



Programme approval report

Section one

Programme provider name:	Chaffield Hallom University
	Sheffield Hallam University
Programmes reviewed:	Specialist practice qualification:
	Community children's nursing
	Community children's nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)
	Community learning disabilities nursing
	Community learning disabilities nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)
	Community mental health nursing
	Community mental health nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)
	District nursing
	District nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) ⊠
	General practice nursing
	General practice nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)
	Health and social care nursing
	Health and social care nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)





Community nurse specialist practice (NMC 2022) apprenticeship:
Community children's nursing apprenticeship
Community children's nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) apprenticeship
Community learning disabilities nursing apprenticeship
Community learning disabilities nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) apprenticeship
Community mental health nursing apprenticeship
Community mental health nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) apprenticeship
District nursing apprenticeship
District nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) apprenticeship
General practice nursing apprenticeship
General practice nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) apprenticeship
Health and social care nursing apprenticeship
Health and social care nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) apprenticeship





Title of programme(s):	Poetgraduata Diploma District Nursing
Title of programme(s):	Postgraduate Diploma District Nursing
Academic levels:	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
SPQ Community children's nursing	SCQF Level 11
SPQ Community children's nursing	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	SCQF Level 11
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
SPQ Community learning disabilities nursing	SCQF Level 11
SPQ Community learning disabilities	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	SCQF Level 11
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
SPQ Community mental health nursing	SCQF Level 11
SPQ Community mental health nursing	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	SCQF Level 11
SPQ District nursing	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
o. & District Hursing	SCQF Level 11





SPQ District nursing with integrated	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	SCQF Level 11
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
SPQ General practice nursing	SCQF Level 11
SPQ General practice nursing with	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	SCQF Level 11
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
SPQ Health and social care nursing	SCQF Level 11
SPQ Health and social care nursing	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	SCQF Level 11
SPQ Community children's nursing apprenticeship	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
	SCQF Level 11
SPQ Community children's nursing with integrated independent and supplementary prescribing (V300)	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
apprenticeship	SCQF Level 11
SPQ Community learning disabilities nursing apprenticeship	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7





ngland, Wales, Northern Ireland
Level 7
CQF] Level 11
ngland, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
CQF] Level 11
ngland, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
CQF] Level 11
ngland, Wales, Northern Ireland] Level 7
CQF] Level 11
ngland, Wales, Northern Ireland] Level 7
CQF] Level 11
ngland, Wales, Northern Ireland] Level 7
CQF] Level 11
ngland, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 7
CQF] Level 11





SPQ Health and social care nursing	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
apprenticeship	Level 7
арргопиосыпр	
	SCQF
	Level 11
SPQ Health and social care nursing	England, Wales, Northern Ireland
with integrated independent and	Level 7
supplementary prescribing (V300)	L Level /
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SCQF
apprenticeship	l <u></u> '
	Level 11
Date of approval visit:	10 June 2024
Date of approval visit.	10 Julie 2024
Programme start date:	
SPQ Community children's nursing	
SPQ Community children's nursing	
with integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
SPQ Community learning disabilities	
,	
nursing	
SPQ Community learning disabilities	
nursing with integrated independent	
and supplementary prescribing (V300)	
SPQ Community mental health nursing	
SPQ Community mental health nursing	
with integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
SPQ District nursing	
SPQ District nursing with integrated	16 September 2024
independent and supplementary	
prescribing (V300)	
SPQ General practice nursing	
SPQ General practice nursing with	
integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
SPQ Health and social care nursing	
SPQ Health and social care nursing	
with integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
SPQ Community children's nursing	
apprenticeship	
SPQ Community children's nursing	
with integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
apprenticeship	





SPQ Community learning disabilities	
nursing apprenticeship	
SPQ Community learning disabilities	
nursing with integrated independent	
and supplementary prescribing (V300)	
apprenticeship	
SPQ Community mental health nursing	
apprenticeship	
SPQ Community mental health nursing	
with integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
apprenticeship	
SPQ District nursing apprenticeship	
SPQ District nursing with integrated	
independent and supplementary	
prescribing (V300) apprenticeship	
SPQ General practice nursing	
apprenticeship	
SPQ General practice nursing with	
integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
apprenticeship	
SPQ Health and social care nursing	
apprenticeship	
SPQ Health and social care nursing	
with integrated independent and	
supplementary prescribing (V300)	
apprenticeship	
QA visitor(s):	Registrant Visitor: Hannah Ingram
	Lay Visitor: Adrian Mason





Section two

Summary of review and findings

Sheffield Hallam University (SHU) is a Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) approved education institution (AEI). The department of nursing and midwifery (the department) within the college of health, wellbeing and life sciences present for approval a specialist practice qualification (SPQ) in district nursing (DN) with integrated independent and supplementary nurse prescribing (V300). The programme is offered as a full-time route and the V300 is integrated as a module of study within the programme structure. The V300 programme is in approval by the NMC since 22 August 2019. Successful completion of the programme qualifies students to record the SPQ of DN with integrated V300 prescribing with the NMC. A cohort of 15 students is proposed to commence from 16 September 2024. The programme is delivered at the SHU city campus in Sheffield.

The documentary evidence suggests that the programme is redeveloped and redesigned with a reduction in the number of, and changes to, the programme modules. An advanced health assessment module is included to support prescribing practice together with a practice learning module. The documentary evidence suggests that assessment processes are streamlined based on student feedback. The programme is designed to meet the Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018 updated 2023), the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC 2018, updated 2023) and the Standards for post-registration programmes (SPRP) (NMC 2022, updated 2023). Programme learning outcomes are mapped to the Standards of proficiency for community nursing SPQs (SPCNSPQ) (NMC, 2022) and the Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS) competency framework for all prescribers (RPS, 2021).

Programme documentation and the approval visit provide limited evidence of established relationships between the AEI and practice learning partners (PLPs). Documentary evidence confirms there are service level agreements in place. Education leads, practice assessors and practice supervisors tell us that there are liaison days with the AEI that provide them with a link to the programme team. However, senior PLPs with strategic oversight of practice learning aren't present at the approval visit and triangulation of partnership working at strategic level isn't therefore possible.

Discussion at the approval visit and documentary evidence provides limited assurance of the involvement of stakeholders in the co-production of the programme. People who use services and carers (PUSCs), students and PLPs present at the approval visit tell us they're not involved with the design, delivery, development, evaluation and co-production of the proposed programme. PUSCs tell us that equality, diversity and inclusion (EDI) training is





made available to them, however they welcome wider training about how to fulfil the role of a PUSC in other aspects of the programme.

Students, practice assessors and practice supervisors tell us that the opportunities for inter-professional learning (IPL) in theory and practice settings are limited. Some students tell us that the IPL they experience is in the practice learning environment, however opportunities are inconsistent. Other students tell us that IPL isn't facilitated anywhere in the programme.

Documentary evidence indicates that students receive protected learning time. At the approval visit, students tell us that protected learning time isn't always a reality or guaranteed during the programme. They tell us that they need to work and study during programmed annual leave weeks as learning time isn't consistently protected for all students. Students tell us that allocated study time isn't set at a useful or appropriate time in the programme.

Documentary evidence and the approval visit doesn't assure us that there are sufficient practice assessors and practice supervisors in place to support practice learning. Practice assessors, practice supervisors and students tell us that there are limited staff available to ensure appropriate supervision and assessment in the practice learning environment. They tell us there's a limited number of practice supervisors and practice assessors with the relevant skills, knowledge and expertise who can support students on the advanced physical assessment module and V300 module. We're unable to explore this further with senior PLPs who've oversight of practice learning at the approval visit as they aren't present. Education leads, practice assessors and practice supervisors can't confirm there are sufficient numbers of practice supervisors and practice assessors in place to support practice learning.

Documentary evidence suggests that the same person may act as the practice assessor and practice supervisor for the same student simultaneously in exceptional circumstances. Students tell us that this situation occurs in practice. Documentary evidence and discussion at the approval visit doesn't assure us that there's appropriate governance in place for the oversight and management of this scenario.

SHU has an EDI strategy. The programme team confirm they're aware of the impact of university policies on EDI and are working to improve widening participation through diverse placement experiences in the wider community. However, there's a lack of programme level data that will enhance oversight of EDI issues and more effectively align with SHU's overall strategy. The programme team tell us that they support individual students' needs and take account of them to enhance progression and achievement of learning outcomes. Individual academic and study support is available.

The approval visit is undertaken via remote means.





The SFNME isn't met at programme level as conditions apply.

The SSSA aren't met at programme level as conditions apply.

The programme is recommended to the NMC for approval subject to five joint NMC and university conditions. There are two NMC recommendations.

Updated 9 July 2024:

SHU provide documentation to meet the joint conditions. SHU confirm the conditions are met.

The programme is recommended to the NMC for approval.

Recomme	nded outcome of the approval panel
Recommended outcome to the NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval
	Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met
	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme
	Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources:
	Condition one: Provide an explicit implementation plan that demonstrates how the programme is designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and coproduced with PUSCs, students and PLPs. (SFNME R1.12) (NMC and university condition)
Conditions:	Condition two: Provide an explicit implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that demonstrates how IPL is promoted and facilitated within all aspects of the programme. (SFNME R1.13, R3.16) (NMC and university condition)
	Selection, admission and progression:
	None identified.





	Practice learning:
	Condition three: Provide an explicit implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that demonstrates there are sufficient practice supervisors and practice assessors in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments. (SSSA R1.4, SPRP R4.3) (NMC and university condition)
	Condition four: Provide programme documentation that clearly demonstrates the governance structures in place to support protected learning time. (SPRP R1.5) (NMC and university condition)
	Condition five: Provide programme documentation that clearly demonstrates the governance structures in place for oversight and management of exceptional circumstances where the roles of practice assessor and practice supervisor are fulfilled by the same person. (SPRP R4.6.1) (NMC and university condition)
	Assessment, fitness for practice and award:
	None identified.
	Education governance: management and quality assurance:
	None identified.
Date condition(s) to be met:	9 July 2024
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	Recommendation one: The programme team are advised to enhance their oversight of EDI by collecting and reviewing programme level data. (SFNME R2.1.2)
	Recommendation two: The programme team are advised to develop further simulation opportunities across the programme to support supervision, learning and assessment. (SPRP R2.9)





Focused areas for future	Ongoing training and support for PUSCs to undertake
monitoring:	their role.

Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met

Commentary post review of evidence against conditions:

SHU submit an implementation plan that details current and proposed actions to ensure that the programme is designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and coproduced with PUSCs, students and PLPs. Condition one is met.

SHU submit an implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that details how IPL is promoted and facilitated within all aspects of the programme. Condition two is met.

SHU provide an implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that demonstrates a process for ensuring sufficient practice supervisors and practice assessors are in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments. Condition three is met.

SHU provide programme documentation that demonstrates the governance structures in place to support protected learning time. Condition four is met.

SHU provide programme documentation that demonstrates the governance structures in place for the oversight and management of exceptional circumstances where the roles of practice assessor and practice supervisor are fulfilled by the same person. Condition five is met.

SHU provide evidence to confirm the conditions are met.

AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institution YES NO
Summary of observations made, if applicable	
Final recommendation made to NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval
	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme
Date condition(s) met:	9 July 2024





Section three

NMC Programme standards

Please refer to NMC standards reference points:

<u>Standards for post-registration education programmes</u> (NMC 2022, updated 2023) <u>Standards of proficiency for community nursing specialist practice qualifications</u> (NMC, 2022)

<u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> (NMC 2018, updated 2023)

<u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> (NMC 2018, updated 2023)

<u>The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates</u> (NMC, 2015 updated 2018)

Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education (NMC, 2020)

QA Handbook (NMC, 2022)

Partnerships

The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders.

Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:

<u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> (NMC 2018, updated 2023)

Standard 1: The learning culture:

- R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and coproduced with people who use services and other stakeholders
- R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:

- S2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders
- R2.4 comply with NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment
- R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes





- R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation
- R2.7 ensure that people who use services and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

Standard 3: Student empowerment:

- R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs
- R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills
- R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning
- R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

- R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment
- R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment
- R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

- R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes
- R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme
- R5.14 a range of people including people who use services contribute to student assessment

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC 2018, updated 2023)

Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:

- R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments
- R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their





R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including users of service, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, people who use services, students and any other stakeholders.

Documentary evidence and senior representatives from SHU confirm there's a university and department strategy in place for the inclusion of PUSCs in programme design and delivery. The programme team tell us that PUSCs are included in programme planning meetings, sessions with students and simulation. However, documentary evidence doesn't provide detail on the processes undertaken to ensure PUSC involvement in the programme design, development, delivery, evaluation and co-production. PUSC representatives at the approval visit tell us they're not included in programme planning meetings. One PUSC tells us they provide a lecture for students about long term conditions management, however students tell us this is a short talk and not well-attended. PUSCs tell us they don't participate in skills or simulation sessions. Documentary evidence





indicates that students, practice assessors and practice supervisors are included in co-production of the programme, however they tell us they're not involved in programme design, development or evaluation and would like to be. The programme team must therefore provide an explicit implementation plan that demonstrates how the programme is designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and co-produced with PUSCs, students and PLPs. (Condition one)

Documentary evidence provides limited information about IPL across the programme. The programme team tell us that IPL occurs in the leadership module and in the V300 module. However, all the students we meet tell us that IPL is limited and generally only occurs in the practice learning environment, although opportunities are frequently inconsistent. At the approval visit, practice assessors and practice supervisors confirm that students have opportunities for IPL in the practice setting and that this is encouraged and facilitated where possible. Students tell us that IPL in the programme involves being in the classroom with specialist community public health nursing students. The programme team are therefore required to provide an explicit implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that demonstrates how IPL is promoted and facilitated within all aspects of the programme. (Condition two)

Education leads present at the approval visit tell us that relationships with the programme team are open and collaborative, that liaison days are facilitated and that they find it easy to contact the programme team. They also tell us that resourcing for practice assessors and practice supervisors is variable across PLPs. Documentary evidence and discussion at the approval visit with education leads, practice supervisors and practice assessors confirms that practice assessors and practice supervisors are trained and supported to undertake their roles. The programme team, practice assessors and practice supervisors confirm that liaison days are facilitated by the programme team to support supervision and assessment in practice.

Practice assessors, practice supervisors and students tell us they're concerned about the limited number of staff available, particularly for the advanced physical assessment module and the V300 module. They tell us that staff aren't being put forward to undertake the required SSSA training in order to fulfil the practice supervisor and practice assessor roles for these specialist elements of the programme. Education leads tell us that a shortage of suitable practice assessors and practice supervisors is a current issue and that they're working to access other suitable healthcare professionals, for example general practitioners, rapid response and in-patient services staff. The programme team tell us that it's the responsibility of the PLPs to allocate appropriate practice supervisors and practice assessors. The senior team at SHU describe the programme as sustainable, especially given that the catchment area is widened and it's well established over a number of years. However, there's a lack of documentary evidence that provides assurance there's a governance plan in place to ensure there's a sufficient number of practice assessors and practice supervisors available to support safe and effective student learning. The programme team must therefore provide an explicit





implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that demonstrates there are sufficient practice supervisors and practice assessors in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments. (Condition three)

Students have access to an online university handbook and there's a programme specific handbook that outlines key information. There's documentary evidence of the processes in place for students to raise concerns about the programme, university or practice placements. Students, practice assessors and practice supervisors confirm they're aware of the processes in place for raising concerns, and assure us they know where to look for this information and how to access support.

Programme documentation and the approval visit confirm that there's a student representative system in place and that regular student and staff forums occur. Students tell us that there are two student representatives in place for their programme, although neither are able to attend the approval visit. Feedback provided by students via their group representatives or the forums is considered by the programme team. For example, changes are made to assessments throughout the programme to alleviate assessment burden.

Programme documentation describes the AEI's ongoing work to ensure that the student voice is heard and acknowledges that key performance indicators aren't currently met. There's a clear response to address this deficit that focuses on a perceived lack of student understanding about how feedback is responded to and the feedback loop closed. Documentary evidence and the programme team tell us that student and staff forums provide a platform for closing feedback loops. Students tell us that student representatives attend meetings with the programme team and report back any changes. They feel that their voices are heard by the programme team.

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u>

MET NOT MET

There's insufficient documentary evidence and discussions at the approval visit don't provide assurance that the programme is designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and co-produced with all stakeholders.

Condition one: Provide an explicit implementation plan that demonstrates how the programme is designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and co-produced with PUSCs, students and PLPs. (SFNME R1.12) (NMC and university condition)

Students tell us that opportunities for IPL are limited, inconsistent and generally only occur in the practice learning environment. Documentary evidence and discussions with the programme team identify that IPL occurs when students undertaking a range of healthcare programmes are in the classroom or virtual





classroom together. However, the programme team and students highlight that this is inconsistent.

Condition two: Provde an explicit implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that demonstrates how IPL is promoted and facilitated within all aspects of the programme (SFNME R1.13, R3.16) (NMC and university condition)

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, people who use services, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u>

MET NOT MET

Students, practice assessors, practice supervisors and education leads tell us there are a limited number of staff available who can effectively support the advanced physical assessment module and the V300 module due to the skills, knowledge and experience required. There's a lack of documentary evidence that demonstrates a plan is in place to ensure sufficient numbers of practice supervisors and practice assessors are available to support student learning across all aspects of the programme.

Condition three: Provide an explicit implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that demonstrates there are sufficient practice supervisors and practice assessors in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments. (SSSA R1.4, SPRP R4.3) (NMC and university condition)

Post Event Review

Identify how the condition is met:

Condition one: SHU provide an implementation plan for PUSC, PLP and student involvement in all elements of the programme design, development, delivery, evaluation and co-production. This evidences the activites undertaken and those planned prior to the commencement and throughout the duration of the programme.

Condition one is met.

Evidence:

Implementation plan, undated

Letter of confirmation of conditions met NMC SCPHN, 5 July 2024

Condition two: SHU provide an implementation in partnership with PLPs that demonstrates how IPL is promoted and facilitated within all aspects of the programme.

Condition two is met.





Evidence: Implementation plan, undated Letter of confirmation of conditions met NMC SCPHN, 5 July 2024
Condition three: SHU provide an implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that clearly demonstrates a process for ensuring sufficient practice supervisors and practice assessors are in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments.
Condition three is met.
Evidence: Placement learning expectations document, undated Updated information about preparation and training of practice supervisors and practice assessors in DN student handbook, undated Governance and implementation plan for safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments in conjunction with PLPs, undated Letter of confirmation of conditions met NMC SCPHN, 5 July 2024
Date condition(s) met: 9 July 2024
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET
Revised outcome arter condition(s) met.
The visca date of the formation (s) met.
Independent/Supplementary Prescriber (V300)
Independent/Supplementary Prescriber (V300) Please indicate whether the V300 is a compulsory or optional element of the
Independent/Supplementary Prescriber (V300)
Independent/Supplementary Prescriber (V300) Please indicate whether the V300 is a compulsory or optional element of the Specialist practice programme.
Independent/Supplementary Prescriber (V300) Please indicate whether the V300 is a compulsory or optional element of the Specialist practice programme. Optional ☐ Compulsory ☒ N/A ☐ Please indicate whether the V300 is to be approved at this event against the Standards for prescribing programmes and Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society competency framework for all prescribers) (NMC, 2021)
Independent/Supplementary Prescriber (V300) Please indicate whether the V300 is a compulsory or optional element of the Specialist practice programme. Optional Compulsory N/A Please indicate whether the V300 is to be approved at this event against the Standards for prescribing programmes and Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society competency framework for all prescribers) (NMC, 2021) YES NO





Student journey through the programme

Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R1.1 ensure that the applicant is an:
- R1.1.1 NMC registered nurse (level 1) or NMC registered midwife capable of safe and effective practice at the level of proficiency appropriate to the NMC approved Specialist Community Public Health Nurse (SCPHN) programme before being considered as eligible to apply for entry
- R1.1.2 NMC registered nurse (level 1) with relevant professional registration, capable of safe and effective practice at the level of proficiency appropriate to the NMC approved Community Nursing Specialist Practice Qualification (SPQ) programme before being considered as eligible to apply for entry
- R1.2 confirm on entry that each applicant selected to undertake a SCPHN or community nursing SPQ programme has the academic capability to study at the level required for that programme
- R1.3 provide opportunities that enable eligible NMC registered nurses and/or NMC registered midwives, including NHS, non-NHS, self-employed or self-funded applicants to apply for entry onto an NMC approved SCPHN programme
- R1.4 provide opportunities that enable eligible NMC registered nurses, including NHS, non-NHS, self-employed or self-funded applicants to apply for entry onto an NMC approved community nursing SPQ programme
- R1.5 confirm that the necessary arrangements and governance structures are in place to support practice learning, including employer support and protected learning time, to enable students to undertake and be appropriately supported throughout the programme
- R1.6 consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the:
- R1.6.1 programme learning outcomes and standards of proficiency for the applicant's intended field of SCPHN practice or SCPHN public health nurse practice
- R1.6.2 programme learning outcomes and standards of proficiency for the applicant's intended field of community nursing SPQ practice or in other specified field(s) for the community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice
- R1.7 where programmes intend to offer SCPHN and/or SPQ students admission to an NMC approved independent/supplementary (V300) prescribing programme consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS) Competency Framework for all Prescribers for applicants*, and
- R1.8 where programmes intend to offer admission to an NMC approved independent/supplementary (V300) prescribing qualification to SCPHN and/or SPQ students, ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1) and/or a registered midwife before being considered as eligible to apply for entry.





Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R2.6, R2.7, R2.8, R2.9, R2.11

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the Standards for post-registration education programmes (NMC 2022, updated 2023).

Proposed transfer of current students to the **Standards for student** supervision and assessment (NMC 2018, updated 2023).

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the **Standards for** student supervision and assessment (NMC 2018, updated 2023).

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

Evidence of processes to ensure that the applicant is a NMC registered nurse (level 1) or NMC registered midwife capable of safe and effective practice at the level of proficiency appropriate to the NMC approved Specialist Community Public Health Nurse (SCPHN) programme before being considered as eligible to apply for entry (R1.1.1)

N/A 🖂

A SCPHN programme isn't proposed.

- Evidence of processes to ensure that the applicant is a NMC registered nurse (level 1) with relevant professional registration, capable of safe and effective practice at the level of proficiency appropriate to the NMC approved Community Nursing Specialist Practice Qualification (SPQ) programme before being considered as eligible to apply for entry (R1.1.2) YES 🖂 NO 🗌 Processes are in place to confirm on entry that each applicant selected to undertake a SCPHN or community nursing SPQ programme has the academic capability to study at the level required for that programme (R1.2) YES 🖂 NO 🗌 Evidence of selection process that demonstrates opportunities that enable eligible NMC registered nurses and/or NMC registered midwives, including
 - NHS, non-NHS, self-employed or self-funded applicants to apply for entry onto an NMC approved SCPHN programme (R1.3)

N/A 🖂





A SCPI	HN programme isn't proposed.		
9	Evidence of selection process that demonstrates opportuneligible NMC registered nurses, including NHS, non-NHS, self-funded applicants to apply for entry onto an NMC applaying SPQ programme (R1.4)	self-emp	oloyed or
	YES 🖂 N	10 🗌	N/A 🗌
eviden	e an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary anal ce AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrat ed that the QA approval criteria below is met or not me	te if ass	
i F	Evidence that the necessary arrangements and governance in place to support practice learning, including employer supportected learning time, to enable students to undertake an appropriately supported throughout the programme (R1.5)	upport aind be	
	MET	NOT	MET 🖂

R1.5 is not met. There's a lack of evidence that assures us the necessary arrangements and governance structures are in place to support protected learning time for students. Although clearly stated as a requirement in statements of commitment from PLPs for example, other documentary evidence makes reference only to the support and training requirements for practice assessors and practice supervisors. There's inconsistency of terms used in some of the programme documentation. For example, the programme handbook refers to protected learning time that's negotiated in practice on an individual basis. and the recruitment and selection handbook refers to students having supernumerary status. The programme team, practice supervisors and practice assessors tell us that students are supported with protected learning time and are supernumerary in the practice learning environment. However, students tell us that they frequently use protected learning time to catch up on study or assessment activities in order to meet the programme requirements. They confirm they're supernumerary in placement and aware they should have protected learning time, however they tell us this doesn't always happen. This is confirmed by practice assessors, practice supervisors and education leads. There's a lack of documentary evidence that assures us there's a robust governance process in place that provides oversight of protected learning time. The programme team must therefore provide programme documentation that clearly demonstrates the governance structures in place to support protected learning time. (Condition four)

Documentary evidence and the approval visit confirm that educational audit is in place to monitor safe and effective practice learning environments. These are updated every two years by PLPs in partnership with the programme team. The programme team describe an electronic system in place that records the audits which are checked by the programme team when students are admitted to the





programme. If the audit isn't up to date or due to expire, this is actioned by the practice link team at SHU.

practice link team at SHU.			
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are m	et:		
 Processes are in place to consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to programme learning outcomes and standards of proficiency for the applicant's intended field of SCPHN practice or SCPHN public health nurse practice (R1.6.1) N/A \(\subset \)			
A SCPHN programme isn't proposed.			
 Processes are in place to consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to programme learning outcomes and standards of proficiency for the applicant's intended field of community nursing SPQ practice or in other specified field(s) for the community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice (R1.6.2) 			
YES NO NA]		
 Where programmes intend to offer SCPHN and/or SPQ students admission to an NMC approved independent/supplementary (V300) prescribing programme processes are in place to consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RF Competency Framework for all Prescribers for applicants (R1.7) YES	g		
 Where programmes intend to offer admission to an NMC approved independent/supplementary (V300) prescribing qualification to SCPHN and/or SPQ students, there is evidence of processes to ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1) and/or a registered midwife before being considered as eligible to apply for entry (R1.8) YES ⋈ NO ⋈ N/A 	·]		
Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review			
From your documentary analysis and your meeting with students, provide an evaluative summary to confirm how the Standards for post-registration education programmes and Standards of proficiency for specialist community public health nurses will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme. Discussion at the approval visit and documentary evidence confirm that no			
students will transfer to the new programme.			





Proposed transfer of current students to the **Standards for student**

supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC 2018, updated 2023).					
From your documentary analysis and your meetings at the approval visit confirm if students will be transferring to the SSSA, and if so that they have informed choice and are fully prepared for supervision and assessment.					
The SSSA are embedded within the existing programme and therefore there are no students who require transfer.					
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> relevant to selection, admission and progression are met YES NO					
Outcome					
Is the standard met? MET NOT MET					
Students tell us that practice learning time isn't consistently protected. There's a lack of documentary evidence, and discussions at the approval visit don't assure us there's robust governance processes in place that support and provide oversight of protected learning time for students.					
Condition four: Provide programme documentation that clearly demonstrates the governance structures in place to support protected learning time. (SPRP R1.5) (NMC and university condition)					
Date: 10 June 2024					
Post event review					
Identify how the condition(s) is met: Condition four: SHU provide programme documentation that demonstrates the governance structure in place to support protected learning time.					
Condition four is met.					
Evidence: Placement learning expectations document, undated Updated DN programme handbook, undated Governance and implementation plan for safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments in conjunction with PLPs, undated Letter of confirmation of conditions met NMC SCPHN, 5 July 2024					
Date condition(s) met: 9 July 2024					
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET					





Standard 2: Curriculum

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R2.1 confirm programmes comply with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing* and midwifery education including the confirmation of appropriately qualified and experienced people for programme delivery for:
- R2.1.1 all selected fields of SCPHN practice and/or SCPHN PHN practice and/or for
- R2.1.2 all selected fields of community nursing SPQ practice and/or in other specified fields of community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice
- R2.2 confirm SCPHN and/or SPQ programmes comply with the NMC *Standards* for student supervision and assessment
- R2.3 confirm SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ programmes that include admission to NMC approved prescribing qualification comply with the NMC *Standards for prescribing programmes*
- R2.4 state routes within the programme for:
- R2.4.1 students to enter the SCPHN register in a specific field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse, occupational health nurse
- R2.4.2 students to enter the SCPHN register for the public health nurse qualification
- R2.4.3 students to annotate their registration in a specific field of community nursing SPQ practice: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing
- R2.4.4 students to annotate their registration in community nursing SPQ practice in health and social care
- R2.5 ensure programme learning outcomes reflect the:
- R2.5.1 core and field specific standards of proficiency for SCPHN and for the intended field(s) of SCPHN practice: health visiting, school nursing, occupational health nursing
- R2.5.2 core standards of proficiency for SCPHN that are tailored to public health nursing
- R2.5.3 standards of proficiency for community nursing SPQ that are tailored to the intended field and related context of community nursing practice. These may be within community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing or in specified field(s) for community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice
- R2.6 set out the general and professional content necessary to meet the:
- R2.6.1 core and field specific standards of proficiency for each intended field of SCPHN practice: health visiting, school nursing, occupational health nursing,
- R2.6.2 core standards of proficiency for SCPHN public health nurse qualification
- R2.6.3 standards of proficiency for the community nursing SPQ that is tailored to the intended field of community nursing practice. These may be within community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing,





community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing, or in other specified field(s) of community nursing in health and social care

- R2.7 set out the content necessary to meet the programme outcomes for each intended field of:
- R2.7.1 SCPHN practice: health visiting, school nursing, occupational health nursing and/or SCPHN public health nursing,
- R2.7.2 community nursing SPQ practice: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing and/or in other specified field(s) of community nursing in health and social care
- R2.8 ensure that the curriculum provides a balance of theory and practice learning opportunities, using a range of learning and teaching strategies
- R2.9 ensure technology-enhanced and simulated learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately across the curriculum to support learning and assessment
- R2.10 ensure programmes delivered in Wales comply with legislation which supports use of the Welsh language, and
- R2.11 ensure programmes are:
- R2.11.1 of suitable length to support student achievement of all proficiencies and programme outcomes for their intended SCPHN or community nursing SPQ award
- R2.11.2 no less than 45 programmed weeks of theory and practice learning for full-time programmes/pro rata for part time programmes.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R1.9, R1.13; R2.2, R2.15, R2.17, R2.19, R2.20, R3.1, R3.2, R3.4, R3.9, R3.10, R3.15, R3.16; R5.1 - R5.16.

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R1.2, R1.3, R1.7, R1.10, R1.11

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- R2.1 There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC *Standards* framework for nursing and midwifery education including the confirmation of appropriately qualified and experienced people for programme delivery for:
 - all selected fields of SCPHN practice and/or SCPHN PHN practice (R2.1.1)

N/A 🖂

A SCPHN programme isn't proposed.





•	all selected fields of community nursing SPQ practice and/or in other specified fields of community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice (R2.1.2)			
		s 🖂	NO 🗌	N/A
•	 There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC S for student supervision and assessment (R2.2) 			
	,	YES		NO 🗌
•	Where programmes intend to offer admission to an N independent/supplementary prescribing qualification students, there is evidence that the prescribing qualithe NMC Standards for prescribing programmes (R2 YES	to SCI fication	PHN and	
R2.4	There is evidence that routes are stated within the pro	gramn	ne for:	
•	students to enter the SCPHN register in a specific fie health visitor, school nurse, occupational health nurs		4.1)	ractice: /A ⊠
A SCI	PHN programme isn't proposed.			
•	students to enter the SCPHN register for the public h qualification (R2.4.2)	nealth r		/A ⊠
A SCI	PHN programme isn't proposed.			
•	students to annotate their registration in a specific fier nursing SPQ practice: community children's nursing, disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing general practice nursing (R2.4.3)	comm	unity lea	rning
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s 🖂	NO 🗌	N/A
•	students to annotate their registration in community realth and social care (R2.4.4)	nursing	sPQ pra	actice in
		S	NO 🗌	N/A 🖂
A SPO	Q in health and social care isn't proposed.			
R2.5 There is evidence to ensure programme learning outcomes reflect the:				
 core and field specific standards of proficiency for SCPHN and for the intended field(s) of SCPHN practice: health visiting, school nursing, occupational health nursing (R2.5.1) 				





	N/A 🖂		
A SCPHN programme isn't proposed.			
 core standards of proficiency for SCPHN that are tailored to public nursing (R2.5.2) 			
nursing (1\2.5.2)	N/A 🖂		
A SCPHN programme isn't proposed.			
 standards of proficiency for community nursing SPQ that are tailed intended field and related context of community nursing practice. may be within community children's nursing, community learning nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, gener nursing or in specified field(s) for community nursing SPQ in health social care practice (R2.5.3) 	These disabilities al practice		
YES NO	□ N/A □		
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis a evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if as provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.			
R2.6 There is evidence that sets out the general and professional contenecessary to meet the:	nt		
 core and field specific standards of proficiency for each intended SCPHN practice: health visiting, school nursing, occupational head 			
(R2.6.1)	N/A 🖂		
A SCPHN programme isn't proposed.			
 core standards of proficiency for SCPHN public health nurse qua (R2.6.2) 	lification		
(1\2.0.2)	N/A 🖂		
A SCPHN programme isn't proposed.			
 standards of proficiency for the community nursing SPQ that is to the intended field of community nursing practice. These may be a community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nur community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practic or in other specified field(s) of community nursing in health and s 	within rsing, ce nursing,		
(R2.6.3) MET ⊠ N	OT MET		





R2.6.3 is met. The proposed SPQ DN programme is modular in design consisting of five modules over three trimesters. Students undertake advanced physical assessment and consultation skills and leadership in practice in trimester one. The leadership module is delivered by distance learning. In trimester two, students undertake the V300 and in the third trimester advancing specialist practice in DN. The zero credit practice assessment document (PAD) forms a module that's undertaken across the three trimesters.

Students are assessed on each SPCNSPQ through a range of assessment strategies and in practice through the PAD. Documentation provided tells us that the programme learning outcomes are mapped to the SPCNSPQ.

There's a SHU specific PAD that outlines the required SPCNSPQ. There's specific mention in the PAD of the number of hours students are required to achieve in each trimester. There's a place for students to record their practice hours and the requirement for the minimum number of practice hours is clearly evident. Practice assessors and practice supervisors tell us they're not fully clear about the specific amount of hours required for each trimester in the new PAD. However, they confirm that a forthcoming training day provided by the programme team will focus on the use and implementation of the new PAD. Practice assessors and practice supervisors confirm that regular liaison days are facilitated by the programme team to support supervison and assessment in practice.

- R2.7 There is evidence that sets out the content necessary to meet the programme outcomes for each intended field of:
 - SCPHN practice: health visiting, school nursing, occupational health nursing and/or SCPHN public health nursing (R2.7.1)

N/A 🖂

A SCPHN programme isn't proposed.

 community nursing SPQ practice: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing and/or in other specified field(s) of community nursing in health and social care (R2.7.2)

MET ⊠ NOT MET □

R2.7.2 is met. Documentary evidence and discussion with the programme team at the approval visit demonstrate that theory and practice based learning content is mapped to the programme learning outcomes. The programme content supports learning and achievement of the SPCNSPQ through a modular approach. Documentation and discussion with the programme team inform us that within the modular structure of the programme there's a specific 'advanced physical assessment and consultation' module and an 'advancing specialist practice' module for SPQ DN students which reflect the requirements of the SPCNSPQ.





The practice assessment module is specific to the SCPNSPQ requiring the proficiencies are met in practice. The leadership module and the V300 module are open to other students.

Module assessments are linked to practice. For example, the assessment for the 'advancing specialist practice' module reflects the requirements for platform six in the SPCNSPQ, as it requires the student to complete a service improvement project. The programme team confirm that other options for this assessment include a literature review and a health needs assessment. These enable students to explore wider aspects of DN practice, for example inequalities in care and the patient experience.

Students, practice assessors, practice supervisors and education leads tell us the programme specific PAD guides the structure of practice learning. The programme team, practice assessors and practice supervisors tell us that use of the PAD is supported through liaison days facilitated by the programme team.

 Evidence to ensure that the curriculum provides a balance of theory and practice learning opportunities, using a range of learning and teaching strategies (R2.8)

MET ⊠ I	NOT MET 🗌
---------	-----------

R2.8 is met. The documentation provided and discussion at the approval visit confirm there's a balance of theory and practice learning. The programme team, students, practice assessors and practice supervisors tell us that students have one main placement for the duration of the programme. The programme team confirm that students are encouraged to gain a global perspective and are encouraged to go out into the wider community on placement. This is supported by practice assessors and practice supervisors who tell us that a variety of practice based learning experiences with other services is encouraged where possible.

Students tell us there's an equal split between theory and practice learning. The programme team tell us there's a range of assessments in place that support students to manage the variety of health complexities experienced in practice. Students and the programme team tell us that the programme utilises a virtual learning environment for communication and the teaching and learning resources for the programme. The programme team, students and documentary evidence confirm that the programme is delivered using a blended approach that includes face to face and online delivery.

• Evidence to ensure that technology-enhanced and simulated learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately across the curriculum to support learning and assessment (R2.9)

MET $oxed{oxed}$ NOT MET $oxed{oxed}$	
---------------------------------------	--





R2.9 is met. Documentary evidence and the programme team describe the provision of simulated learning opportunities in the advanced physical assessment module and in the V300 module. The programme team tell us that students can simulate physical assessment in the classroom and that scenarios are used to simulate practice in the V300 module. There's a 'sim-flat' and other simulation activities, however, students aren't able to confirm experience of these and tell us that opportunities for simulation occur during practice learning only. For example, scenarios, simulating difficult conversations and clinical skills practice such as Doppler assessment and use of syringe drivers. This is confirmed at the visit by practice assessors and practice supervisors. The programme team are therefore advised to develop further simulation opportunities across the programme to support supervision, learning and assessment. (Recommendation two)

practice assessors and practice supervisors. The progadvised to develop further simulation opportunities acrossoport supervision, learning and assessment. (Recor	ramme team are thross the programme mmendation two)	erefore e to
Evidence provides assurance that the following Q	A approval criteria	are met:
 Evidence to ensure that programmes delivered legislation which supports the use of the Welsh 		ith any
The programme isn't delivered in Wales.		
 Evidence to ensure programmes are of suitable achievement of all proficiencies and programme SCPHN or community nursing SPQ award (R2. 	e outcomes for their	
Oor this or community harsing or & award (112.	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
 Evidence to ensure programmes are no less th theory and practice learning for full-time progra programmes (R2.11.2) 		
	_	_
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards frar</u> <u>midwifery education</u> relevant to curricula are met		
	YES 🖂	NO _
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for	<u>student supervision</u>	<u>and</u>
assessment relevant to curricula are met	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET ⊠ NOT	
Date: 10 June 2024		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		





N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT MET
N/A		

Standard 3: Practice learning

Approved education institutions must:

R3.1 ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and governance for practice learning are in place for all students, including arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are self-employed and/or self-funded

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R3.2 ensure that students work in partnership with the education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment*
- R3.3 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to develop, progress and meet all the standards of proficiency for their:
- R3.3.1 intended field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse and occupational health nurse or,
- R3.3.2 SCPHN public health nurse
- R3.3.3 intended community nursing SPQ: these may be within the fields of community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing or,
- R3.3.4 in other specified field(s) of community nursing in health and social care nursing
- R3.4 ensure that practice learning complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment*
- R3.5 take account of students' individual learning needs and personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning, including making reasonable adjustments for students with disabilities, and
- R3.6 ensure learning experiences are tailored to the student's stage of learning, proficiencies and programme outcomes culminating in a period of practice learning. This is dependent on the individual learning needs of the student to demonstrate overall proficiency and achieve the programme learning outcomes for their:
- R3.6.1 intended field of SCPHN practice, SCPHN PHN practice or
- R3.6.2 their intended field of community nursing SPQ practice or community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice.





Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R1.1, R1.3, R1.5; R2.10, R2.15; R3.3, R3.5, R 3.7, R3.16; R5.1, R5.7, R5.10, R5.12

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R1.1 – R1.11

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.

 Evidence to ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and governance for practice learning are in place for all students, including arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are selfemployed and/or self-funded (R3.1)

MET ⊠ NOT MET □

R3.1 is met. There's documentary evidence that provides assurance of effective arrangements and governance in place for practice learning, including process maps for the inclusion of self-funded and self-employed students. The programme team tell us that students are required to have a new Disclosure and Barring Service check in progress on application to the programme, confirmed by educational leads and students at the visit. Documentary evidence and the programme team tell us that liaison days with PLPs are provided throughout the programme. Education leads, practice assessors and practice supervisors confirm attendance at these days and that they provide opportunities for discussion and to receive updates about the programme. PLPs tell us that relationships with the programme team are open and collaborative. The programme team, PLPs and students tell us there are meetings every trimester between the programme team, academic assessor, practice assessor and the student. PLPs tell us that applications from self-funding or self-employed students haven't yet occurred, however they confirm that the same governance processes and criteria are applied.

Processes are in place to ensure that students work in partnership with the
education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange
supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC Standards for
student supervision and assessment (R3.2)

R3.2 is met. Programme documentation confirms the AEI has a student charter in place to support partnership working. Documentary evidence and discussions with the programme team, PLPs and students confirm that there's a student meeting in each trimester and that the meeting is recorded in the student's PAD. There's a





practice supervisor and practice assessor handbook. The programme team tell us that PLPs allocate appropriate practice supervisors and practice assessors for each student prior to commencement of the programme. Discussions with education leads, practice assessors and practice supervisors confirm this. PLPs confirm that practice assessors and practice supervisors receive training for their roles within the organisation. Documentary evidence and discussions with the programme team and PLPs confirm that liaison days are in place which support practice assessors and practice supervisors to undertake their roles. Discussion at the approval visit with the programme team, PLPs and students confirms that students have supernumerary status for protected learning for the duration of the programme.

praction the approximation student	amme team and PLPs confirm that liaison days are in place which suce assessors and practice supervisors to undertake their roles. Discupproval visit with the programme team, PLPs and students confirms that have supernumerary status for protected learning for the duration amme.	ussion at hat
5	There is evidence that practice learning opportunities are provided the students to develop, progress and meet all the standards of proficien their:	
•	intended field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse and occupational health nurse or (R3.3.1)	N/A 🖂
A SCF	PHN programme isn't proposed.	
•	SCPHN public health nurse (R3.3.2)	N/A 🖂
A SCF	PHN programme isn't proposed.	
•	intended community nursing SPQ: these may be within the fields of community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice or (R3.3.3)	ng,

R3.3.3 is met. Documentary evidence and discussions with the programme team, PLPs and students confirm that practice learning is integrated throughout the programme and is designed to support achievement of the SPCNSPQ. The number of specified hours that a student must complete is detailed in the PAD and specific to each trimester of the programme. The PAD is specific to SHU and utilised in electronic format using the PebblePad platform. The programme team and PLPs confirm that training about implemention and use of the PAD for the new programme is planned prior to commencement of the new programme. Practice assessors, practice supervisors and the programme team tell us that a similar version is currently used in practice and therefore they're not unfamiliar with it. Action plans are captured in the PAD for any student who isn't on target to achieve the required SPCNSPQ.

 $MET \boxtimes$

NOT MET

N/A





in other specified field(s) of community (P2 2.4)	nity nursing in	health and so	cial care
nursing (R3.3.4)	МЕТ 🗌	NOT MET	□ N/A ⊠
Other fields of community nursing in health	n and social ca	are aren't prop	osed.
Evidence provides assurance that the fo	ollowing QA	approval crite	eria are met:
There is evidence that the programs for student supervision and assessing the student supervision and assessing thead as a supervision and assessing the student supervision and as	•	vith the NMC S	Standards
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from you evidence AND discussion at the approve provided that the QA approval criteria be	al visit to der	monstrate if a	
 There is evidence to demonstrate the of students' individual learning need allocating their practice learning, income for students with disabilities, and (R 	ds and personabluding making (3.5)	al circumstanc g reasonable a	ces when
R3.5 is met. Students have an opportunity they may have in the practice learning enviouring an initial interview. This is recorded with the programme team and PLPs confir and reasonable adjustments accommodate they're able to ask for reasonable adjustments of a request to move to a different placement that this was supported by both the programment.	ironment with and updated m that suppored as required ents. For exanent area becausers	the practice s in the PAD. Di it is provided fo d. Students tell nple, one stud use of travel d	supervisor iscussions or students I us that lent tells us
R3.6 There is evidence to ensure learning stage of learning, proficiencies and p period of practice learning. This is de of the student to demonstrate overall learning outcomes for their:	rogramme out pendent on th	tcomes culmin le individual le	nating in a carning needs
 intended field of SCPHN practice, S 	CPHN PHN p	oractice or (R3	5.6.1) N/A ⊠
A SCPHN programme isn't proposed.			
 their intended field of community nu nursing SPQ in health and social ca 	•		nunity





R3.6.2 is met. There's documentary evidence that demonstrates how modules are mapped to programme learning outcomes over three trimesters. The programme team, practice assessors, practice supervisors and students tell us that the programme is tailored to their learning through set meetings at the end of each trimester with the practice assessor, academic assessor and student. They tell us that student achievement is reviewed at this review meeting, and action plans are developed if students require additional support and learning opportunities to achieve the PAD requirements. The programme team and education leads tell us that students may have an extension to practice if required to achieve the SPCNSPQ.

The programme team tell us that students have the opportunity for formative assessment with modules and receive constructive feedback on which to develop their work. The programme team tell us that students have further opportunities to undertake the module assessments if required. The programme team tell us that students are supported with three attempts at the 'advanced physical assessment and consultation skills' module in the first trimester but are unable to continue on the programme if this isn't achieved.

The PAD enables progress and achievement in practice learning to be documented. There's evidence that the programme culminates in a period of four weeks of continuous practice learning. Discussion with the programme team, practice assessors, practice supervisors and students confirm that the PAD is reviewed by the academic assessor at the end of each trimester. Discussions with the programme team and PLPs confirm that students who fail to achieve the SPCNSPQ are provided with an action plan which determines how the requirements can be met within a negotiated timeframe.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framewo</u> midwifery education relevant to practice learning are met	ork for nur	sing a	<u>nd</u>
	YES		NO 🗌
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for stude</u> assessment relevant to practice learning are met	ent superv	<u>vision a</u>	<u>and</u>
relevant to practice learning are met	YES		NO 🗌
Outcome			
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT	MET 🗌
Date: 10 June 2024			
Post event review			
Identify how the condition(s) is met:			
N/A			





Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET	NOT MET
()		

Standard 4: Supervision and assessment

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R4.1 ensure student support, supervision, learning and assessment complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*
- R4.2 liaise, collaborate and agree the necessary approach to the preparation, education, training, ongoing learning, support and expertise necessary for practice supervisors, practice and academic assessors that support SCPHN and community nursing SPQ student learning and assessment
- R4.3 ensure practice supervision, the assessment of practice and academic assessment complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment*
- R4.4 ensure practice supervisors:
- R4.4.1 have undertaken a period of preceptorship in line with the NMC principles for preceptorship as SCPHN or community nursing SPQ qualified professionals or
- R4.4.2 can evidence prior learning and relevant practice supervisor experience that enables them to facilitate effective evidence-based learning opportunities for post-registration SCPHN or community nursing SPQ students
- R4.5 ensure practice and academic assessors:
- R4.5.1 have undertaken a period of preceptorship in line with the NMC principles for preceptorship as SCPHNs or community nurses with a SPQ or
- R4.5.2 can evidence prior learning and relevant practice assessor experience that enables them to engage in fair, reliable and valid assessment processes in the context of SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ
- R4.6 ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is an experienced registered SCPHN or community SPQ nurse for the programme the student is undertaking
- R4.6.1 in exceptional circumstances, the same person may fulfil the role of practice supervisor and practice assessor for a part of the programme where the SCPHN/community nursing SPQ student is undergoing education and training in a practice learning setting. In such instances, the student, practice supervisor/assessor and the AEI will need to evidence why it was necessary for the practice supervisor and practice assessor roles to be carried out by the same person





- R4.7 provide constructive feedback to students throughout the programme to support their learning and development for meeting the standards of proficiency and programme learning outcomes for:
- R4.7.1 their intended field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse, occupational health nurse.
- R4.7.2 SCPHN public health nurse,
- R4.7.3 their intended community nursing SPQ in the field of: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing, or
- R4.7.4 other specified field(s) of community nursing SPQ in health and social care
- R4.8 ensure all SCPHN proficiencies and/or community nursing SPQ proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which confirms SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ proficiencies have been met
- R4.9 assess the student's suitability for award and confirm overall proficiency based on the successful completion of all practice learning relevant to:
- R4.9.1 their intended field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse, occupational health nurse,
- R4.9.2 SCPHN public health nurse practice,
- R4.9.3 their intended SPQ in the field of: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing, and/or
- R4.9.4 other specified field(s) of community nursing SPQ in health and social care practice.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically: R2.12; R3.5, R3.6, R 3.8, R3.11, R3.13, R3.14, R3.17; R4.2, R4.3, R4.4, R4.5, R4.6, R4.8, R4.11; R5.9

Standards for student supervision and assessment

Findings against the standards and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

 There is evidence that ensures student support, supervision, learning and assessment complies with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (R4.1)

MET	NOT MET

R4.1 is met. Documentary evidence provided and discussion with the programme team and students confirm that processes for raising concerns are in place and detailed in the programme handbook. Students tell us they're able to raise any issues with their student representatives who liaise with the programme team and





feedback accordingly. Practice assessors and practice supervisiors tell us the programme team are accessible and approachable if concerns or issues need to be raised.

The programme team, practice assessors, practice supervisors and students tell us that feedback from PUSCs is sought in practice through student and patient interactions. Documentary evidence in the PAD confirms that students can obtain testimonies and feedback from PUSCs about their practice. The programme team tell us that students are provided with a range of opportunities to feedback to the team, utilising both formal and informal processes. For example, students are encouraged to complete formal module evaluations. They tell us that the results of module evaluations are discussed at the staff and student committee meetings, including what changes are made as a result of the feedback received. Students tell us that group representatives attend the staff and student committee and report back to their cohort. The programme team tell us that informal discussions take place between the programme team and students during classroom sessions at frequent intervals. Students confirm they're able to approach the programme team with feedback at any time and they feel their voices are heard.

The programme team tell us about the range of assessments introduced into the programme following annual evaluation of the programme where students reported that the assessment burden is high and that assessments are bunched together. The programme team describe how the modular structure in the proposed programme will reduce the bunching of assessments for students. Discussion with the programme team and students confirm that each student has an academic assessor. The programme and university senior teams tell us that the academic assessor role is fulfilled by a member of the programme team who holds the SPQ DN.

 There is evidence to confirm that the education institution and their practice learning partners liaise, collaborate and agree the necessary approach to the preparation, education, training, ongoing learning, support and expertise necessary for practice supervisors, practice and academic assessors that support SCPHN and community nursing SPQ student learning and assessment (R4.2)

MET NOT MET

R4.2 is met. Documentary evidence and discussions with the programme team and PLPs confirm that liaison days are facilitated by the programme team that support practice assessors and practice supervisors to undertake their roles. The programme team, education leads, practice assessors and practice supervisors tell us that training is to be provided about implementation and use of the PAD prior to commencement of the new programme. Practice assessors, practice supervisors and the programme team tell us that they're familiar with the PebblePad platform used for the PAD as it's currently in use.





 There is evidence that ensures practice supervision, the assessment of practice and academic assessment complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment (R4.3) MET □ NOT MET □
R4.3 is not met. Practice assessors, practice supervisors and students tell us they're concerned about the limited number of staff available to undertake the practice assessor and practice supervisor roles, particularly for the advanced physical assessment module and the V300 module. They tell us this is because staff aren't being trained or put forward to undertake the required SSSA training to undertake the practice supervisor and practice assessor roles for these specialist elements of the programme. Education leads tell us that a shortage of suitable practice assessors and practice supervisors is a current issue and that they're working to access other suitable healthcare professionals, for example general practitioners, rapid response and in-patient services staff. Discussion with the senior AEI and programme teams confirms there are sufficient numbers of staff to deliver the programme, however documentary evidence and the approval visit doesn't provide assurance there's a governance plan in place to ensure there's a sufficient number of practice assessors and practice supervisors available to support safe and effective practice learning. The programme team must therefore provide an explicit implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that demonstrates there are sufficient practice supervisors and practice assessors in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments. (Condition three)
The programme team and documentary evidence confirm that liaison days facilitated by the programme team support practice assessors and practice supervisors to undertake the roles. Discussions at the approval visit and documentary evidence confirm there's a practice meeting in each trimester for each student. Documentary evidence and the senior and programme teams confirm the resources in place for academic assessment. Students tell us they each have an academic assessor who contributes to formative assessment of practice in each trimester.
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:
 Processes are in place to ensure practice supervisors have undertaken a period of preceptorship in line with the NMC principles for preceptorship as SCPHN or community nursing SPQ qualified professionals (R4.4.1) or YES
 Processes are in place to ensure practice supervisors can evidence prior learning and relevant practice supervisor experience that enables them to facilitate effective evidence-based learning opportunities for post- registration SCPHN or community nursing SPQ students (R4.4.2)





•	Processes are in place to ensure practice and academic assessors have undertaken a period of preceptorship in line with the NMC principles for preceptorship as SCPHNs or community nurses with a SPQ (R4.5.1) or YES \boxtimes NO \square N/A \square
•	Processes are in place to ensure practice and academic assessors can evidence prior learning and relevant practice assessor experience that enables them to engage in fair, reliable and valid assessment processes in the context of SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ (R4.5.2) YES NO N/A
evide	de an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and nce AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is ded that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met
•	Processes are in place to ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is an experienced registered SCPHN or community SPQ nurse for the programme the student is undertaking (R4.6) MET NOT MET
progra praction educa	s met. The documentary evidence provided and discussions with the amme team, PLPs and students confirm that students are assigned a ce assessor who's an experienced SPQ DN. The programme team and ation leads tell us that each student is allocated a practice assessor on the trent to the programme who's a qualified SPQ DN.
•	Processes are in place to ensure that in exceptional circumstances only, the same person may fulfil the role of practice supervisor and practice assessor for a part of the programme where the SCPHN/community nursing SPQ student is undergoing education and training in a practice learning setting. In such instances, the student, practice supervisor/assessor and the AEI will need to evidence why it was necessary for the practice supervisor and practice assessor roles to be carried out by the same person (R4.6.1) MET NOT MET
circum practic progra they're situati monito same contin	I is not met. Documentary evidence provided states that in exceptional estances, the same person will act as both the practice assessor and be supervisor for the same student simultaneously. Discussion with the same team confirms that this does occur during the programme, however enot able to clarify what the exceptional circumstances may be, how the on is managed or describe the governance process that supports and ors the situation. Students tell us there are several occasions where the person acts as practice assessor and practice supervisor and that this ues for a number or weeks and months. They confirm that assessments undertaken during this time. Practice supervisors, practice assessors and





education leads aren't able to describe the exceptional circumstances leading to this scenario or confirm there's appropriate governance in place. The programme team must therefore provide programme documentation that clearly demonstrates the governance structures in place for oversight and management of exceptional circumstances where the roles of practice assessor and practice supervisor are fulfilled by the same person. (Condition five)

the governance structures in place for oversight and management of exceptional circumstances where the roles of practice assessor and practice supervisor are fulfilled by the same person. (Condition five)
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:
R4.7 Processes are in place to provide constructive feedback to students throughout the programme to support their learning and development for meeting the standards of proficiency and programme learning outcomes for:
 their intended field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse, occupational health nurse (R4.7.1) N/A
A SCPHN programme isn't proposed.
SCPHN public health nurse (R4.7.2) N/A
A SCPHN programme isn't proposed.
 their intended community nursing SPQ in the field of: community children's nursing, community learning disabilities nursing, community mental health nursing, district nursing, general practice nursing (R4.7.3) or YES NO N/A
 other specified field(s) of community nursing SPQ in health and social care
(R4.7.4) YES \square NO \square N/A \boxtimes
Other specified fields of community nursing and health and social care aren't proposed.
 There is evidence that all SCPHN proficiencies and/or community nursing SPQ proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which confirms SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ proficiencies have been met (R4.8)
YES NO
R4.9 There is evidence of processes to assess the student's suitability for award and confirm overall proficiency based on the successful completion of all practice learning relevant to:





their intended field of SCPHN practice: health visitor, school nurse, (D4.0.4)				
C	occupational health nurse (R4.9.1)			N/A 🖂
A SCPF	HN programme isn't proposed.			
• 8	SCPHN public health nurse practice (R4.9.2)			N/A ⊠
A SCPF	HN programme isn't proposed.			
le	heir intended SPQ in the field of: community chil earning disabilities nursing, community mental h nursing, general practice nursing (R4.9.3) and/or	ealth nu		•
11		ES 🖂	NO 🗌	N/A
	other specified field(s) of community nursing SPO practice (R4.9.4)	Q in hea	Ith and so	cial care
μ	,	ES 🗌	NO 🗌	N/A 🖂
Other spropose	pecified fields of community nursing and health and lealth and lea	and soci	al care ar	en't
	nce is provided that Gateway 1: Standards frame			<u>and</u>
mawne	ery education relevant to supervision and assess		YES 🖂	NO 🗌
	nce is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for standards for standards for standards relevant to supervision and assessment are		<u>upervisior</u>	n and
<u> </u>	Televant to supervision and assessment at		YES 🗌	NO \boxtimes
Students, practice assessors and practice supervisors tell us that there are insufficient practice assessors and practice supervisors to support SPQ DN students in practice, specifically in relation to the advanced physical assessment module and the V300 module. Both of these elements are new to the proposed programme. (Condition three)				
Outcon				
is the s	standard met?	/IET []	NOT	MET 🖂
assuran	entary evidence and discussions at the approval nce that there are sufficient numbers of practice sors in place to support SPQDN students in the ment.	assesso	rs and pr	





Condtion three: Provide an explicit implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that demonstrates there are sufficient practice supervisors and practice assessors in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments. (SSSA R1.4, SPRP R4.3) (NMC and university condition)

Documentary evidence and discussions at the approval visit don't provide assurance that appropriate governance processes are in place where exceptional circumstance occur and the roles of practice assessor and practice supervisor are fulfilled by the same person for the same student.

Condition five: Provide programme documentation that clearly demonstrates the governance structures in place for oversight and management of exceptional circumstances where the roles of practice assessor and practice supervisor are fulfilled by the same person. (SPRP R4.6.1) (NMC and university condition)

Date: 10 June 2024

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

Condition three: SHU provide an implementation plan in partnership with PLPs that clearly demonstrates a process for ensuring sufficient practice supervisors and practice assessors are in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments.

Condition three is met.

Evidence:

Placement learning expectations document, undated Updated information about preparation and training of practice supervisors and practice assessors in DN student handbook, undated Governance and implementation plan for safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments in conjunction with PLPs, undated Letter of confirmation of conditions met NMC SCPHN, 5 July 2024

Condition five: SHU provide programme documentation that clearly demonstrates the governance structures in place for the oversight and management of exceptional circumstances where the roles of practice assessor and practice supervisor are fulfilled by the same person.

Condition five is met.

Evidence:

Screenshot of additional wording added to the PAD in the supervision and assessment section, undated

List of acceptable exceptional circumstances, undated





Governance and implementation plan for safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments in conjunction with PLPs, undated Flow chart of appropriate support for DN SPQ students during practice placement, undated		
Letter of confirmation of conditions met NMC SCPHN, 5 July 2024		
Date condition(s) met: 9 July 2024		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🖂	NOT MET

Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R5.1 ensure that the minimum academic level for SCPHN and community nursing SPQ is at postgraduate masters' level
- R5.2 inform the student that the SCPHN award must be registered with us within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to undertake additional education and training or gain such experience as specified in NMC standards for the award to be registered
- R5.3 inform the student that the community nursing SPQ award must be registered with us within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to undertake additional education and training or gain such experience as specified in NMC standards for the award to be added as an annotation to their professional registration
- R5.4 inform the SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ student that following successful completion of an NMC approved programme of preparation for SCPHN or community nursing SPQ, which included an NMC approved independent/supplementary prescribing qualification, the V300 award must be registered with us within five years of successfully completing the prescribing programme. If they fail to do so they will have to retake and successfully complete the programme in order to qualify as a prescriber, and
- R5.5 inform the SCPHN and/or community nursing SPQ student that they may only prescribe once their prescribing qualification has been annotated on the NMC register and they may only prescribe from the formulary they are qualified to prescribe from and within their competence and scope of practice.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R2.12, R2.21

Findings against the standards and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

•	The programme award to be approved is clearly identified in all programme
	documentation and is a minimum of a postgraduate masters' level (R5.1)
	YES NO NO





•	Processes are in place to inform the student that the SCF be registered with the NMC within five years of successful programme and if they fail to do so they will have to under education and training or gain such experience as specific standards for the award to be registered (R5.2)	ully comple ertake add ied in NM0	eting the itional
A SCF	PHN programme isn't proposed.		
•	Processes are in place to inform the student that the com SPQ award must be registered with us within five years of completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will undertake additional education and training or gain such specified in NMC standards for the award to be added as their professional registration (R5.3)	of success vill have to experiences an annot	e as ation to
	YES 🖂	NO 🗌	N/A 🗌
•	Processes are in place to inform the SCPHN and/or com SPQ student that following successful completion of an N programme of preparation for SCPHN or community nursincluded an NMC approved independent/supplementary qualification, the V300 award must be registered with us successfully completing the prescribing programme and they will have to retake and successfully complete the preto qualify as a prescriber (R5.4), and	NMC approsing SPQ, prescribing within five if they fail	oved which g years of to do so
•	Processes are in place to inform the SCPHN and/or com SPQ student that they may only prescribe once their presqualification has been annotated on the NMC register an prescribe from the formulary they are qualified to prescrib their competence and scope of practice (R5.5) YES	scribing d they may	rsing y only
If there	ack Award e is a fall back exit award with an SPQ annotation all NMC encies are met within the award	C standard	s and
•	YES [NO 🗌	N/A \boxtimes
	s no fall back exit award with SPQ annotation. The V300 student achieving all requirements of the SPQ DN.	is awarde	d subject
	ance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for</u>		and
	· ·	YES 🖂	NO 🗌





Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET
Date: 10 June 2024		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT MET
N/A		





Section four

Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and	\boxtimes	
consultation		
Programme specification(s)	\boxtimes	
Module descriptors	\boxtimes	
Student facing documentation including programme		
handbook		
Student university handbook		
Practice assessment documentation	\boxtimes	
Ongoing record of achievement (ORA)	\boxtimes	
Practice learning environment handbook	\boxtimes	
Practice learning handbook for practice supervisors and	\boxtimes	
assessors specific to the programme		
Academic assessor focused information specific to the	\boxtimes	
programme		
Placement allocation / structure of programme	\boxtimes	
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped	\boxtimes	
against Standards of proficiency for community nursing		
specialist practice qualifications		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	\boxtimes	
education institution has met the Standards framework for		
nursing and midwifery education (NMC 2018, updated		
2023) (Gateway 1)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	\boxtimes	
Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC		
2018, updated 2023) apply to the programme(s)		
(Gateway 2)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	\bowtie	
education institution has met the Standards for post-		
registration education programmes (NMC 2022, updated		
2023) (Gateway 3)		
Curricula vitae (CV) for relevant staff		
CV of the registered nurse responsible for directing the	\bowtie	
education programme		
Registrant academic staff details checked on NMC	\bowtie	
website		
External examiner appointments and arrangements		
Written placement agreement(s) between the education	\bowtie	
institution and associated practice learning partners to		
support the programme intentions.		1





Written agreement(s) to support the programme intentions between the education institution and employer partners for apprenticeship routes (if applicable).		
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitig SHU provides an online university handbook that isn't acce organisation. A screenshot of the contents page is provided	ssible outsic	
There's no apprenticeship route proposed.		
List additional documentation: Flow chart of appropriate support for DN SPQ students duriplacement, undated Governance and implementation plan for safe and effective		n of
learning within practice learning environments, undated Implementation plan for promotion and facilitation of IPL, ur	ndated	
Implementation plan for PUSC, PLP and student involvement		
List of acceptable exceptional circumstances, undated		
Placement learning expectations document, undated		
Screenshot of additional wording added to the PAD in the sassessment section, undated	upervision a	ind
Updated DN student handbook, undated		
Letter of confirmation of conditions met NMC SCPHN, 5 Jul	ly 2024	
Additional comments:	-	
None identified		

During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups:

	YES	NO		
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with responsibility for resources for the programme				
Senior managers from associated practice learning partners with responsibility for resources for the programme				
Senior managers from associated employer partners with responsibility for resources for the programme (applicable for apprenticeship routes)				
Programme team/academic assessors				
Practice leads/practice supervisors/practice assessors				
Students	\boxtimes			
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study: Five x current students, September 2023 cohort One x alumnus				
People who use services and carers				
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: Senior managers from PLPs didn't attend the approval visit, however representation is provided by education leads.				





There's no apprent	ticeship route propose	d.				
Additional commer	nts:					
None identified.						
The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities during the event:						
			YES	NO		
Specialist teaching skills/simulation su	accommodation (e.g. ites)	clinical		\boxtimes		
Library facilities				\boxtimes		
Technology enhan environment	ced learning/virtual lea	arning		\boxtimes		
Educational audit t	ools/documentation					
Practice learning e				\boxtimes		
If practice learning	environments are visi	ted, state where	visited/finding	gs:		
System regulator repartners	eports reviewed for pr	actice learning				
If yes, system regulator reports list: Barnsley Hospice, Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspection report, 25 January 2023 Barnsley Hospital, CQC inspection report, 1 September 2023 Doncaster and Bassetlaw Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, CQC inspection report, 28 March 2024 Kings Mill Hospital and Sheffield Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, CQC inspection report, 23 February 2023 If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: SHU is an established AEI and therefore visits to practice learning environments and inspection of resources aren't required. Additional comments: None identified.						
Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose. We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties. Issue record						
Final Report Author(s):	Hannah Ingram	Date:	15 1	ne 2024		
Autil01(5).	Hannah Ingram	Dale.	l 15 Jul	IC 2024		





	Adrian Mason		
Checked by:	Sarah Snow	Date:	21 June 2024
Submitted by:	Amy Young	Date:	7 August 2024
Approved by:	Natasha Thompson	Date:	7 August 2024