



#### **Programme Major Modification report**

#### Section one

Programme provider name:	The Robert Gordon University
Programmes reviewed: This is the NMC programme title(s)	Pre-registration nurse qualification leading to Registered Nurse – Adult Registered Nurse – Children's Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities Registered Nurse - Mental Health  Nursing Degree Apprenticeship (NDA) route NDA Adult NDA Children's NDA Learning Disabilities NDA Mental Health
	Dual award - pre-registration nursing  Dual award - adult/mental health  Dual award - adult/children's  Dual award - adult/learning disabilities  Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities  Dual award - mental health/children's  Dual award - learning disabilities/children's
AEI programme title(s):	
Current AEI programme title(s): Please include all currently approved programme titles	BSc Nursing (Adult) BSc Nursing (Children and Young People) BSc Nursing (Mental Health) BSc Nursing (Mental Health) (fall back award) BSc Nursing (Children and Young People) fall back award) BSc Nursing (Adult) (fall back award) BSc (Hons) Nursing (Adult) BSc (Hons) Nursing (Children and Young People) BSc (Hons) Nursing (Mental Health) BSc (Hons) Nursing (Adult and Children and Young People) BSc (Hons) Nursing (Adult and Mental Health) BSc (Hons) Nursing (Children and Young People and Mental Health)





Modified AEI programme title(s) if applicable: Please include new title(s) if current AEI programme title(s) are being modified as a result of this modification or add N/A	BSc (Hons) Nursing (Mental Health and Adult)
Additional AEI	N/A
programme title(s) if applicable: Please include any additional AEI programme title(s) for approval as a result of this modification (for example new routes being added that have a different title to those already approved) or add N/A	
Academic levels of curre	ent programme:
Registered Nurse – Adult	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7  SCQF Level 8 \( \text{Level 9} \) Level 10 Level 11  \( \text{N/A} \)
Registered Nurse – Children's	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7  SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11  N/A
Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7  SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11





	⊠ N/A
Registered Nurse - Mental Health	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
	SCQF ☐ Level 8 ☐ Level 9 ☐ Level 10 ☐ Level 11
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
NDA Adult	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
NDA Children's	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
NDA Learning Disabilities	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠ N/A
NDA Mental Health	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠ N/A
Dual award - adult/mental health	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
	SCQF





	☐ Level 8 ☐ Level 9 ☐ Level 10 ☐ Level 11
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Dual award - adult/children's	SCQF ☐ Level 8 ☐ Level 9 ☐ Level 10 ☐ Level 11
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Dual award - adult/learning disabilities	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Dual award - mental health/children's	SCQF ☐ Level 8 ☐ Level 9 ☐ Level 10 ☐ Level 11
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Dual award - learning disabilities/children's	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠ N/A
Academic levels of mod	ified/additional programme(s)/route(s):





Registered Nurse – Adult	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
	SCQF ☐ Level 8 ⊠Level 9 ⊠Level 10 ☐ Level 11
	□ N/A
Registered Nurse – Children's	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
	SCQF ☐ Level 8 ☐ Level 9 ☐ Level 10 ☐ Level 11
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠ N/A
Registered Nurse - Mental Health	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
	SCQF ☐ Level 8 ☐ Level 9 ☐ Level 10 ☐ Level 11
	□ N/A
NDA Adult	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠ N/A
NDA Children's	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11





	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
NDA Learning Disabilities	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
NDA Mental Health	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Dual award - adult/mental health	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	□N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Dual award - adult/children's	SCQF ☐ Level 8 ☐ Level 9 ☐ Level 10 ☐ Level 11
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Dual award - adult/learning disabilities	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11
	⊠N/A
Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7





	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11  N/A		
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7		
Dual award - mental health/children's	SCQF  Level 8 Level 9 \( \subseteq \text{Level 10} \) Level 11		
	□ N/A		
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7		
Dual award - learning disabilities/children's	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11		
	⊠ N/A		
Programme approval da	Programme approval dates:		
Date of NMC approval of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.	7 July 2020		
of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most	7 July 2020 25 August 2023 (endorsement)		
of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.  Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since	25 August 2023 (endorsement)		
of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.  Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:	25 August 2023 (endorsement)		
of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.  Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:  Programme start dates:  Current modification programme start date: RN – Adult	25 August 2023 (endorsement)		
of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.  Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:  Programme start dates: Current modification programme start date:	25 August 2023 (endorsement)		
of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.  Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:  Programme start dates:  Current modification programme start date: RN – Adult	25 August 2023 (endorsement)  9 September 2024		
of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.  Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:  Programme start dates:  Current modification programme start date: RN – Adult RN – Children's RN - Learning	25 August 2023 (endorsement)  9 September 2024		
of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.  Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:  Programme start dates:  Current modification programme start date: RN – Adult RN – Children's RN - Learning Disabilities	25 August 2023 (endorsement)  9 September 2024 9 September 2024		





NDA Learning Disabilities	
NDA Mental Health	
Dual award - Adult/Mental Health	9 September 2024
Dual award - Adult/Children's	9 September 2024
Dual award - Adult/Learning Disabilities	
Dual award – Mental Health/Learning Disabilities	
Dual award – Mental Health/Children's	9 September 2024
Dual award – Learning Disabilities/Children's	
Date of modification:	22 May 2024
Type of modification:	Visit
QA visitor(s):	Registrant Visitor: Elizabeth Mason





#### **Section two**

#### **Summary of review and findings**

The Robert Gordon University (RGU) is an approved educational institution (AEI) seeking approval for a modification to the pre-registration nursing programme provided by the school of nursing, midwifery and paramedic practice (the school). The programme is approved by the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) since 7 July 2020, including a programme endorsement on the 25 August 2023 for the programme to be delivered at health and community services for the Government of Bailiwick of Jersey. The modification to RGU's existing programme is considered against the Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018 updated), the Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (NMC 2018, updated 2023) and the Future nurse: Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018).

The programme presented for modification includes numerous routes and two levels of academic award. These are full-time, three-year BSc and BSc (Hons) routes in adult, mental health and children and young people (CYP) fields. There are four-year, BSc (Hons) routes for the adult, mental health and CYP fields. The programme also includes routes with dual award across the three fields. These are full-time, four-year, BSc (Hons) nursing routes leading to dual award in the fields of adult and CYP, mental health and adult and CYP and mental health. Documentary evidence and discussion with the programme team confirm a modification to the title of the BSc (Hons) nursing (adult and mental health) route to BSc (Hons) nursing (mental health and adult). The change is proposed to provide an equal spread of fields and to ensure each field is offered as the first in a dual award route.

Evidence is presented to support a modification to the programme structure and introduce a dynamic curriculum with field specific modules in each year of the programme. The changes to the RGU curriculum are designed to support the transformation of health in urban, rural and remote areas throughout the mainland and islands of Scotland and Jersey and reflect feedback from students and practice learning partners (PLPs). Programme documentation and the visit confirm that the implementation of a dynamic curriculum is designed with PLPs, students and people who use services and carers (PUSCs). The dynamic curriculum is reviewed annually as a key part of the academic quality assurance cycle and the programme team tell us this will enable the curriculum to be responsive to policy drivers, evidence-based practice and changing theoretical concepts and frameworks. The programme team tell us that change to the programme and the inclusion of field specific modules in each year aims to ensure professional nursing knowledge, skills, attributes and key subject strands are developed and run through all years of programme.





Evidence is also presented to support a modification to the programme structure to introduce simulated practice learning (SPL). This will replace the objective structured clinical examinations to remove duplication of assessments for practice learning. Documentary evidence and the visit confirm that resources, staffing and plans are in place to support delivery of the field specific modules in each year of the programme and routes to provide 400 hours of SPL for the BSc routes and 520 hours SPL for the BSc (Hons) routes. Year four of the dual award routes will mirror year three of the BSc calendar, with the addition of a transition module for the second field of nursing practice. This is delivered and assessed in semester one of the final year and practice placement learning will replace the two weeks of core SPL, as students will complete this in year three of the programme.

Documentary evidence and the visit confirm that strategic and operational meetings are embedded in governance strategies to monitor the quality of practice learning, student evaluations and any practice learning concerns. There's evidence of a partnership approach to address, monitor and manage any adverse systems regulator reports, including Health Improvement Scotland.

The RGU monitors equality, diversity and inclusion (EDI) data to ensure the learning culture is compliant with equalities and human rights legislation. Monitoring includes student population data, recruitment, retention, attainment, student satisfaction and employment. EDI is monitored at programme level and the university uses a dashboard called RGU Insight that provides programme leads with data on differential attainment at programme and module level. Data is monitored and programme leads are required to prepare enhancement plans to address any identified attainment gaps.

The modification is undertaken as a remote visit.

Arrangements at programme level meet the SFNME.

Arrangements at programme level meet the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC 2018, updated 2023).

The programme is recommended for approval. One NMC recommendation is made.

Recommended outcome of the approval panel	
Recommended outcome to the NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval





	Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met
	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme
	Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources:
	None identified.
	Selection, admission and progression:
	None identified.
Conditions:	Practice learning:
	None identified.
	Assessment, fitness for practice and award:
	None identified.
	Education governance: management and quality assurance:
	None identified.
Date condition(s) to be met:	N/A
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	Recommendation one: Consider making explicit in student facing documentation the inter-professional learning (IPL) opportunities available within the curriculum. (SFNME R3.2)
Focused areas for future monitoring:	Ongoing review of the information provided to PLPs as part of SSSA training.
_	Monitoring the effectiveness of SPL within the curriculum.

Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions	
being met	
Commentary post review of evidence against conditions:	





N/A	
AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institution YES NO
Summary of observations made, if applicable	
Final recommendation made to NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval   Recommended to refuse approval of the programme
Date condition(s) met:	N/A

#### **Section three**

2023)

N	11	И	C	P	r	0	q	r	a	n	۱r	n	е	S	ta	ın	d	a	r	d	S

Please refer to NMC standards reference points:

<u>Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (NMC 2018, updated 2023)</u>
<u>Future nurse: Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018)</u>
<u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> (NMC 2018, updated 2023)

<u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> (NMC 2018, updated 2023) <u>The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives</u> <u>and nursing associates</u> (NMC, 2015 updated 2018)

Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education (NMC, 2020)

QA Handbook (NMC, 2022)

Partnerships
The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders.
Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:
Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC 2018, updated





#### **Standard 1: The learning culture:**

- R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and coproduced with people who use services and other stakeholders
- R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

#### Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:

- S2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders
- R2.4 comply with NMC <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u>
- R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes
- R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation
- R2.7 ensure that people who use services and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

#### **Standard 3: Student empowerment:**

- R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs
- R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills
- R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning
- R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

#### Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

- R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment
- R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment
- R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

#### Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:





- R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes
- R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme
- R5.14 a range of people including people who use services contribute to student assessment

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC 2018, updated 2023)

#### **Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:**

- R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments
- R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning
- R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including users of services, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

#### **Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:**

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

#### Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

### Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

#### Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

#### Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

#### Findings against the standard and requirements





Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, people who use services, students and any other stakeholders.

Documentary evidence and the modification visit confirm that RGU works in partnership with their students, PLPs and PUSCs. The university has comprehensive systems, processes and supporting infrastructure for programme development, delivery and governance. There are effective partnerships at both a strategic and operational level. There's commitment from the university and PLPs to work together to support the implementation and delivery of the modified curriculum and SPL.

There's clear evidence of partnership working to design the modified curriculum and implementation of SPL. Students, PLPs and PUSCs confirm their involvement with programme development through a curriculum steering group, operational group and six sub-groups who are collaborating to design and implement the new curriculum and SPL. The students we meet tell us they're involved in the development of the field specific modules and the design of the strategy and activities to support SPL. The students tell us of their positive experience in the sub-groups and are able to see their feedback in the curriculum design of the modules to ensure field identity.

The school has a strategy for involving people and communities which aims to ensure they're involved in all aspects of co-production in nursing and other professional programmes. The programme team tell us that the clinical skills and programme leads organise the inclusion of PUSCs in SPL as simulated patients. The PUSCs tell us they're involved in the design of the programme through the steering and sub-groups, designing the SPL scenarios and student assessment. The PUSC representatives at the visit confirm they're prepared for and supported in their activities with students and that they have EDI training. They tell us how this enables them to work effectively with students who have diverse backgrounds and needs. The students we meet tell us the PUSCs contribute to their SPL, teaching and assessment and in the IPL opportunities with allied health students.

While IPL opportunities are included in the programme, these aren't explicit in the student-facing documentation. (Recommendation one)

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u>

MET 🖂	NOT MET [





Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u>						
	MET 🖂	NOT MET [				
Post Event Review						
Identify how the condition is met:						
N/A						
Date condition(s) met:						
N/A						
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET	NOT	MET 🗌				
N/A						

#### Student journey through the programme

#### Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R1.1 Confirm on entry to the programme that students:
- R1.1.1 meet the entry criteria for the programme as set out by the AEI and are suitable for their intended field of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R1.1.2 demonstrate values in accordance with the Code
- R1.1.3 have capability to learn behaviours in accordance with the Code
- R1.1.4 have capability to develop numeracy skills required to meet programme outcomes
- R1.1.5 can demonstrate proficiency in English language
- R1.1.6 have capability in literacy to meet programme outcomes
- R1.1.7 have capability for digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes.
- R1.2 ensure students' health and character are sufficient to enable safe and effective practice on entering the programme, throughout the programme and when submitting the supporting declaration of health and character in line with the NMC's health and character decision-making guidance. This includes satisfactory occupational health assessment and criminal record checks





- R1.3 ensure students are fully informed of the requirement to declare immediately any police charges, cautions, convictions or conditional discharges, or determinations that their fitness to practise is impaired made by other regulators, professional bodies and educational establishments, and ensure that any declarations are dealt with promptly, fairly and lawfully
- R1.4 ensure the registered nurse responsible for directing the educational programme or their designated registered nurse substitute are able to provide supporting declarations of health and character for students who have completed a pre-registration nursing programme
- R1.5 permit recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses and programme outcomes, up to a maximum of 50 percent of the programme
- R1.6 for NMC registered nurses permit recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes that may be more than 50 percent of the programme
- R1.7 support students throughout the programme in continuously developing their abilities in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes, and

<u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> specifically R2.6, R2.7, R2.8, R2.9, R2.11

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (NMC 2018, updated 2023).

Proposed transfer of current students to the <u>Standards for student</u> <u>supervision and assessment</u> (NMC 2018, updated 2023).

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> (NMC 2018, updated 2023).

#### Findings against the standard and requirements

#### Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

 Evidence that selection processes ensure entrants onto the programme are suitable for the intended field of nursing practice and demonstrate values and have capability to learn behaviours in accordance with the Code. Evidence of people who use services and practitioners involvement in selection processes. (R1.1.1, R1.1.2, R1.1.3)

YES 🖂	NO 🗌





•	Evidence of selection processes, including statements on digital literacy, literacy, numeracy, values-based selection criteria, educational entry standard required, and progression and assessment strategy, English language proficiency criteria specified in recruitment processes (R1.1.4 – R1.1.7).							
		YES [	⊠ NO □	]				
<ul> <li>Ensure students' health and character are sufficient to enable safe and effective practice on entering the programme, throughout the programme and when submitting the supporting declaration of health and character in line with the NMC's health and character decision-making guidance. This includes satisfactory occupational health assessment and criminal record checks. Fitness for practice processes evidenced and information given t applicants and students are detailed (R1.2)</li> </ul>								
		YES [	⊠ NO □	]				
•	immediately any police charges, cautions, convictions or conditional discharges, or determinations that their fitness to practise is impaired made by other regulators, professional bodies and educational establishments, and ensure that any declarations are dealt with promptly, fairly and lawfully.							
	(R1.3)	YES [	⊠ NO □	]				
•	Processes are in place for providing supporting nurse responsible for directing the educational processes.		, ,	d				
		YES [	⊠ NO □	]				
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met								
•	<ul> <li>Evidence of recognition of prior learning processes, mapped against programme outcomes at all levels and against academic levels of the programme up to a maximum of 50 percent of the programme (R1.5)</li> </ul>							
		MET 🖂	NOT MET [	$\supset$				
R1.5 i	s met. Unchanged through this modification.							
•	Evidence that for NMC registered nurses recognicate and programme outcomes (R1.6)	•	•					
		MET 🖂	NOT MET	1				





R1.6 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

 Numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy mapped against proficiency standards and programme outcomes. Provide evidence that the programme meets NMC requirements, mapping how the indicative content meets the proficiencies and programme outcomes.

Ongoing achievement record (OAR) and practice assessment document (PAD) are linked to competence outcomes in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes. Detail support strategies for students throughout the programme in continuously developing their abilities in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes (R1.7)

MET 
NOT MET

R1.7 is met. There's mapping of modules from the existing to the modified
programme, demonstrating where changes have been made to the content
through the introduction of field specific modules in each year of the programme.
The NMC proficiencies are mapped to all modules, demonstrating where they will
be met. Documentary evidence and the visit confirm that students are supported to
develop their abilities in numeracy, literacy and digital and technological literacy to
meet programme outcomes. The pan-Scotland PAD is linked to competence
outcomes in numeracy, literacy and digital and technological literacy, and is
adopted by the university.

#### Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review

From your documentary analysis and your meeting with students, provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> to confirm how the *Standards for pre-registration* nursing programmes and *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme.

Students in years two and three will transfer to the modified programme. The mapping document demonstrates there are no gaps, therefore all students will transition to the new curriculum without the need to complete any bridging activities. The evidence presented and discussion with the programme team and students during the visit confirm that students are consulted about the programme change, and agree to their transition to the modified programme.

Proposed transfer of current students to the <u>Standards for student</u> <u>supervision and assessment (SSSA)</u> (NMC 2018, updated 2023).





From your documentary analysis and your meetings at the approval visit confirm if students will be transferring to the SSSA, and if so that they have informed choice and are fully prepared for supervision and assessment.							
The SSSA are embedded in the pre-registration rand therefore there are no students to transfer.	nursing programr	ne since 2020					
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> relevant to selection, admission and progression are met							
	YE	S⊠ NO□					
Outcome							
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET					
<b>Date:</b> 22 May 2024							
Post event review							
Identify how the condition(s) is met:							
N/A							
Date condition(s) met: N/A							
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT MET					
N/A							

#### Standard 2: Curriculum

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R2.1 ensure that programmes comply with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*
- R2.2 comply with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment
- R2.3 ensure that programme learning outcomes reflect the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and each of the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R2.4 design and deliver a programme that supports students and provides exposure across all four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R2.5 state routes within their pre-registration nursing programme that allows students to enter the register in one or more of the specific fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities or children's nursing
- R2.6 set out the general and professional content necessary to meet the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses and programme outcomes





- R2.7 set out the content necessary to meet the programme outcomes for each field of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R2.8 ensure that field specific content in relation to the law, safeguarding, consent, pharmacology and medicines administration and optimisation is included for entry to the register in one or more fields of nursing practice
- R2.9 ensure the curriculum provides an equal balance of 50 percent theory and 50 percent practice learning using a range of learning and teaching strategies
- R 2.10 ensure technology and simulation opportunities are used effectively and proportionately across the curriculum to support supervision, learning and assessment
- R2.11 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with legislation which supports use of the Welsh language
- R2.12 ensure that all pre-registration nursing programmes meet the equivalent of minimum length of three (academic) years for full time programmes, which consist of a minimum of 4,600 hours
- R2.13 ensure programmes leading to registration in two fields of nursing practice are of suitable length to ensure proficiency in both fields of nursing, and
- R2.14 ensure programmes leading to nursing registration and registration in another profession, are of suitable length and nursing proficiencies and outcomes are achieved in a nursing context.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R1.9, R1.13; R2.2, R2.15, R2.17, R2.19, R2.20, R3.1, R3.2, R3.4, R3.9, R3.10, R3.15, R3.16; R5.1 - R5.16.

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R1.2, R1.3, R1.7, R1.10, R1.11

#### Findings against the standard and requirements

Evide	nce provides assurance that the following QA app	roval criteria	a are met
•	There is evidence that the programme complies with framework for nursing and midwifery education (R2.1		andards
•	There is evidence that the programme complies with for student supervision and assessment (R2.2)	the NMC Sta	andards NO 🗌
•	Mapping to show how the programme learning outco	mes reflect th	ne

nursing (R2.3)

Standards of proficiency for registered nurses and each of the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's





YES NO						
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.						
There is evidence to show how the design and delivery of the programme will support students in both theory and practice to experience across all four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R2.4)						
MET ⊠ NOT MET □						
R2.4 is met. Documentary evidence and the visit confirm that the design and delivery of the programme supports students to experience the four fields of nursing practice for theory-based and practice-based learning. The course is designed around core and field specific modules, and students will undertake these across years one to three of the programme. The modified curriculum provides six core modules for shared learning across all fields and six field specific modules. Students on the BSc (Hons) dual award routes undertake their primary field of practice learning in years one to three and then complete a transition module for either adult, mental health or CYP in year four. The second field of practice modules are undertaken across the year and replicate the field specific modules provided in year three of the programme. Documentary evidence and the visit confirm that students undertaking the dual award routes have core placements in year one, placements in year two that relate to both fields of practice and field specific ones in years three and four. The year four placement will relate to the second field of nursing practice.						
During the visit, the programme team confirm that SPL scenarios and activities for core and field specific topics are developed to ensure that students are exposed to their chosen field, as well as shared learning across all fields through the core SPL activities. The students we meet during the visit confirm their current experience of four fields of practice through theory and practice placement learning, and consider that the new field modules in each year of the programme will help them to develop their field identity further.						
<ul> <li>Evidence that programme structure/design/delivery will illustrate specific fields of practice that allows students to enter the register in one or more specific fields of nursing practice. Evidence of field specific learning outcomes and content in the module descriptors (R2.5)</li> </ul>						
MET ⊠ NOT MET □						
R2.5 is met. Programme documentation and the modification visit demonstrate how the design and delivery of the four-year, dual award routes support students to gain experience in two fields of nursing. Students on the BSc (Hons) dual award						





routes undertake their primary field of nursing practice learning in years one to three, and then complete a transition module for either adult, mental health or CYP in semester two of year four. The second field of practice modules are undertaken across the year and replicate the field specific modules provided in year three of the programme. The documentary evidence and visit confirm that students undertaking the dual award routes have core placements in year one, placements in year two that relate to both fields of practice and field specific ones in years three and four. The year four placement relate to the second field of nursing practice.

n year two that relate to both fields of practice and field specific ones in years three and four. The year four placement relate to the second field of nursing practice.								
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA	approval o	riteria a	re met:					
<ul> <li>There is evidence that mapping has been undertageneral and professional content meets NMC req Standards of proficiency for registered nurses and (R2.6)</li> </ul>	uirements	ments of the						
(112.0)	YES		NO 🗌					
There is evidence that mapping has been undertancessary to meet the programme outcomes for practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities (R2.7)	each field o	field of nursing						
(NZ.I)	YES [	<u> </u>	NO 🗌					
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your document evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to deprovided that the QA approval criteria below is met of	monstrate	if assur	ance is					
<ul> <li>There is evidence that mapping has been undertal specific content in relation to the law, safeguarding and medicines administration and optimisation is register in one or more fields of nursing practice (</li> </ul>	ig, consent included fo	, pharma	acology					
M	IET 🖂	NOT M	IET 🗌					
R2.8 is met. Documentary evidence and discussion with confirm that all students receive field specific content rel safeguarding, consent, pharmacology and medicine adn	ated to the	law,	am					

R2.8 is met. Documentary evidence and discussion with the programme team confirm that all students receive field specific content related to the law, safeguarding, consent, pharmacology and medicine administration and optimisation throughout the programme. In year two, students receive taught content and practice learning in the core module relating to these topics. In year three, students on the adult and CYP dual award route receive additional field specific content on pharmacology and medicines optimisation. The programme documentation confirms additional content on these subjects in the year four transition modules for students completing a dual award.





The programme structure demonstrates an equal balance of 50 percent theory and 50 percent practice learning. This is detailed in the designated

]
7
J

technology and simulation opportunities are effectively and proportionately embedded within the curriculum. For SPL and clinical skills teaching, the mode of simulation used is determined by the learning outcomes for the session. A mixture of low- and high-fidelity simulation is used for student learning. As students progress through the programme, there's an increase in the use of high-fidelity simulation activities due to the increasing complexity of the SPL scenarios which include physical, psychological and environmental elements. Students tell us they have been involved in developing the SPL scenarios and how they value the use of SPL to support their learning.

For the delivery of SPL, the programme team develops a virtual community which enables students to "visit" a range of patients and assess and identify their needs. Information technology platforms such as ThingLink and the simulation package Oxford Medical Simulation are purchased to provide additional experiences, which will develop clinical decision-making skills, deliberate practice and reflective thinking. Students also engage with the telemedicine/telehealth system, Near Me, as part of their SPL activities. Students use safeMedicate to prepare for and complete the numeracy assessments. All academic staff, practice supervisors, practice assessors, practice educators and students will have access to the new





dynamic syllabus via the school's virtual learning environment platform, CampusMoodle, and through the school's practice learning web pages. Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met: Evidence to ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with any legislation which supports the use of the Welsh language (R2.11) YES NO 🗌  $N/A \times$ The programme is delivered in Scotland only. Evidence to ensure that all pre-registration nursing programmes meet the equivalent of minimum length of three (academic) years for full time programmes, which consist of a minimum of 4,600 hours (R2.12) YES 🖂 NO  $\square$ Evidence that programmes leading to registration in two fields of nursing practice are of suitable length to ensure proficiency in both fields of nursing (R2.13) YES 🖂 NO 🗌 N/A Evidence to ensure that programmes leading to nursing registration and registration in another profession, will be of suitable length and nursing proficiencies and outcomes will be achieved in a nursing context (R2.14) YES □ NO □  $N/A \times$ The programme doesn't lead to registration in another profession. Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to curricula are met YES 🖂 NO 🗌 Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment relevant to curricula and assessment are met YES 🖂 NO 🔙 Outcome Is the standard met?  $MET \boxtimes$ NOT MET **Date:** 22 May 2024 Post event review Identify how the condition(s) is met:





N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT MET
N/A		

#### Standard 3: Practice learning

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R3.1 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to develop and meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* to deliver safe and effective care to a diverse range of people, across the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R3.2 ensure that students experience the variety of practice expected of registered nurses to meet the holistic needs of people of all ages
- R3.3 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to meet the communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures, as set out in *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses*, within their selected fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R3.4 provide no less than 2300 practice learning hours, of which a maximum of 600 hours can be in simulated practice learning
- R3.5 take account of students' individual needs and personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning including making reasonable adjustments for students with disabilities
- R3.6 ensure students experience the range of hours expected of registered nurses, and
- R3.7 ensure that students are supernumerary.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R1.1, R1.3, R1.5; R2.10, R2.15; R3.3, R3.5, R 3.7, R3.16; R5.1, R5.7, R5.10, R5.12

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R1.1 – R1.11

#### Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.





<ul> <li>Evidence that the practice learning opportunities allow students to develop and meet the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses to deliver safe and effective care, to a diverse range of people, across the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R3.1)</li> </ul>			
		MET 🖂	NOT MET
R3.1 i	is met. Unchanged through this modification.		
•	There is evidence of how the programme will enter the variety of practice learning experiences to me people in all ages. There are appropriate process monitoring and evaluating these practice experiences.	eet the holis ses for asse	tic needs of ssing,
	r	NET 🖂	NOT MET
R3.2 i	is met. Unchanged through this modification.		
• Evidence that the practice learning opportunities allow students to meet the communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures, as set out in the <i>Standards of proficiency for registered nurses</i> , within their selected fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R3.3)			
	r	MET 🖂	NOT MET
R3.3 i	s met. Unchanged through this modification.		
•	Evidence to ensure the programme is no less that hours, of which a maximum of 600 hours can be learning (R3.4)		
	<b>O</b> \	MET 🖂	NOT MET
R3.4 is met. Programme documentation and discussion with the programme team confirm the minimum requirement for 2300 practice-based learning hours is met, of which a maximum of 600 hours is met through SPL. The proposed modification means that the BSc route will include 400 hours SPL and the BSc (Hons) route 520 hours. The programme team confirm the SSSA are met by ensuring students have appropriate and adequate supervision and assessment during SPL. The tripartite supervision model of practice assessor, practice supervisor and academic assessor is used for SPL. Suitably qualified academic staff will take on the practice supervisor and practice assessor roles and be co-ordinated by SPL leads to ensure they are not also acting in the role as academic assessor for the same students. SPL environments are audited by current school governance processes for practice placement audit and quality assurance.			





The programme planner demonstrates that SPL will be provided over 10 weeks in the BSc nursing curriculum, with an additional three weeks of SPL in the BSc (Hons) route. The 10 weeks of SPL comprise five weeks for core learning and five field specific weeks, placed within the relevant modules to enhance the student's practice learning experience. Students are briefed about the scenarios, learning outcomes, expectations of the session, their role within the scenario, confidentiality, psychological safety and orientation to any equipment, space or the technology. During years two and three of the programme, there's a week of SPL that overlaps across cohorts to provide an opportunity for students in different academic years to come together, learn and share experiences. For students in year three, this SPL is used to develop leadership skills with year two students. The student's final placement will remain in a practice placement setting.

The RGU senior team confirm there's sufficient physical and staffing resource to support the delivery of SPL and that PLPs and associate lecturers will participate. The programme simulation leads are involved in the mapping of the SPL weeks and plans are in place for simulation and academic staff, PLPs, students and PUSCs to develop the SPL material and scenarios. Students in year three of the programme will also develop scenarios to support their learning through SPL. The documentary evidence and discussions with the programme team confirm that SPL will be delivered to groups of 10 students who will rotate around three simulation activities per session. The PLPs we meet confirm their intentions to participate in the development and delivery of SLP. PUSC representatives at the visit confirm their involvement in the development of SLP and involvement as simulated patients.

omalated patiente.	
<ul> <li>There are processes in place to take account of students' individuand personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning including making reasonable adjustments for disabilities (R3.5)</li> </ul>	
MET ⊠ NOT	MET 🗌
R3.5 is met. Unchanged through this modification.	
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteri	a are met
<ul> <li>Evidence of how programme is planned to allow for students to exthe range of hours expected of registered nurses (e.g. 24 hour cadays, night shifts planned examples) (R3.6)</li> </ul>	•
YES 🖂	NO 🗌
Processes are in place to ensure that students are supernumerar	y (R3.7)
YES 🖂	NO 🗌



nursing

a score of 100%

Safe, kind, effective care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.



Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards fra		ursing and
midwifery education relevant to practice learning are		
	YES [	
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for</u>	<u>r student supe</u>	ervision and
assessment relevant to practice learning are met	VEC N	
	YES [	⊴ NO ∐
Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET
is the standard met.		
<b>Date:</b> 22 May 2024		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:		NOT MET
21/2		
N/A		
Standard 4. Supervision and accessment		
Standard 4: Supervision and assessment Approved education institutions, together with pr	ractica loarni	na nartnare
must:	actice learni	ng partners,
R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and a	essessment n	rovided complies
with the NMC Standards framework for nursing	•	
R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and a		
with the NMC Standards for student supervision		
R4.3 ensure they inform the NMC of the name of the		
for directing the education programme	J	•
R4.4 provide students with constructive feedback thro	oughout the p	rogramme to
support their development		-
R4.5 ensure throughout the programme that students	s meet the Sta	andards of

R4.6 ensure that all programmes include a health numeracy assessment related to nursing proficiencies and calculation of medicines which must be passed with

proficiency for registered nurses and programme outcomes for their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's





- R4.7 ensure that students meet all communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures within their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R4.8 assess students to confirm proficiency in preparation for professional practice as a registered nurse
- R4.9 ensure that there is equal weighting in the assessment of theory and practice R4.10 ensure that all proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which must demonstrate the achievement of proficiencies and skills set out in Standards of proficiency for registered nurses.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically: R2.12: R3.5, R3.6, R 3.8, R3.11, R3.13, R3.14, R3.17; R4.2, R4.3, R4.4, R4.5, R4.6, R4.8, R4.11; R5.9

Standards for student supervision and assessment

#### Findings against the standards and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

There is evidence of how the programme will ensure how support,

	Standards framework for nursing and midwifer	•	
		MET 🖂	NOT MET
R4.1	is met. Unchanged through this modification.		
•	There is evidence of how the Standards for stuassessment are applied to the programme. The identify the supervisors and assessor along wit for their roles. (R4.2)	ere are proce	sses in place to
			NOT WET
R4.2	is met. Documentary evidence and the visit conf	irm the proce	ss of

educational audit for areas where SPL is undertaken, and that academic staff are prepared for their roles as practice supervisors and practice assessors in line with the SSSA. There's confirmation that preparation is compulsory prior to academic staff acting in these roles during students' SPL experiences. The programme team confirm that staff from PLPs are involved in the planning, delivery and assessment of SPL.

**Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:** 





•	There are processes in place to ensure the NMC is in the registered nurse responsible for directing the edu (DA 2).			
	(R4.3)	YES 🗵	N	ю 🗆
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met				ance is
•	There are processes in place to provide students wit throughout the programme to support their developm summative assessment strategy is detailed (R4.4)			
	MET		NOT ME	ET 🗌
R4.4 i	is met. Unchanged through this modification.			
•	There is appropriate mapping of the curriculum and placements to ensure throughout the programme that Standards of proficiency for registered nurses and putheir fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, leading the children's nursing (R4.5)	it studer ogramn	nts meet t ne outcon	nes for
	MET		NOT ME	
	III C I		NOT ME	ĔT∐ │
R4.5 i	is met. Unchanged through this modification.		NOT ME	<b>ET</b>
	is met. Unchanged through this modification.	oroval control of the	<b>riteria ar</b> eracy	e met:
	is met. Unchanged through this modification.  ence provides assurance that the following QA appropriate is evidence that all programmes include a heat assessment related to nursing proficiencies and calculated.	oroval control of the	riteria ar eracy of medicir	e met:
	is met. Unchanged through this modification.  Proce provides assurance that the following QA appropriate is evidence that all programmes include a hear assessment related to nursing proficiencies and calcumbrate which must be passed with a score of 100 percent (Foresteen processes are in place to ensure that students meet relationship management skills and nursing proceduring practice: adult, mental health, learning disab	oroval control of the number o	riteria ar eracy of medicir  N munication	re met: nes IO  on and elds of
	ence provides assurance that the following QA appropriate is evidence that all programmes include a hear assessment related to nursing proficiencies and calcumbration which must be passed with a score of 100 percent (Figure 2). Processes are in place to ensure that students meet relationship management skills and nursing procedure.	oroval control of the number o	riteria ar eracy of medicir  N munication their field children	re met: nes IO  on and elds of
	is met. Unchanged through this modification.  Proce provides assurance that the following QA appropriate is evidence that all programmes include a hear assessment related to nursing proficiencies and calcumbrate which must be passed with a score of 100 percent (Foresteen processes are in place to ensure that students meet relationship management skills and nursing proceduring practice: adult, mental health, learning disab	oroval control of the numeroulation of the control	riteria ar eracy of medicir  munication in their field childre	re met: nes IO  on and elds of n's



There is an assessment strategy with details and weighting expressed for



and detailed in award criteria and programme handl		ng is calculated R4.9)
	YES	⊠ NO □
There is evidence that all proficiencies are recorded achievement which must demonstrate the achievem skills as set out in the Standards of proficiency for recorded.	ent of p	roficiencies and d nurses (R4.10)
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framewood</u> midwifery education relevant to supervision and assessment		net
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for students assessment are met	ent supe	ervision and
<u>accossment</u> are met	YES	⊠ NO □
Outcome		
Is the standard met?  ME	Γ	NOT MET
<b>Date:</b> 22 May 2024		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
Date condition(s) met:  N/A		
` '	Г	NOT MET
N/A	Γ	NOT MET
N/A  Revised outcome after condition(s) met:  N/A	Γ	NOT MET
N/A  Revised outcome after condition(s) met:  N/A  Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded		
N/A  Revised outcome after condition(s) met:  N/A		
N/A  Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET  N/A  Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded  Approved education institutions, together with practic	e learni	ng partners,
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:  N/A  Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded Approved education institutions, together with practic must:  R5.1 ensure that the minimum award for a pre-registration	e learning nursing rogramn	ng partners, programme is a ne that they vent of a student





undertake additional education and training or gain such experience as specified in our standards.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifica R2.21	ally R2.12,			
Findings against the standards and requirements	S			
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval c				
<ul> <li>The pre-registration nursing programme award to be approve identified in all programme documentation and is a minimum degree (R5.1)</li> </ul>	-			
YES [	⊠ NO □			
<ul> <li>Notify students during and before completion of the programme that they have five years to register their award with the NMC. In the event of a student failing to register their qualification within five years they will have to undertake additional education and training or gain such experience as specified in our standards. (R5.2)</li> </ul>				
YES	NO □			
Fall Back Award  If there is a fall back exit award with registration as a nurse all NMC proficiencies are met within the award  YES   No.	O 🗌 N/A 🗌			
Students on a four-year, dual award BSc (Hons) route are eligible for award. There's opportunity for a student to exit at the end of year the academic award and eligibility to apply for registration as a nurse in practice studied in year three, if all programme outcomes and NMC are achieved.	ree with a BSc the field of			
Students on a single field BSc (Hons) route are also eligible for a fall back award. There's opportunity for a student to exit at the end of year three with a BSc academic award and eligibility to apply for registration as a nurse, if all programme outcomes and NMC proficiencies are achieved.				
Assurance is provided that the <u>Standards framework for nursing an education</u> relevant to the qualification to be awarded are met <b>YES</b> [	_			
Outcome				
Is the standard met?  MET	NOT MET			
<b>Date:</b> 22 May 2024				





Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
, ,		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
2 a.c. coa(c)c		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT MET
novioca dataonia anti denamen(a) met.		
N/A		
14/71		





#### **Section four**

#### Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and	$\boxtimes$	
consultation		
Programme specification(s) include fields of nursing	$\boxtimes$	
practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and		
children's nursing		
Module descriptors	$\boxtimes$	
Student facing documentation including: programme	$\boxtimes$	
handbook		
Student university handbook	$\boxtimes$	
Practice assessment documentation	$\boxtimes$	
Ongoing record of achievement (ORA)	$\boxtimes$	
Practice learning environment handbook	$\boxtimes$	
Practice learning handbook for practice supervisors and	$\boxtimes$	
assessors specific to the programme		
Academic assessor focused information specific to the	$\boxtimes$	
programme		
Placement allocation / structure of programme		
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped		
against Standards of proficiency for registered nurses		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	$\boxtimes$	
education institution has met the Standards framework for		
nursing and midwifery education (NMC 2018, updated		
2023) (Gateway 1)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	$\boxtimes$	
Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC		
2018, updated 2023) apply to the programme(s)		
(Gateway 2)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	$\boxtimes$	
education institution has met the Standards for pre-		
registration nursing programmes (NMC 2018, updated		
2023) (Gateway 3)		
Curricula vitae (CV) for relevant staff	$\boxtimes$	
CV of the registered nurse responsible for directing the	$\boxtimes$	
education programme		
Registrant academic staff details checked on NMC	$\boxtimes$	
website		
External examiner appointments and arrangements		





Written placement agreement(s) between the education institution and associated practice learning partners to		
support the programme intentions.		
\\/\sitten egreent(e) to even out the envergence		
Written agreement(s) to support the programme intentions between the education institution and employer		
partners for apprenticeship routes (if applicable).		
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitig	nation:	
The programme doesn't include apprenticeship routes.	<b>y</b>	
List additional documentation:		
None identified.		
Additional comments:		
None identified.		
During the event the violage (a) met the following groups	_	
During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups		
	YES	NO
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with		
responsibility for resources for the programme		
Senior managers from associated practice learning		
partners with responsibility for resources for the programme		
Senior managers from associated employer partners		$\boxtimes$
with responsibility for resources for the programme		
(applicable for apprenticeship routes)		
Programme team/academic assessors	$\square$	
Practice leads/practice supervisors/practice assessors		
Students		
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study:		
Year one BSc Nursing (Adult) x one.		
Year one BSc Nursing (Hons) (Adult and CYP) x one.		
Year three BSc Nursing (Adult) x two.		
Year four BSc Nursing (Hons) (Adult) x one.		
People who use services and carers		
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitig	gation:	
The programme doesn't include apprenticeship routes.	_	
Additional comments:		
None identified.		





#### The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities during the event:

			YES	NO	
Specialist teaching a	accommodation (e.g.	clinical			
skills/simulation suit	es)				
Library facilities					
Technology enhance	ed learning/virtual lea	rning			
environment	J	J			
Educational audit to	ols/documentation				
Practice learning en	vironments				
If practice learning e	environments are visit	ed, state where v	risited/findin	gs:	
System regulator repartners	ports reviewed for pra	actice learning			
If yes, system regula	ator reports list:				
If you stated no abo	ve, please provide the	e reason and miti	gation:		
	ed AEI and there's no	requirement for	a review of	resources	
as part of this modifi		at Caatlanad nan an	ملطمالمينم مه	fa.,	
i nere are no reieval	nt Health Improveme	nt Scotland repor	ts avallable	for review.	
Additional comments: None identified.					
Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer					
This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.					
We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied					
•	arty, or being used fo	•		•	
	nich is due to an error				
other parties.				,	
•					
Issue record					
Final Report					
Author(s):	Elizabeth Mason	Date:	31 Ma	ay 2024	
Checked by:	Sarah Snow	Date:	6 Jun	e 2024	
Submitted by:	Amy Young	Date:	27 Ju	ne 2024	
Approved by:	Leeann Greer	Date:	27 Ju	ne 2024	