



The Framework for Monitoring in 2008/09

Roger Thompson

**Head of UK Quality
Assurance**

18 September 2008

HM Government's 5 Principles of Good Regulation*

- Proportionality
- Accountability
- Consistency
- Transparency
- Targeting

***Better Regulation Taskforce 2005**

Achievements in Year 2

- UK wide approach
- Satisfactory grades driving enhancement
- Managing change
- Language of risk
- Evidence of enhanced quality from Year 1

Weak risk control

- Recordable teaching qualifications
- Numbers of qualified and annually updated mentors
- Annual declarations of good health/character by students
- Development of FfP Committees
- Governance of shared practice learning
- Accuracy of live mentor registers
- External examiner's engagement with practice

What's it all for?

- Target audience and purpose
- Consistency
- Coherent reporting
- Hierarchy of data, evidence, judgements, strategic decision making

Results by key risk areas

Grade Awarded	Number of programme providers achieving each level of control				
	Resources	Admissions	Practice Learning	Fitness to Practice	Quality Assurance
Outstanding	2 (3%)	8 (10%)	12 (16%)	7 (9%)	3 (4%)
Good	48 (62%)	62 (81%)	34 (44%)	58 (76%)	56 (72%)
Satisfactory	25 (32%)	6 (8%)	25 (32%)	11 (14%)	16 (21%)
Unsatisfactory	2 (3%)	1 (1%)	6 (8%)	1 (1%)	2 (3%)

2008/09 Key Risks

- Resources
- Admissions & Progression
- Practice Learning
- Fitness for Practice
- Quality Assurance

Clinical Focus

- Visits with due regard
- Specific reporting on clinical focus
- Engagement with SCPHN programmes

Results by provider

- Providers with 2 or less satisfactory & no unsatisfactory gradings – usual visit **33**
- Providers with 3 or more satisfactory visits & any unsatisfactory gradings – 1 additional day with further option for return visit **20**
- Providers with all good or all good/outstanding gradings – “earned autonomy” status, no NMC visit this year, review to be undertaken internally **31**

Usual Visit Providers

Anglia Ruskin

Bedfordshire

Bolton

Cardiff

Cumbria

Edinburgh

Glasgow

Greenwich

Hull

Kingston & St Georges

Leeds Met

Middlesex

NEWI

Plymouth

Teeside

UCLAN

Worcester

Beeches

Birmingham

Bristol

City University

Edge Hill

Glamorgan

Gloucester

Huddersfield

Kings College

Leeds

London South Bank

New College Durham

Oxford Brookes

Robert Gordon

University Campus Suffolk

Winchester

Earned Autonomy

- Review conducted internally by providers
- Same review plan
- Not graded
- Same reporting format
- Evaluation of process

“Earned Autonomy” Providers

Bangor	Bournemouth
Bradford	Birmingham City
Brighton	Brunel
Buckinghamshire New University	
Chester	Coventry
East Anglia	Educare (NI)
Essex	Hertfordshire
Keele	London Met
Liverpool	Liverpool J M
Manchester	Manchester Met
Napier	Northumbria
Nottingham	Open University
Queens’ Belfast	Reading
Southampton	Stirling
Sunderland	Surrey
Ulster	York

Additional Visits

- Any unsatisfactory and/or 2+ satisfactory grades
- Additional 1 day for more practice visits
- Option to return in the event of any unsatisfactory and recurrence of previous satisfactory grades

Additional Visit Providers

Abertay

De Montfort

Dundee

Lincoln

Northampton

Salford

Sheffield Hallam

Swansea

U West of England

Wolverhampton

Canterbury Christchurch

Derby

Glasgow Caledonian

Newport

Queen Margaret

Sheffield

Staffordshire

Thames Valley

U West of Scotland

UWIC

Our targets for 2008/09

- Consistency
- Consistency
- Consistency

Thank You

For more information about the NMC visit
www.nmc-uk.org

