

NMC UK Wide Quality Assurance Framework

PROGRAMME MONITORING REPORT

Programme Provider Name: (Education provider and associated practice placement providers)	University of Sheffield In partnership with: Barnsley Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Barnsley Primary Care Trust Bassetlaw Primary Care Trust Doncaster and Bassetlaw Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Doncaster Primary Care Trust Rotherham NHS Foundation Trust Rotherham Primary Care Trust Rotherham, Doncaster and South Humber Health Care NHS Trust Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Sheffield Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Sheffield Primary Care Trust Sheffield Primary Care Trust			
NMC Provider Code:	1310			
Programmes Monitored and clinical focus:	 Pre registration nursing Adult Child Mental health Learning disabilities Pre registration midwifery Specialist community public health nursing HV SN OH FHN Learning & assessment in practice Mentor Practice teacher Teacher Supervisor of midwives Return to practice Nursing Midwifery Specialist practitioner Adult nursing Mental health Children's nursing Learning disability nurse General practice nurse Community mental health nursing Community children's nursing District nursing Overseas nurses programme Overseas midwives programme 			



Working in partnership



	🗌 V100	🗌 V150	🖂 V 300		
	Nurses part of	of the register			
Part of Register Programme/s Lead to:	🗌 RNA	RNMH	RNLD		
	Midwives part of the register				
	🗌 RM				
	Specialist community public health nurses part of the register				
	🗌 RHV	RSN	ROH	🗌 RFHN	
	RSCP				
	Recorded qualifications				
	🗌 V100	🗌 V150	🖂 V 300		
	Teacher				
	Specialist practitioner				
	🗌 SPA	SPMH	SPC		
	SPGP	SCMH	SCLD		
	SPDN 🗌				
	Non recordable				
	Supervisor of midwives				
Managing Reviewer / Reviewer(s):	Managing Reviewer: Meriel Hutton				
	Reviewers:	Maureen Brown (preparation for Supervisor of Midwives)			
		Sally Martin (Independent /			
		supplementary nurse prescribing V300)			
Academic Year:	2011/12				
Date of Monitoring Event:	19-20 October 2011 Follow-up 02 December 2011				
Date of Report:	26 October 2011/ 02 December 2011				





SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The University of Sheffield (US) has a compact portfolio of NMC approved programmes. The pre-registration nursing provision has recently been reviewed during successful approval of the 2010 curriculum and the two programmes covered by this report (Preparation for Supervisor of Midwives and Independent/Supplementary nurse prescribing) involve small numbers. Students rate the learning and experience offered by these programmes very highly.

All registrant academics with a significant teaching role are currently on the NMC register and hold an NMC recordable teaching qualification. Although neither of the programme leaders holds the qualification to which the course leads, they are adequately supported by appropriately qualified others with practice experience. Students are all appropriately supported in practice.

There is a robust system of selection of students by service. However, the University relies on the Trust to complete Criminal Record Bureau (CRB) checks for prescribing students but does not verify that these are current. This has resulted in a **satisfactory** grading for this section. Fitness to Practice policies are thorough.

The University has good relationships with the service and works well with Sheffield Hallam University (SHU), the city's other main provider of nurse/midwife education, to meet commissions. Mentors and practice teachers are prepared by SHU, and US staff have access to mentor databases at Trust level. Preparation for Designated Medical Practitioners who supervise prescribing students is minimal. There is little understanding of the Triennial review process by practice staff involved with these programmes and this should be addressed. The auditing process for the Independent and Supplementary Nurse Prescribing programme has now been changed to accurately reflect the NMC standards.

External examiners and employers confirm that students achieve the NMC outcomes for these programmes.

University of Sheffield quality assurance processes generally are robust.

