and development for meeting the standards of proficiency and programme learning outcomes for:			
<ul> <li>their intended field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse, occupational health nurse (R4.7.1)</li> </ul>			
YES NO NA			





•	SCPHN public health nurse (R4.7.2)	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	N/A 🖂
A pub	lic health nurse qualification isn't included in the	e proposed	d programr	ne.
•	their intended community nursing SPQ in the f nursing, community learning disabilities nursin nursing, district nursing, general practice nursi	ıg, commui	nity menta	
An SF	PQ programme isn't proposed.			
•	other specified field(s) of community nursing S (R4.7.4)	SPQ in hea	lth and so	
				N/A 🖂
An SF	PQ programme isn't proposed.			
There is evidence that all SCPHN proficiencies and/or community nursing SPQ proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which confirms SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ proficiencies have been				nt which
	met (R4.8)		YES 🖂	NO 🗌
R4.9 There is evidence of processes to assess the student's suitability for award and confirm overall proficiency based on the successful completion of all practice learning relevant to:				
•	their intended field of SCPHN practice: health occupational health nurse (R4.9.1)	visitor, sch	nool nurse,	
	occupational fleatiff flurse (14.5.1)	YES 🖂	NO 🗌	N/A 🗌
•	SCPHN public health nurse practice (R4.9.2)	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	N/A 🖂
A pub	lic health nurse qualification isn't included in the	e proposed	d programr	ne.
<ul> <li>their intended SPQ in the field of: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing (R4.9.3) and/or</li> </ul>				
	nuising, general practice nuising (14.9.5) and	/OI		N/A 🖂
An SF	PQ programme isn't proposed.			
<ul> <li>other specified field(s) of community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice (R4.9.4)</li> </ul>				cial care





N/A ⊠		
An SPQ programme isn't proposed.		
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> relevant to supervision and assessment are met  YES NO		
At the visit, students state that practice supervisors and practice assessors need more support to understand their roles and responsibilities aligned with the SSSA. GCU confirm they'll meet once or twice per term with practice supervisors and practice assessors to provide ongoing support. (Condition three)		
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> relevant to supervision and assessment are met  YES  NO		
Outcome		
Is the standard met?  MET  NOT MET		
GCU works with practice supervisors and practice assessors to develop a greater understanding of their roles and responsibilities. Students state they feel there appears to be a gap in practice supervisor and practice assessor understanding of their roles.  Condition three: Provide an implementation plan that details how practice supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors are supported to understand their role and responsibilities in the context of the GCU SCPHN HV programme. (SFNME R2.4; SPRP R4.2)  Date: 7 March 2024		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:  Condition three: GCU provide an implementation plan that details how practice		
supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors are supported to understand their role and responsibilities in the context of the GCU SCPHN HV programme. GCU confirm there are PLP processes in place to verify a programme of support to complete the required preparation to act as practice assessor and/or practice supervisor for SCPHN students.		
Condition three is met.		
Evidence: GCU PgD SCPHN (HV) programme team response to approval panel, undated		





GCU PgD SCPHN (HV) practice supervisor, practice assessor and academic assessor implementation plan for preparation and support, March 2024			
Date condition(s) met: 5 April 2024			
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🖂	NOT MET	
Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded			
Approved education institutions, together with must:	practice learn	ning partners,	
R5.1 ensure that the minimum academic level for SPQ is at postgraduate masters' level R5.2 inform the student that the SCPHN award mustive years of successfully completing the prograthey will have to undertake additional education experience as specified in NMC standards for R5.3 inform the student that the community nursing with us within five years of successfully complete fail to do so they will have to undertake addition gain such experience as specified in NMC standards as an annotation to their professional registration R5.4 inform the SCPHN and/or community nursing successful completion of an NMC approved professional registered with us within five years of successful registered with us within five years of successful programme. If they fail to do so they will have complete the programme in order to qualify as R5.5 inform the SCPHN and/or community nursing such programs and their programina qualifications.	ust be registered ramme and if the amard training of the award to be ag SPQ award meting the programme of presented an NMC and and an NMC and an and are set and an an and are set and an an are set and an an are set and an an are set and are set and an are set and an are set and an are set and a	ed with us within ey fail to do so or gain such e registered must be registered amme and if they and training or award to be added that following eparation for approved 0 award must be the prescribing uccessfully nd that they may	
only prescribe once their prescribing qualification NMC register and they may only prescribe from to prescribe from and within their competence	m the formulary	they are qualified	
Standards framework for nursing and midwifery ed R2.20	ducation, specif	fically R2.11,	
Findings against the standards a	and requiremen	nts	
Evidence provides assurance that the following			
The programme award to be approved is cl documentation and is a minimum of a posto	early identified	in all programme rs' level (R5.1)	
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to inform the student be registered with the NMC within five years</li> </ul>			





programme and if they fail to do so they will have to undertake additional education and training or gain such experience as specified in NMC standards for the award to be registered (R5.2)			
YES 🖂 NO 🗌 N/A 🗍			
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to inform the student that the community nursing SPQ award must be registered with us within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to undertake additional education and training or gain such experience as specified in NMC standards for the award to be added as an annotation to their professional registration (R5.3)</li> </ul>			
An SPQ programme isn't proposed.			
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to inform the SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ student that following successful completion of an NMC approved programme of preparation for SCPHN or community nursing SPQ, which included an NMC approved independent/supplementary prescribing qualification, the V300 award must be registered with us within five years of successfully completing the prescribing programme and if they fail to do so they will have to retake and successfully complete the programme in order to qualify as a prescriber (R5.4), and</li> </ul> YES NO N/A			
The V300 qualification isn't included in the proposed programme.			
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to inform the SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ student that they may only prescribe once their prescribing qualification has been annotated on the NMC register and they may only prescribe from the formulary they are qualified to prescribe from and within their competence and scope of practice (R5.5)</li> <li>YES NO N/A </li> </ul>			
The V300 qualification isn't included in the proposed programme.			
Fall Back Award  If there is a fall-back exit award with registration as a SCPHN all NMC standards and proficiencies are met within the award  YES NO N/A			
There's no fall back exit award that leads to SCPHN registration.			
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> relevant to the qualification to be awarded are met			
YES NO L			





Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET
Date: 7 March 2024		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT MET
N/A		





## **Section four**

## Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and		
consultation		
Programme specification(s)		
Module descriptors		
Student facing documentation including programme		
handbook		
Student university handbook		
Practice assessment documentation	$\boxtimes$	
Ongoing record of achievement (ORA)	$\boxtimes$	
Practice learning environment handbook	$\boxtimes$	
Practice learning handbook for practice supervisors and		$\boxtimes$
assessors specific to the programme		
Academic assessor focused information specific to the		
programme		
Placement allocation / structure of programme	$\boxtimes$	
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped		
against Standards of proficiency for specialist community		
public health nurses		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the		
education institution has met the Standards framework for		
nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018) (Gateway		
1)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the		
Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC,		
2018) apply to the programme(s) (Gateway 2)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the		
education institution has met the Standards for post-		
registration education programmes (NMC, 2022)		
(Gateway 3)		
Curricula vitae (CV) for relevant staff		
CV of the registered SCPHN responsible for directing the		
education programme		<del> </del>
Registrant academic staff details checked on NMC		
website		
External examiner appointments and arrangements		$\vdash$
Written placement agreement(s) between the education		
institution and associated practice learning partners to		
support the programme intentions.		





Written agreement(s) to support the programme		$\boxtimes$		
intentions between the education institution and employer				
partners for apprenticeship routes (if applicable).				
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitig	ation:			
Practice learning information is included in the student prog	ramme han	dbook and		
PAD including responsibilities for practice supervisors, practice	ctice assesso	ors and		
academic assessors.				
There's no SCPHN apprenticeship route proposed.				
List additional documentation:				
Post visit documentation to meet conditions:		. 1.4. 1		
GCU PgD SCPHN (HV) programme team response to appl	•			
GCU PgD SCPHN (HV) strategy and implementation plan for PUSC involvement,				
March 2024				
GCU PgD SCPHN (HV) practice supervisor, practice assessor and academic				
assessor implementation plan for preparation and support, March 2024				
PgD SCPHN (HV) programme approval document, March 2024				
PgD SCPHN (HV) programme handbook, 2024-2025, undated				
PgD SCPHN (HV) PAD, undated				
PgD SCPHN (HV) practice learning handbook, 2024-2025, undated				
PgD SCPHN (HV) module descriptors, undated				
PgD SCPHN (HV) ctriculum vitae booklet, January 2024				
PgD SCPHN (HV) stakeholder evidence document, March 2024				
PgD SCPHN (HV) curriculum map, undated  Additional comments:				
Additional comments.				
None identified.				
110110 Idonation				

## During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups:

	YES	NO
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with		
responsibility for resources for the programme		
Senior managers from associated practice learning		
partners with responsibility for resources for the		
programme		
Senior managers from associated employer partners		$\boxtimes$
with responsibility for resources for the programme		
(applicable for apprenticeship routes)		
Programme team/academic assessors		
Practice leads/practice supervisors/practice assessors		
Students		
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study:	_	





Two v school nursing (SN) students, 2022-2023				
Two x school nursing (SN) students, 2022-2023				
Three x HV students, 2022-2023 One x SN graduate, 2020-2021				
Two x HV graduates, 2020-2021				
Service users and carers				
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mit	igation:			
in you stated no above, please provide the reason and mit	igation.			
There's no SCPHN apprenticeship route proposed.				
Additional comments:				
None identified.				
The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities during	ng the even	t:		
	YES	NO		
Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites)				
Library facilities				
Technology enhanced learning/virtual learning				
environment				
Educational audit tools/documentation				
Practice learning environments				
in practice learning environments are visited, state where	visitea/iinain	igs:		
System regulator reports reviewed for practice learning				
partners				
If yes, system regulator reports list: Healthcare Improvement Scotland (HIS) inspection report, Forth Valley Royal Hospital, June 2022				
HIS follow up inspection report, Forth Valley Royal Hospital, December 2022				
HIS ionising radiation regulations 2017 inspection report, Forth Valley Royal				
Hospital, January 2024				
HIS inspection report, the Queen Elizabeth University Hos	spital campu	s. Mav 2022		
HIS inspection report, the Queen Elizabeth University Hospital campus,				
November 2022				
HIS ionising radiation regulations 2017 inspection report, Queen Elizabeth				
University Hospital, January 2023				
HIS inspection report, University Hospital Wishaw, October 2018				
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation:				
GCU is an established AEI and visits to resources aren't required.				
Additional comments:				
None identified.				
Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer				





This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

Issue record			
Final Report			
Author(s):	Elaine Tabony	Date:	18 March 2024
	Kuldeep Singh		
Checked by:	Ian Felstead-Watts	Date:	26 March 2024
Submitted by:	Leeann Greer	Date:	18 April 2024
Approved by:	Natasha Thompson	Date:	24 April 2024