

NMC UK Wide Quality Assurance Framework

PROGRAMME	MONITORING	REPORT
FILCULANNE		

FIIedi		
	University Campus Suffolk	
Drogrommo Drovidor Nomo	In partnership with: East of England SHA Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust	
Programme Provider Name:	West Suffolk Hospital NHS Trust	
(Education provider and associated practice placement providers)		
NMC Provider Code:	8836	
Programmes Monitored and clinical focus:	 Pre registration nursing Adult Child Mental health Learning disabilities Pre registration midwifery Specialist community public health nursing HV SN OH FHN Learning & assessment in practice Mentor Practice teacher Teacher Supervisor of midwives Return to practice Nursing Midwifery Specialist practitioner Adult nursing Mental health Children's nursing Learning disability nurse General practice nurse Community mental health nursing Community children's nursing District nursing Overseas nurses programme V100 V150 V 300 	
Part of Register Programme/s Lead to:	Nurses part of the register RNA RNMH RNLD RNC Midwives part of the register RM Specialist community public health nurses part of the register RHV RSN ROH RFHN	



Working in partnership



	Recorded qualifications	
	🗌 V100 🔲 V150 🗌 V 300	
	Teacher	
	Specialist practitioner	
	🗌 SPA 🔄 SPMH 🔄 SPC 🔄 SPLD	
	Non recordable Mentor Practice teacher	
	Supervisor of midwives	
	Managing Reviewer: Dr Brenda Poulton	
Managing Reviewer / Reviewer(s):	Reviewers:	
	Dr Diane Cockayne Pre reg Adult	
	Dr Kevin Gormley Pre reg Adult	
Academic Year:	2011/12	
	14, 15 February 2012	
Date of Monitoring Event:		
Date of Report:	21 February 2012	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

University Campus Suffolk (UCS) is a collaboration between the University of East Anglia (UEA) and the University of Essex designed to deliver higher education for the community of Suffolk. The School of Nursing and Midwifery at UCS is based on three main campuses – Ipswich, Great Yarmouth and Bury St Edmunds.

The majority of registrant lecturers hold or are working towards an NMC recorded teaching qualification. Programme leads are all NMC recorded teachers and have experience and qualifications commensurate with their role. There are sufficient staff dedicated to the delivery of the programme; communication with University course teachers is very good and academics respond promptly to student requests for assistance in resolving theory or practice problems. There are sufficient appropriately qualified mentors to supervise students.

Applicants for pre-registration nursing programmes are subject to literacy and numeracy testing; interviewed jointly with service partners; and, are all subject to occupational health screening and enhanced CRB checks before enrolment. Students self declare good health and good character annually. There is a robust Fitness to Practice process and evidence that it has been invoked in the last year. Students and mentors are aware of the cause for concern procedure. There is an appropriate and valid APL system which has been rarely used for this programme.

There is good partnership working between the UCS, UEA, Trust partners, SHA and the Suffolk County Workforce Group, which commissions nursing and midwifery education. The Practice Preparation project is a partnership approach between the University and each of the acute trusts, developing on line modules, within the VLE, allowing students to access resources, relevant to the Trust, prior to each practice learning experience. The University and its service partners are commended for the instigation of the Practice Preparation Project which is a positive step in enhancing the student learning experience.



Working in partnership



In March and April 2011 the University was advised by two partner trusts, Ipswich Hospital and James Paget University Hospitals (JPUH) of clinical governance issues identified by recent Care Quality Commission (CQC) reviews. The University worked closely with the two Trusts involved to develop shared governance systems and increase student support. In July 2011, students were withdrawn from practice learning environments at Ipswich Hospital where evaluations suggested it would be beneficial to 'rest' the area from the placement circuit. A follow up review by CQC found the provider to be compliant in respect of the concerns identified previously but confirmed the need for continued support through action planning. In early September and again in October 2011 the CQC returned to the JPUH and reported continued concerns with the standards of care. The practice learning environment, attracting two outcomes of moderate concern, was rested from the placement circuit. The NMC requested information on actions taken resulting in a meeting with the partnership (UCS; UEA; JPUHT; Midlands and East SHA; NHS Norfolk and Waveney). Following this meeting UCS produced and implemented an action plan with the aim of ensuring the eight domains in the Standards to Support Learning and Assessment in Practice are maintained. A joint meeting between JPUH, UCS and UEA completed a desktop review to determine the suitability of placements for students of nursing within JPUH. UCS has developed guidelines for managing concerns in practice learning. The flow chart Securing Education Standards offers guidance on raising concerns in practice by: identifying types of concerns; categorizing them as minor, moderate or major; and, clearly detailing action to be taken at each stage. Additionally, UCS is working separately with both JPUH and Ipswich hospital in the triangulation of evidence in monitoring and developing education standards. This involves cross referencing evidence from clinical standards with education evidence. The University and its service partners are commended for the triangulation initiative which is a positive step in improving the safety and educational value of practice learning environments.

Mentor updates are provided by University lecturers, Clinical Practice Facilitators (CPF) and Practice Education Facilitators (PEF). Mentor updates are part of mandatory training in some Trusts and for others monthly updates are provided across three sites. The programme includes understanding of the assessment process and managing failing students. There are specific 'sign off' mentor updates, including triennial review. Mentor registers are accurate, up to date and include dates of last update and compliance with triennial review requirements.

A Service User and Carer (SUC) strategy is in place and the University is developing methods of including SUC in curriculum development, student selection, delivery and evaluation. There is a link lecturer for each practice learning environment. A convener coordinates the link lecturers within each Trust. Link lecturers visit once per month, as a team, or more often if there are issues to address. There are measures in place to monitor and evaluate inter rater reliability and validity of practice assessments.

Students and mentors are satisfied with and confident of the effectiveness of formative and summative assessment methods used to evaluate practice competencies. Essential Skills are embedded into the assessment of practice. Clinical Practice Facilitators provide skills sessions to address any competency gaps or students are able to attend the skills laboratory in the University to practice relevant skills. The University has developed interactive learning materials aiming to develop critical thinking skills, linking theory with practice. EU Directive requirements are achieved through workbooks and face to face teaching by mental health and learning disability lecturers plus representatives from service users and practice. Employers are confident in the capabilities of students at the point of completion of programmes.

A new on line student evaluation process has recently been introduced. The School complies with sound University quality assurance processes. Overall evaluation and review processes



Working in partnership



are sufficiently extensive and capture valuable stakeholder views. External examiner reports are very supportive of internal moderation processes; and, demonstrate evidence of contact with students and mentors. Comprehensive and consistent programme approval processes are in place. Additions/amendments to approved curricula and changes required by NMC are incorporated into existing programmes in the appropriate manner.

